

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

Version 02

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| * 1. Type of Submission: <input type="checkbox"/> Preapplication <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Application <input type="checkbox"/> Changed/Corrected Application | * 2. Type of Application: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation <input type="checkbox"/> Revision | * If Revision, select appropriate letter(s): <input type="text"/> * Other (Specify) <input type="text"/> |
|--|--|---|

| | |
|--|--|
| * 3. Date Received: <input type="text" value="03/19/2013"/> | 4. Applicant Identifier: <input type="text"/> |
|--|--|

| | |
|--|---|
| 5a. Federal Entity Identifier: <input type="text"/> | * 5b. Federal Award Identifier: <input type="text"/> |
|--|---|

State Use Only:

| | |
|---|---|
| 6. Date Received by State: <input type="text"/> | 7. State Application Identifier: <input type="text"/> |
|---|---|

8. APPLICANT INFORMATION:

* a. Legal Name:

| | |
|---|---|
| * b. Employer/Taxpayer Identification Number (EIN/TIN): <input type="text" value="470491233"/> | * c. Organizational DUNS: <input type="text" value="135074961"/> |
|---|---|

d. Address:

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| * Street1: | <input type="text" value="501 So. 14th Street"/> |
| Street2: | <input type="text"/> |
| * City: | <input type="text" value="Lincoln"/> |
| County: | <input type="text"/> |
| * State: | <input type="text" value="NE: Nebraska"/> |
| Province: | <input type="text"/> |
| * Country: | <input type="text" value="USA: UNITED STATES"/> |
| * Zip / Postal Code: | <input type="text" value="68508-2711"/> |

e. Organizational Unit:

| | |
|--|--|
| Department Name: <input type="text"/> | Division Name: <input type="text"/> |
|--|--|

f. Name and contact information of person to be contacted on matters involving this application:

| | |
|--|--|
| Prefix: <input type="text"/> | * First Name: <input type="text" value="Jayne"/> |
| Middle Name: <input type="text"/> | |
| * Last Name: <input type="text" value="Scofield"/> | |
| Suffix: <input type="text"/> | |

Title:

Organizational Affiliation:

| | |
|---|----------------------------------|
| * Telephone Number: <input type="text" value="402/471-3454"/> | Fax Number: <input type="text"/> |
|---|----------------------------------|

* Email:

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

Version 02

9. Type of Applicant 1: Select Applicant Type: A. State Government

Type of Applicant 2: Select Applicant Type:

- Select One -

Type of Applicant 3: Select Applicant Type:

- Select One -

*Other (specify):

*10. Name of Federal Agency:

National Telecommunications and Information Administration

11. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number:

11.549

CFDA Title:

State and Local Implementation Grant Program

*12. Funding Opportunity Number: 2013-NTIA-SLIGP-01

*Title: State and Local Implementation Grant Program (SLIGP)

13. Competition Identification Number: 2013-NTIA-SLIGP-01

Title:

14. Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.):

*15. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project:

Nebraska Statewide Public Safety Broadband Network Planning Project

Attach supporting documents as specified in agency instructions.

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

Version 02

16. Congressional Districts Of:

*a. Applicant
NE-all

*b. Program/Project:
NE-all

Attach an additional list of Program/Project Congressional Districts if needed.

17. Proposed Project:

*a. Start Date: 07/01/2013

*b. End Date: 06/30/2016

18. Estimated Funding (\$):

| | |
|--------------------|----------------|
| *a. Federal | \$1,510,750.00 |
| *b. Applicant | |
| *c. State | \$377,688.00 |
| *d. Local | |
| *e. Other | |
| *f. Program Income | |
| *g. TOTAL | \$1,888,438.00 |

***19. Is Application Subject to Review By State Under Executive Order 12372 Process?**

- a. This application was made available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on
- b. Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review.
- c. Program is not covered by E.O. 12372

*20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes", provide explanation.)

- Yes
- No

21. *By signing this application, I certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications** and (2) that the statements herein are true, complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances** and agree to comply with any resulting terms if I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001)

**I AGREE

** The list of certifications and assurances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency specific instructions.

Authorized Representative:

Prefix: *First Name: Jayne

Middle Name:

*Last Name: Scofield

Suffix:

*Title: IT Administrator, Network Services

*Telephone Number: 402/471-3454

Fax Number:

*Email: jayne.scofield@nebraska.gov

*Signature of Authorized Representative: *Jayne L. Scofield*

Date Signed: 6-19-2013

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

Version 02

***Applicant Federal Debt Delinquency Explanation**

The following field should contain an explanation if the Applicant organization is delinquent on any Federal Debt. Maximum number of characters that can be entered is 4,000. Try and avoid extra spaces and carriage returns to maximize the availability of space.

