	,		Department of Commerce nentation Grant Program Close Out Report	2. Award or Grant Number:	06-10-513006
				4. EIN:	680278801
1. Recipient Name	California Governor's Office o	of Emergency Services		6. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY):	5/29/2018
3. Street Address	3650 Schriever Avenue			7. Reporting Period End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	2/28/2018
5. City, State, Zip Code	Mather, CA 95655				
10a. Project/Grant Period					
	8/1/2013	10b. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	2/28/2018		
Part A: Metrics - Final PPR Mile	stone Data (cumulative throu	gh the last quarter)			
	Project Type (Capacity Bullding, SCIP Update,	Project Deliverable Quantity (Number & Indicator Description)	Description of Milestone Category		
1	Stakeholders Engaged	19358	Actual number of individuals reached via stakeholder meetings during the period of performance		
2	Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences	32	Actual number of individuals who were sent to third-party broadband conferences using SUGP grant funds during the period of performance		
3	Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE)	28	Actual number of state personnel FTEs who began supporting SLIGP activities during the period of performance (may be a decimal)		
4	Contracts Executed	1	Actual number of contracts executed during the period of performance		
5	Governance Meetings	34	Actual number of governance, subcommittee, or working group meetings held during the period of perfor	mance	
. 6	Education and Outreach Materials Distributed	7525	Actual volume of materials distributed (inclusive of paper and electronic materials) plus hits to any websh during the period of performance	e or social media acco	unt supported by SLIGP
7	Subrecipient Agreements Executed	. 0	Actual number of agreements executed during the period of performance		
8 .	Phase 2 - Coverage	Partial Dataset Submitted to FirstNet			
9	Phase 2 – Users and Their Operational Areas	Partial Dataset Submitted to FirstNet	Please choose the option that best describes the data you provided to FirstNet in each category during the	period of performanc	e:
10	Phase 2 – Capacity Planning	Partial Dataset Submitted to FirstNet	Not Complete     Partial Dataset Submitted to FirstNet		
11	Phase 2 – Current Providers/Procurement	Not Complete	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet		
12	Phase 2 – State Plan Decision	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet			
Part B: Narrative					

## Part B: Narrative

Milestone Data Narrative: Please Describe in detail the types of milestone activities your SLIGP grant funded (Please reference each project type you engaged in. Example: Governance Meetings, Stakeholders Engaged)

Governance Meetings: California held a CalFRN Board of Directors meeting in Sacramento on October 11, 2017 and December 6, 2017.

Stakeholders Engaged: In June/July 2017, Cal OES completed 12 outreach meetings. Over 780 public safety stakeholders attended and provided priorities and feedback on the draft State Plan. We held weekly consultation meetings with AT&T/FirstNet. SLIGP staff developed evaluation topics based on outreach comments and consultation priorities. We created and coordinated a Technical Advisory Group (TAG) to evaluate the State Plan for California.

SLIGP staff attended and participated in numerous meetings throughout the state:

- Southern Association of Public-Safety Communications Officials (APCO)
- FirstNet Update, Nevada County
- PSAP Manager Meetings
- FirstNet Presentation, Eureka
- CalNENA Conference, La Jolla
- Northern Planning Area Committee (NPAC) Meeting

Please describe in detail any SLIGP program priority areas (education and outreach, governance, etc.) that you plan to continue beyond the SLIGP period of performance.

SLIGP staff will continue to coordinate the CalFRN Board meetings on a quarterly basis, as well as continue interaction with California Statewide Interoperability Executive Committee (CalSIEC). To prepare for emergency communications technology transitions, we will continue to remain engaged in gap analysis surveys, and Statewide Interoperability Coordinator (SWIC) working projects, such as production of an updated Cal-IFOG.

The California Department of Technology Procurement handles current statewide contracts. We will continue planning efforts to help FirstNet gain inclusion on applicable statewide contract vehicles.

## Data collection narrative: Please describe in detail the status of your SLIGP funded data collection activities.

Cal OES received 587 comments and feedback as a result of the State Plan outreach meetings. Each member of the TAG was assigned to a working group: A. Coverage and Deployment, B. Network Resiliency and Security, C. Service Plans, Devices, Operations and Local Control, and Applications.

## Please describe in detail any data collection activities you plan to continue beyond the SLIGP period of performance.

The SLIGP staff will continue to plan for the development of policies and agreements to increase sharing of data between existing public safety systems across various agencies within the State or territory using the NPSBN. In coordination with the CalFRN Board and CalSIEC, we will continue to strengthen the statewide interoperability goals to focus on the broadband deployment with the SWIC. As requested by FirstNet, we will collect data in specific areas identified to be helpful, such as identifying coverage needs/gaps.

Lessons Learned: Please share any lessons learned or best practices that your organization implemented during your SLIGP project.

## Part C: Staffing

Staffing Table - Please provide a summary of all positions funded by SLIGP.