BUDGET INFORMATION - Non-Construction Programs

OMB Approval No. 0348-0044

| SECTION A - BUDGET SUMMARY | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Grant Program Function or Activity (a) | Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number (b) | Estimated Unobligated Funds | | New or Revised Budget | | |
| | | Federal (c) | Non-Federal (d) | Federal (e) | Non-Federal (f) | Total (g) |
| 1. | | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,510,750.00 | \$ 377,688.00 | \$ 1,888,438.00 |
| 2. | | | | | | 0.00 |
| 3. | | | | | | 0.00 |
| 4. | | | | | | 0.00 |
| 5. Totals | | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 1,510,750.00 | \$ 377,688.00 | \$ 1,888,438.00 |
| SECTION B - BUDGET CATEGORIES | | | | | | |
| 6. Object Class Categories | GRANT PROGRAM, FUNCTION OR ACTIVITY | | | | Total (5) | |
| | (1) Federal | (2) non-Federal | (3) | (4) | | |
| a. Personnel | \$ 0.00 | \$ 290,139.00 | \$ | \$ | \$ 290,139.00 | |
| b. Fringe Benefits | 0.00 | 87,042.00 | | | 87,042.00 | |
| c. Travel | 365,742.00 | 0.00 | | | 365,742.00 | |
| d. Equipment | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | 0.00 | |
| e. Supplies | 2,900.00 | 0.00 | | | 2,900.00 | |
| f. Contractual | 1,132,200.00 | 0.00 | | | 1,132,200.00 | |
| g. Construction | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | 0.00 | |
| h. Other | 9,908.00 | 507.00 | | | 10,415.00 | |
| i. Total Direct Charges (sum of 6a-6h) | 1,510,750.00 | 377,688.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,888,438.00 | |
| j. Indirect Charges | | | | | 0.00 | |
| k. TOTALS (sum of 6i and 6j) | \$ 1,510,750.00 | \$ 377,688.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 1,888,438.00 | |
| 7. Program Income | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | |

Authorized for Local Reproduction

Previous Edition Usable

Standard Form 424A (Rev. 7-97)
Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102

| SECTION C - NON-FEDERAL RESOURCES | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|
| (a) Grant Program | (b) Applicant | (c) State | (d) Other Sources | (e) TOTALS | |
| 8. | \$ 377,688.00 | \$ | \$ | \$ 377,688.00 | |
| 9. | | | | 0.00 | |
| 10. | | | | 0.00 | |
| 11. | | | | | |
| 12. TOTAL (sum of lines 8-11) | \$ | \$ | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | |
| SECTION D - FORECASTED CASH NEEDS | | | | | |
| | Total for 1st Year | 1st Quarter | 2nd Quarter | 3rd Quarter | 4th Quarter |
| 13. Federal | \$ 0.00 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 14. Non-Federal | 0.00 | | | | |
| 15. TOTAL (sum of lines 13 and 14) | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| SECTION E - BUDGET ESTIMATES OF FEDERAL FUNDS NEEDED FOR BALANCE OF THE PROJECT | | | | | |
| (a) Grant Program | FUTURE FUNDING PERIODS (Years) | | | | |
| | (b) First | (c) Second | (d) Third | (e) Fourth | |
| 16. | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | |
| 17. | | | | | |
| 18. | | | | | |
| 19. | | | | | |
| 20. TOTAL (sum of lines 16-19) | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | |
| SECTION F - OTHER BUDGET INFORMATION | | | | | |
| 21. Direct Charges: \$1,888,438.00 | | 22. Indirect Charges: 0 | | | |
| 23. Remarks: June 19, 2013 (Revised) | | | | | |

State of Nebraska
State and Local Implementation Grant Program
Supplemental Application Narrative

March 2013

Primary Contact:

Brenda L. Decker
CIO
State of Nebraska
501 South 14th Street
Lincoln, NE 68509
402-471-3717

Secondary Contact:

Jayne Scofield
IT Administrator, Networks
Office of the CIO
501 South 14th Street
Lincoln, NE 68509
402-471-3454

**State and Local Implementation Grant Program (SLIGP)
Supplemental Application Narrative**

1. Existing Governance Body

- a. *Describe the organizational structure and membership of the existing Statewide Interoperability Governing Body (SIGB), or its equivalent, that is responsible for public safety communications in the State.*

In 2008, Governor Dave Heineman established two separate governing bodies under Executive Order. The two bodies were N-WIN (Nebraska Wireless Interoperable Network) and NCOR (Nebraska Council of Regions). These two entities were created to manage the state's public safety network and the regional public safety networks. These groups met monthly through the summer of 2012. The membership on these two governing bodies were combined into the NPSCC in October of 2012 as indicated below.

In October of 2012, Governor Dave Heineman established the Nebraska Public Safety Communications Council (NPSCC). This group meets a minimum of six times a year, with working groups within the organization that meet as needed. The organizational structure and membership of this group is currently comprised of:

- a) A Nebraska State Patrol representative, who shall be appointed by the Superintendent of the Nebraska State Patrol;
- b) A Nebraska Game and Parks Commission representative, who shall be appointed by the Commission's Executive Director;
- c) A Nebraska Fire Marshal representative, who shall be appointed by the State Fire Marshal;
- d) A Nebraska Office of the Chief Information Officer representative, who shall be appointed by the Chief Information Officer;
- e) A Nebraska Emergency Management Agency representative, who shall be appointed by the Adjutant General;
- f) A Nebraska Public Power District (NPPD) representative, who shall be appointed by the NPPD Chief Executive Officer;
- g) One representative of each Nebraska PETCom* Regions, who shall be appointed by their respective region;
- h) A Nebraska League of Municipalities representative; and
- i) A Nebraska Association of County Officials representative.

*PETCom stands for "local planning, exercising, training and communications" regions of the state.

These meetings are attended by representatives of the agencies designated above and attendance has been over 90% at each meeting. Additionally, these are public meetings so

we see frequent attendance by the public, interested providers/vendors, and additional public safety entities.

- b. *Describe the SIGB's authority to make decisions regarding public safety communications and how these decisions are implemented.*

As described in the Executive Order, this group operates under the guidance and direction of the State Homeland Security Director/State Wireless Interoperability Coordinator. In Nebraska these positions are currently filled by Lt. Governor Lavon Heidemann. The Executive Order provides the following purposes and charges for the NPSCC:

- 1) Provide for the policy level direction related to the planning and decisions regarding development, operation and sustainability of interoperability in the State of Nebraska;
- 2) Provide leadership regarding the development of public safety communications within Nebraska;
- 3) Adopt bylaws for the operation of the Council;
- 4) Promote interoperability for public safety communications within Nebraska, and with border states;
- 5) Provide recommendations for the development of protocols, standard operating procedures and guidelines for use of public safety communications systems in Nebraska;
- 6) Coordinate and provide planning, training and exercise opportunities related to communications interoperability for all necessary and authorized public safety practitioners;
- 7) Establish working groups, as required, to meet the needs of providing interoperability within Nebraska. NPSCC will provide guidance on recommendations that come from these working groups; and
- 8) Develop recommendations and strategies for best utilization of public funds, including grants, to improve public safety communications in Nebraska.

Because the NPSCC was created under the umbrella of the Lt. Governor as Director of Homeland Security and the State SWIC, activities performed by the NPSCC will be guided by the powers and authorities of those bodies as well.

- c. *Describe how the State will leverage its existing SIGB, or its equivalent, to coordinate the implementation of the Public Safety Broadband Network (PSBN) in the State.*

The existing NPSCC will add a PSBN working group (as described in item 1b7) that will make recommendations to the NPSCC on how to accomplish the tasks outlined in this grant. This working group will include representation from the "public safety" users in Nebraska and will integrate these members into the planning process at the regional and state levels. The funds received under this grant will be used to support the activities of this PSBN working group only and not any of the normal function of the NPSCC.

- d. *How does the State plan to expand its existing SIGB to include representatives with an understanding of wireless broadband and Long Term Evolution (LTE) technology in order to facilitate its consultations with FirstNet?*

The Nebraska Office of the Chief Information Officer (OCIO) is responsible for the deployment of wireless broadband and LTE technology for State agencies. As such, the OCIO has staff with either the required knowledge of these technologies and/or the ability to access additional expertise and a pool of private consultants to provide the external professional resources to facilitate these consultations as needed. Additionally, it is anticipated that the PSBN working group will most likely include technology experts from both the public and private sector as it defines the role of “public safety” users in Nebraska. As the project unfolds, the PSBN working group will draw on the expertise available to comprehensively address the broadband and LTE technology.

- e. *Does the State currently dedicate sufficient financial resources to adequately support the SIGB? Does the State intend to invest funds received from SLIGP to financially support the SIGB? If so, provide the amount the State expects to request and describe the SIGB functions that these funds will support.*

The current NPSCC is supported by the Nebraska Emergency Management Agency, as well as the entities they represent. The State will need to invest funds received from SLIGP to financially support the members on the working group, as well as the NPSCC, related to several of the activities specific to FirstNet as outlined in the budget submitted with this application.

2. Statewide Communications Interoperability Plan (SCIP)

- a. *Are there existing strategic goals and initiatives in your SCIP focused on public safety wireless broadband? If so, what are they?*

The existing strategic goals and initiatives in the current SCIP have not been updated with a focus on public safety wireless broadband.

- b. *Describe how the State has engaged local governments and tribal nations, if applicable, in public safety broadband planning activities that have been completed to date.*

The State of Nebraska has not engaged in public safety broadband planning activities to date. Past public safety interoperable communications (PSIC) funds have been targeted for a local government point to point data network to connect public safety answering points (PSAPs). This funding was administered through the Nebraska Emergency Management Agency (NEMA), to the local governments in Nebraska. Based on lessons learned in this model, the State of Nebraska has acquired the appropriate contacts with the proper authority at the local level for involvement in this broadband project.

- c. *Does the State intend to use SLIGP funding to support efforts to update the SCIP by adding public safety wireless broadband strategic goals and initiatives? If so, provide the amount the State expects to request and describe the activities that these funds will support.*

The State of Nebraska will use the SLIGP funding to support efforts to update the SCIP with public safety wireless broadband strategic goals and initiatives. The attached budget will outline the funds being requested to support this activity.

3. State-level Involvement

- a. *What is the status of the Statewide Interoperability Coordinator (SWIC) for your State? Does this person work full-time in the SWIC capacity? How will this person be involved with SLIGP?*

The SWIC for the State of Nebraska is Lt. Governor Lavon Heidemann. Obviously this is not the only responsibility of the Lt. Governor. However, as the individual named in Governor Heineman's Executive Order that provides the guidance and direction to the NPSCC, Lt. Governor Heidemann will be heavily involved in the process. According to the bylaws of the NPSCC, the SWIC or their designee chairs the NPSCC. By combining the efforts of this grant as a working group of the NPSCC, the continuing involvement of the SWIC is ensured. The Lt. Governor position is not and will not be funded with SLIGP funds.