Name	FTE%	Project(s) Assigned	Change
Public Information Officer (Mayberry)		Public Outreach	No Change
Staff Services Manager (Jackson)	10	Grant Management	No Change
Associate Governmental Program Analyst (Town)	. 100	Grant Management	Removed
Associate Governmental Program Analyst (Sano)	100	Grant Management	No Change
Statewide Interoperability Coordinator	. 0	Telecommunications Guidance	Removed
Associate Governmental Program Analyst	. 0	Project Management	Removed
Associate Governmental Program Analyst	0	Project Management	Removed
Staff Services Manager II	0	Project Management	Removed
Departmental Program Manager III	0	Project Management	Removed
Associate Telecommunications Engineer (Black)	100	Project Management	Removed
Associate Telecommunications Engineer (Khan)	100	Project Management	Removed
Staff Service Analyst	80	Project Management Assistance	No Change
Career Executive Assignment II (Plantz)	40	Project Management	Removed
Career Executive Assignment II (Currier)	40	Project Management	No Change
Career Executive Assignment III (Mallon)	40	Project Management	No Change
Senior Telecommunications Engineer (Bjorkland)	80	Project Management	Removed
Senior Telecommunications Engineer (Bond)	80	Project Management	Removed
Associate Governmental Program Analyst (Dumetz)	20	Project Management	No Change
Departmental Program Manager (Yarbrough)	40	Project Management	No Change
Associate Information Systems Analyst (Barnhurst)	30	Project Management -	Removed
Telecommunications Systems Manager (Villasenor)	100	Project Management	No Change
Telecommunications Systems Analyst II (Evans)		Project Management	Removed
Telecommunications Systems Analyst II (Elder)		Project Management	No Change
Telecommunications Systems Analyst II (Nielsen)		Project Management	No Change
Telecommunications Systems Analyst II (Semenov)		Project Management	No Change
Staff Service Analyst (Lal)		Project Management .	Removed
Associate Information Systems Analyst (Killion)		Project Management	No Change
Staff Service Analyst (Shells)	100	Project Management	No Change
Part D: Contracts and Funding			

Subcontracts Table - Include all subcontractors engaged during the period of performance. The totals from this table must equal the "Subcontracts Total" in your Budget Worksheet

Name	Subcontract Purpose	Type (Vendor/Subrec.)	RFP/RFQ Issued (Y/N)	Total Federal Funds Allocated	Total Matching Funds Allocated	
Science Application International Corporation (SAIC)	Public Outreach and Education	Vendor	Yes	\$886,506.00	\$0.00	
				·	-	
Budget Worksheet						

Budget Worksheet

Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your project budget for the entire award and your final SF 424A. Columns 5, 6, and 7 should list your final budget figures, cumulative through the last quarter

Project Budget Element (1)	Federal Funds Awarded (2)	Approved Matching Funds (3)	Total Budget (4)	Final Federal Funds Expended (5)	Final Approved  Matching Funds  Expended (6)	Final Total funds Expended (7)
a. Personnel Salaries	\$1,214,429.00	\$807,935.00	\$2,022,364.00	\$869,145.00	\$640,899.00	\$1,510,044.00

b. Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$623,233.00	\$373,986.00	\$997,219.00	\$410,975.00	\$295,524.00	\$706,499.00
c. Travel	\$86,800.00	\$0.00	\$86,800.00	\$82,808.00		\$82,808.00
d. Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	- "	\$0.00
e. Materials/Supplies	\$159,200.00	\$0.00	\$159,200.00	\$140,296.00		\$140,296.00
f. Subcontracts Total	\$2,554,218.00	\$237,276.00	\$2,791,494.00	\$886,606.00		\$886,606.00
g. Other	\$249,607.00		\$249,607.00	\$189,040.00	-	\$189,040.00
Indirect	\$789,379.00		\$789,379.00	\$566,439,00		\$566,439.00
h. Total Costs	\$5,676,866.00	\$1,419,197.00	\$7,096,063.00	\$3,145,309.00	\$936,423.00	\$4,081,732.00
i. % of Total	80%	20%	100%	77%	23%	100%

Part F: Additional Questions: P	lease select the ontion (Stron	ngly Disagree, Disagree, Neutral, Agree, Somewhat Agree, Strongly Agree)	that heet suits your answer			
Overall, were SLIGP funds	Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Narrative. SLIGP funds allowed our staff to perform outreach activities and data collection to inform public safety stakeholders of the FirstNet deployment in CA.			
Were SLIGP funds helpful in planning for your FirstNet consultation?	Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	<i>larrative</i> . SLIGP funds allowed the SLIGP staff to consult with AT&T/FirstNet to focus on CA priorities an sublic safety needs.			
Were SLIGP funds helpful in informing your stakeholders about FirstNet?	Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	<b>Narrative.</b> SLIGP staff attended and participated in various meetings throughout the state with AT&T ar FirstNet. We provided frequent updates of the FirstNet deployment.			
Were SLIGP funds helpful in developing a governance structure for broadband in your state?	Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Narrative. The California First Responders Network Board of Directors, consisting of multi-disciplinary representatives focused on broadband initiatives, including planning and outreach.			
Were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing your staff for FirstNet activities in your state (e.g. attending broadband conferences, participating in training, purchasing software, procuring contract support etc.)?	Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Narrative. SLIGP staff attended various conferences, such as CALNENA and NAPCO to represent the State's role in the FirstNet project.			
Were SLIGP funds helpful in updating your Statewide Communications Interoperability Plan?	Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Narrative. SLIGP staff performed outreach activities to update and verify information in the Cal-IFOG and to coordinate the CalSIEC			
Were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing for your review of the FirstNet developed State Plan?	Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Narrative. We created and coordinated a Technical Advisory Group (TAG) to evaluate the State Plan for California.			
Were SLIGP funds helpful in conducting FirstNet determined data collection?	Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Narrative. SLIGP staff performed outreach and data collection activities regarding California's mission-critical sites.			
Typed or printed name and title Renee Mota-Jackson, Unit Chief	e of Authorized Certifying Off		Telephone (area code, number, and extension)    Final Address:   Renee Jackson@CalOES.ca.gov			
Signature of Authorized Certify Sign here	ing Official:	Date: 6 6 6 5/29/2018				