- b. *How will the State's Chief Information Officer/Chief Technology Officer be involved with SLIGP and with activities related to the implementation of the nationwide public safety broadband network?*

The State's Chief Information Officer has been designated as Nebraska's single point of contact for Nebraska's involvement with FirstNet. Additionally, the membership of the NPSCC includes the CIO's representative, bringing technology expertise from both the agency and the private sector partners of the CIO.

- c. *What other State-level organizations or agencies will be involved with SLIGP?*

Other State-level organization/agencies that will be involved with SLIGP include:

- the Nebraska State Patrol;
- the Nebraska State Fire Marshal;
- the Nebraska Game and Parks Commission;
- the Nebraska Emergency Management Agency;
- the Nebraska Public Service Commission; and,
- the Nebraska Budget Office.

Additionally we anticipate participation from:

- the Nebraska Department of Roads;
- the Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services;
- the Nebraska Commission on Indian Affairs;
- the Nebraska Attorney General;
- the Nebraska Department of Correctional Services;

- the University of Nebraska;
 - the Nebraska Educational Telecommunications Commission;
 - the Nebraska Department of Agriculture;
 - the Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality; and
 - possibly others as the comprehensive list of stakeholders is identified.
- d. *What are the specific staffing resources the State requires to effectively implement the consultation process with the First Responder Network Authority (FirstNet) and perform the requirements of SLIGP? If the application requests funding for additional staffing, provide the amount the State expects to request and describe the positions these funds will support.*

Many of the anticipated activities relative to the planning and implementation of the PSBN will require additional staffing resources for the State of Nebraska, while some of the activities will be provided by existing state staff as part of the State match. In Phase I the State anticipates that a full-time staff member will be required to assist the State with the administrative and logistic issues relative to providing project management, setting up meetings, ensuring that tasks are tracked and completed, as well as writing reports and assisting with document management. Phase II of this project is anticipated to require data collection relative to existing state assets that can be “shared” for the deployment of the network. Although an updated budget will be requested for second phase activities, the State of Nebraska anticipates that we will be requesting funding for an external contractor to perform data collection activities. The attached budget identifies that need.

- e. *How is the State engaging private industry and secondary users (e.g., utilities)?*

In Nebraska, all power is public power and a full partner in our efforts for interoperability of our public safety communications. Nebraska Public Power is a member of the NPSCC and represents the public power entities on this initiative.

4. Coordination with Local Government Jurisdictions

- a. *Describe the local government jurisdictional structure (e.g., municipalities, cities, counties, townships, parishes) located within the boundaries of the State, Commonwealth, Territory, or District applying for a grant. How many of these local jurisdictions exist within the State’s boundaries?*

The State of Nebraska covers 77,354 square miles making it the 16th largest state in the country in physical size. The jurisdictional structure includes 93 counties. There are 16 cities with a population over 10,000 people, followed by 15 cities with a population of greater than 5,000 people. There are 116 cities classified as second class cities (populations between 800 and 4,999) and 382 villages (populations between 100 and 799). As the 9th least-densely populated state in the country, any statewide communications project will continue to provide unique challenges.

- b. *Describe how your State will involve these local jurisdictions to ensure there is adequate representation of their interests in the FirstNet consultation and in the planning and governance for SLIGP.*

As stated above, a project of this nature will present the State of Nebraska with some unique challenges based on the geographical makeup of the state. Ensuring adequate representation of local jurisdictions is one area that will be a challenge. Preliminary plans include involving the Nebraska League of Municipalities and the Nebraska Association of County Officials in providing representation on the group established. We will leverage our relationship with the existing NPSCC members, as well as Regional Emergency Communications Coordination Working Group (RECCWG) representatives to ensure that the regions and tribes will have active participation in the process. The Nebraska Information Technology Commission has a Community Council, a Geographical Information Systems (GIS) Council, a State Government Council, an eHealth Council, and an Education Council that currently utilize the type of communication to external constituents that we are proposing. The managers of each of these councils are OCIO staff which provides a direct connection to the groups. Our plans to ensure participation include the use of technologies (videos, video conferencing, electronic listservs, regional meetings, etc.) to provide information and receive feedback.

- c. *Describe past methods the State has used to successfully coordinate state-wide projects or activities with local government jurisdictions.*

The State of Nebraska has successfully coordinated several statewide projects using the same methodology we are proposing for this project. The use of representation from various sectors, along with significant outreach and a clear project plan has allowed the state to implement several projects. The Lt. Governor also chairs the Nebraska Information Technology Commission (NITC) which has statutory oversight of all enterprise information technology projects. Specific examples of these types of successful projects include:

Network Nebraska: Network Nebraska is a collaborative effort under the auspices of the Nebraska Information Technology Commission (NITC) to share telecommunications resources, network services, and applications among eligible participants, i.e., K-20 schools, state government, political subdivisions, etc. Network Nebraska is a statewide multipurpose telecommunications backbone and all of its associated service offerings and support made possible through a consortium of public entities working successfully together to provide a scalable, reliable and affordable infrastructure capable of carrying a spectrum of services and applications. The success of this network hinges on the involvement of the network users in governance and operation using the same methods described in this grant. In order to accomplish this statewide system, we leveraged our relationship with the Nebraska Department of Education, the Nebraska Educational Service Units and the Distance Learning Coordinating Council. These groups reached out to their individual membership to share information and provide feedback the State. Surveys are regularly used to monitor success or areas for improvement.

Intergovernmental Data Services System: In 1993, the Governor and Legislature established the Intergovernmental Data Services System and assigned the responsibility for managing the

statewide, shared computer system that links county governments and state agencies to this function. The system consists of equipment located in each county seat and connected to the state's data communications network and system of computers. The purpose of the system is to improve the quality of data and access to information, streamline agency operations, and reduce costs, especially by avoiding the need for duplicate systems if state agencies installed separate networks. Specific uses of the system have included; the processes associated with vehicle titles and registrations (VTR) in the state; automation of County and District Courts (including the implementation of Court JUSTICE application); automation of the posting of county payroll data to the State Retirement Board; automation of the transfer of data from counties to the Secretary of State's central voter registration database; and support of the various applications in use in counties. The success of this network hinges on the involvement of the network users in governance and operation using the same methods described in this grant. In order to accomplish this statewide shared computer system, we leveraged our relationship with the Nebraska Association of County Officials. This group reached out to their individual membership to share information and provide feedback the State. Additionally, the agencies that provide services to the counties on this network are included in a steering group that interacts with individual county partners as appropriate.

- d. *What have been some of the State's primary challenges when engaging with local jurisdictions? What are some of the strategies that the State will employ to overcome these challenges during implementation of SLIGP?*

One of the primary challenges for Nebraska is our extremely rural population. Of the approximately 1.8 million people in the state, 41% reside in five cities that are considered Lincoln and/or Omaha Metro – fifty miles from each other in the southeast portion of the state. Communication with the other 59% of the population is our challenge. Previous strategies to overcome this challenge have included “road shows”, efforts to educate and train the sector representative to “carry the message”, and the use of technology to disseminate and gather information. We anticipate using these same successful strategies in this project.

5. Regional Coordination

- a. *Does your State have intrastate regional committees that are involved with public safety communications? If so, please describe their organizational structure and membership and how they provide input to the SIGB.*

The State of Nebraska has various regional committees involved in public safety communications. In addition to the Nebraska Public Safety Communications Council (NPSCC) described in item 1 above, the state has taken many steps to coordinate public safety communications deployment of the years. In the early distribution of Homeland Security grant funding, the Nebraska Emergency Management Agency established areas of common interest relative to funding of communications project. Eight PET (planning, exercise and training) Regions were developed to coordinate grants administration and develop regional communications systems. When the Public Safety Interoperable

Communications (PSIC) grants became available, the State of Nebraska worked with the regions to adopt the investment justifications for the statewide projects to enhance public safety communications. The microwave project has been named the Nebraska Regional Interoperability Network (NRIN), owned by the local entities within the PET regions. Finally, the Land Mobile Radio (LMR) system of the State of Nebraska, call the Statewide Radio System (SRS), includes a System User Group that coordinates the use of the system for daily use and interoperability. All of these groups will have input into the SLIGP. In many cases, the same representative holds multiple memberships across the various groups which facilitates good information sharing.

- b. Describe any interstate regional bodies in which your State participates that are involved with public safety communications in the State.*

The State of Nebraska participates with numerous interstate entities regarding public safety communications. Nebraska is an active member of the National Governor's Association (NGA), National Association of State Chief Information Officers (NASCIO), National Association of State Technology Directors (NASTD), Association of Public-Safety Communications Officials (APCO), Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Region F7 Regional Emergency Communication Coordination Working Group (RECCWG), National Emergency Management Association (chairing the Preparedness Committee), the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP), Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS), National Law Enforcement Teletype System (NLETS), Combined Law Enforcement Information Network (CLEIN), and the Department of Homeland Security SAFECOM program to name a few.

- c. How does the State plan to engage and leverage these existing regional coordination efforts in the nationwide public safety broadband network planning?*

Nebraska is also working with a consortium of states in the western region of the country to identify commonalities that we can leverage to jointly coordinate our outreach and educational plans. An official decision has not been made as to whether Nebraska will join the Western State alliance. If the decision is made to join "WestNet" we do not believe that any of our planning dollars would be used outside of supporting Nebraska's efforts. Additionally, as we identify areas of commonality regarding system development/requirements and efficiencies, we anticipate participating in those efforts. Through the organizations listed in 5b above, we also anticipate being able to coordinate and share efforts, ideas and best practices.

- d. Please identify, if applicable, any other state, territory, or regional entity with which the State collaborated or coordinated in the development and preparation of this application and describe the nature of that collaboration or coordination.*

The State of Nebraska has consulted with other states that expressed an interest in future efforts directly related to these broadband deployments. These collaborations and coordination efforts have been accomplished through virtual and in person discussions and meetings.

6. Tribal Nations

- a. *How many federally recognized tribes are located within the State boundaries? (If the answer is zero, please skip to question #7.) Information on federally recognized tribes may be located at the Department of Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs website:
<http://www.bia.gov/WhoWeAre/BIA/OIS/TribalGovernmentServices/TribalDirectory/index.htm>*

There are four federally recognized tribes with headquarters located in Nebraska: the Omaha, Ponca, Santee Sioux and Winnebago tribes. The Ioway Tribe of Kansas and Nebraska and Sac and Fox Nation of Missouri have service areas in both Kansas and Nebraska with tribal headquarters in Kansas. The Oglala Sioux Tribe also owns land in Nebraska with many tribal members living in Nebraska, but tribal headquarters are in South Dakota. Recently, the Pawnee acquired land near Dannebrog, Nebraska although their headquarters are in Oklahoma.

- b. *Describe how the State will involve the tribal nations to ensure there is adequate representation of their interests in the FirstNet consultation and in the planning/governance for the grant program. Does the State have a process for consulting with the tribes located within State boundaries? If so, please provide a description of that process.*

Currently, Mr. Matthew May is an appointed member of the Regional RECCWG representing emergency management. Mr. May is the Emergency Manager from the Winnebago Tribe. Additionally, the Nebraska Commission on Indian Affairs is a State agency that we anticipate we will engage in the process. Judi gaiashkibos, an enrolled member of the Ponca Tribe of Nebraska, is the Executive Director.

- c. *Describe past methods the State has used to successfully coordinate with tribal nations.*

When the initial planning for the statewide land mobile radio system was started, the State worked with the Nebraska Commission on Indian Affairs to assist us in engaging the tribal nations. The Office of the CIO has worked with the tribal nations to coordinate their radio frequencies, provide assistance with their radio network equipment needs through our involvement with the local emergency managers, and provide network connectivity to some of their tribal educational entities. We anticipate approaching Director gaiashkibos once again for assistance in this area. The Tribes have always been included in planning, exercise and training regions for previous homeland security grant opportunities to improve public safety communications. Finally, we have routinely worked with the local government points of contact engaged with the Tribes to leverage their relationships.

- d. *Are there tribal representatives who regularly attend your SIGB meetings? If so, please identify the tribes represented.*

Yes – Mr. Matthew May is the Emergency Coordinator from the Winnebago Tribe and a full time member of the Regional RECCWG. He attends regularly. Mr. May was a regional representative on the NPSCC, however, he relinquished his seat on that council when he

took the set on the RECCWG. Our relationship with Mr. May will allow us to engage the appropriate tribal nation representative at the State level.

- e. *What have been some of the State's primary challenges when engaging with tribal nations? What are some of the strategies that the State will employ to overcome these challenges during implementation of SLIGP?*

Through Mr. May's involvement in the Regional RECCWG, and with state/local emergency management, we have not encountered any different challenges with the tribal nations from those identified with other entities in item 4d above.

7. Rural Coverage

- a. *Please classify your local jurisdictions into rural and non-rural areas and identify the criteria used in making these rural and non-rural determinations.*

As the question seems to point out, rural is defined in different ways depending on who is defining it. For Census 2000, the Census Bureau adopted the urban cluster concept, for the first time defining relatively small, densely settled clusters of population using the same approach as was used to define larger urbanized areas of 50,000 or more population, and no longer identified urban places located outside urbanized areas.¹ Using this definition, Nebraska has three cities that meet the 50,000 population criteria and therefore "could" be considered non-rural. These cities are Omaha, Lincoln and Bellevue.

- b. *Please describe the coverage area and availability of broadband service and LTE technology in the rural areas of the State as defined in response to 7.a.*

The availability of broadband service and the coverage area can be seen in the Broadband mapping project of the Nebraska Public Service Commission on their website at <https://nebb.broadmap.com/StateMap/>. The map clearly shows that rural Nebraska has areas not being served, with the majority of the State being served by anywhere from 1 to 3 providers. The availability of LTE is not displayed and would need to be collected in the data collection portion of this project. The Office of the CIO has many existing relationships with the Public Service Commission. The CIO has a seat on several PSC subcommittees and the PSC participates on several technology committees including the GIS Council and the Technical Panel of the Nebraska Information Technology Commission. The Office of the CIO has already made contact with the PSC about participating in this broadband effort and has received confirmation of their commitment to be involved. They will be a regularly invited participant to the meetings of the PSBN workgroup.

¹ Taken from the Census Bureau site

http://www.census.gov/history/www/programs/geography/urban_and_rural_areas.html

- c. *Please describe how the State plans to prioritize the grant activities to ensure coverage in, and participation by, rural areas. Please include specific plans, milestones, and metrics to demonstrate how you will achieve these requirements.*

On January 12, 2010, the National Telecommunications and Information Administration awarded the Nebraska Public Service Commission approximately \$2.1 million for broadband data collection, mapping and planning activities over a two-year period. On September 27, 2010 an additional \$3.5 million was awarded to the Public Service Commission for mapping and planning activities in years two through five of the project. The State anticipates that the Public Service Commission will be an active participant of the PSBN working group to ensure that the grant activities ensure coverage in rural areas. As the Public Service Commission's work validates the reported coverage, the information obtained will be included in this planning effort. Our planning will include prioritization of coverage and participation in rural areas using many of the same metrics FirstNet has identified. We will look to balance current coverage and lack of coverage with both population served and land mass covered. Public safety will be given priority over all other sector needs.

8. Existing Infrastructure

- a. *What, if any, databases exist that collect data on government-owned wireless and/or communications infrastructure for the state, local, and/or tribal governments?*

The State does not currently have one database that collects data on government-owned wireless and/or communications infrastructure for the entities identified. However, each entity most likely has a "listing" of infrastructure, as well as resources available through the State regulatory body.

- b. *If these databases exist, what is the process for updating them and how often do these updates occur?*

If these databases exist, the process and timing of updates most likely varies entity by entity.

9. Existing Government-Owned Networks

- a. *Describe how you plan to identify any hardening, security, reliability, or resiliency requirements that are currently required for existing government-owned networks within the State, including those networks at the local and tribal governments.*

The State of Nebraska has a statutorily created entity that handles standards and guidelines for state networks. The Nebraska Information Technology Commission (NITC) was established to review and adopt policies for investments in information technology infrastructure services. This Commission adopts minimum technical standards, guidelines and architectures upon recommendation by their technical panel. The technical standards that have been established to date are available

at <http://www.nitc.nebraska.gov/standards/>. These standards include security standards for all existing state government-owned networks.

- b. Describe how you plan to identify any existing contractual requirements regarding hardening, security, reliability, or resiliency for commercial carriers providing wireless data services within the State, including those at the local and tribal governments.*

Currently when the State contracts with a commercial carrier for services that require a level of security, reliability or resiliency above normal operation, the contract must include terms that at a minimum meet our own state standards. The State anticipates that this topic will also be part of the planning process of this grant.

10. Network Users

- a. Describe how you plan to identify the potential users of the nationwide public safety broadband network within the State, including at the local and tribal governments.*

The working group concept under the existing NPSCC provides the State with the flexibility to create a comprehensive stakeholder identification plan. At first glance it appears “simple” to identify the potential public safety users of the network. However, the potential role of other entities in response to an event that are not “traditional public safety” is an area that is too important to overlook. Entities such as schools, health and human services, non-governmental agencies, etc. are important public safety support entities and as such need to be considered as network users as the plan is created. There are a variety of forums and technologies that can be used to identify these potential users. Through the PSBN working group, sessions will be held to determine the definition of a public safety user for Nebraska. Once a definition is set, the representatives of the local government jurisdictions will be surveyed to assist the State in ensuring a broad base for identifying the potential users of the nationwide public safety broadband network.

11. Education and Outreach

- a. Describe how you plan to educate and train multi-discipline, public safety and other government users of the nationwide public safety broadband network at your State, local, and tribal levels.*

Once the State of Nebraska identifies the comprehensive stakeholder plan, an outreach and education plan will be established to assist in accomplishing the task described. When we have solid knowledge of the stakeholders and the areas that will require outreach and training, a project plan will be developed. There are a variety of forums and technologies that can be used to educate and train. Our grant activities anticipate a series of meetings to train on LTE, gather information from stakeholders and presentation of the final plan. The grant has identified 8 regional meetings per year to bring the public safety entities together for this purpose. Additionally, the grant anticipates attendance at 4 public safety association meetings each year for the same purpose. Based on past attendance at these types of events, we anticipate 150 – 200 attendees at these meetings. Our plan also

identifies the use of video conferencing, webinars and individual meetings as requested to reach a plethora of public safety entities. The specific details of these events will be part of the planning phase.

12. Memorandum of Agreements

- a. *Describe any specific obstacles, laws, and/or legal issues that will likely impede your ability to participate fully in the nationwide public safety broadband network or in SLIGP.*

Memorandum of Agreements/Interlocal Agreements will focus on defining roles and responsibilities between state, federal, local, tribal and government agencies. As FirstNet provides specific guidance during the planning phase, the state will be able to prepare relevant agreements that address these requirements. Nebraska will need to continue to assess any obstacles, laws and/or legal issues that may impact PSBN activities throughout the project. For example, depending on the private entities that Nebraska may need to come to agreement with, state specific laws could present challenges to full implementation and utilization of privately owned assets.

13. Tools

- a. *What are some of the software tools that the State has used and could apply to the planning and data collection activities associated with this program?*

The State uses GIS tools/databases, as well as document management systems that would be used during the planning and data collection activities.

- b. *Is the State aware of additional tools that could be useful for implementing allowable grant activities?*

Project management tools, web hosting/development/media tools, video conferencing tools, etc.

14. Phase Two Funding

- a. *Describe the activities that you expect to undertake with the Phase 2 funding when it is made available to the State, Territory, or District.*

The State does not have the staffing levels that would allow our organization to physically perform the data collection tasks. It is anticipated that Phase 2 funding would be used to hire a consultant/firm to perform the actual collection of data.

15. Other

- a. *Please list any consultants, vendors, or other entity that assisted in the preparation of this application.*

The application was completed by the State of Nebraska, with input from its LMR partner Nebraska Public Power District.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act, as amended, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB Control Number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is OMB No. 0660-0038, expiring 7/31/2013. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 hours per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Michael E. Dame, Director, State and Local Implementation Grant Program, Office of Public Safety Communications, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC), 1401 Constitution Avenue, N.W., HCHB, Room 7324, Washington, D.C. 20230.

State of Nebraska
Nebraska Statewide Public Safety Broadband Network Planning Project
Project Feasibility

The State of Nebraska is applying for the State and Local Implementation Grant Program (SLIGP) to identify, plan, and implement the most efficient and effective means for the use and integration of the infrastructure, equipment, and other architecture associated with the nationwide public safety broadband network. The project will assist the state in completing the grant specified activities in the following manner:

(1) establish or enhance a governance structure to consult with FirstNet;

The grant will be used to expand our current statewide interoperability governing board with a working group dedicated to public safety broadband issues.

(2) develop procedures to ensure local and tribal representation when the state is consulting with FirstNet;

The grant will be used to expand our current statewide interoperability governing board with a working group that will ensure local and tribal representation is strongly established.

Establishing partnerships with statewide organizations such as the Nebraska Commission on Indian Affairs, the Nebraska League of Municipalities, and the Nebraska Association of County Officials will ensure that this constituency is part of the team. Fortunately the State of Nebraska has strong previous relationships with these entities and has completed successful projects with these partners in the past.

(3) conduct education and outreach for all relevant stakeholders that will be involved in the public safety broadband network;

The grant will be used to employ a full-time person whose sole responsibility will be to conduct education and outreach to relevant stakeholders. This individual will attend regional meetings of relevant potential users such as the eight PET (planning, education and training) regions, the Nebraska Sheriffs Association, the Nebraska Chapter of APCO, the Fire Fighters Association, and all other associations and meetings of entities identified as potential users of the system.

(4) identify potential public safety users for the PSBN;

We anticipate that this piece of the planning portion of the grant will be spent identifying "traditional" and "non-traditional" public safety users for the PSBN. Through the use of a facilitated discussion, the state will dedicated the necessary meeting time and discussion to ensure that we have a strong/solid definition of potential public safety users.

(5) develop a standard Memorandum of Agreement appropriate for the grantee state to facilitate the possible sharing of infrastructure with FirstNet;

The current Land Mobile Radio (LMR) system in the state of Nebraska is a shared resource between the state and public power. Additionally, many of the services provided by the state to our local governments require MOA's or MOU's between the entities. This previous work provides a solid foundation for the success of achieving this goal. The grant will be used to bring consensus among our partners on what the MOU/MOA needs to include to share any infrastructure with FirstNet.

(6) develop staffing plans to involve local and tribal stakeholders in a future data collection in consultation with FirstNet; and

As we work with local and tribal stakeholders on this planning, we believe we will be in a solid position to establish a staffing plan on how all the entities will handle a future data collection in consultation with FirstNet. The State of Nebraska has a strong project management philosophy

and anticipates that much of the work that is done through this grant process will feed that project management plan, including staffing needs.

(7) *prepare a comprehensive plan as part of the Statewide Communications Interoperability Plan (SCIP), or a plan complementary and similar to the SCIP, describing the public safety needs that the grantee expects FirstNet to address, plus relevant milestones.*

Again, the State of Nebraska has a strong project management philosophy and anticipates that much of the work that is done through this grant process will feed a project management plan that will either be included as part of the SCIP or will be an attachment to the SCIP. Our project management framework establishes goals, action steps to achieve those goals and measurements of success such as milestones. We are in an excellent position to ensure that we can meet this activity.

The State of Nebraska has been working to implement the Statewide Radio System (SRS) over the past three years. As the state agencies have moved onto the new system, coordination with the same entities described in this project has had to occur. The current traditional public safety entities in the State are currently asking for interoperability with the new system. Additionally, the work that has occurred at the local level connecting public safety answering points has established the relationships at a local level as well. This puts Nebraska in a uniquely positive position to continue the work described in this grant.

BUDGET INFORMATION - Non-Construction Programs

OMB Approval No. 0348-0044

| SECTION A - BUDGET SUMMARY | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Grant Program Function or Activity (a) | Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number (b) | Estimated Unobligated Funds | | New or Revised Budget | | |
| | | Federal (c) | Non-Federal (d) | Federal (e) | Non-Federal (f) | Total (g) |
| 1. | | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,510,750.00 | \$ 377,688.00 | \$ 1,888,438.00 |
| 2. | | | | | | 0.00 |
| 3. | | | | | | 0.00 |
| 4. | | | | | | 0.00 |
| 5. Totals | | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 1,510,750.00 | \$ 377,688.00 | \$ 1,888,438.00 |
| SECTION B - BUDGET CATEGORIES | | | | | | |
| 6. Object Class Categories | GRANT PROGRAM, FUNCTION OR ACTIVITY | | | | Total (5) | |
| | (1) Federal | (2) non-Federal | (3) | (4) | | |
| a. Personnel | \$ 0.00 | \$ 290,139.00 | \$ | \$ | \$ 290,139.00 | |
| b. Fringe Benefits | 0.00 | 87,042.00 | | | 87,042.00 | |
| c. Travel | 365,742.00 | 0.00 | | | 365,742.00 | |
| d. Equipment | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | 0.00 | |
| e. Supplies | 2,900.00 | 0.00 | | | 2,900.00 | |
| f. Contractual | 1,132,200.00 | 0.00 | | | 1,132,200.00 | |
| g. Construction | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | 0.00 | |
| h. Other | 9,908.00 | 507.00 | | | 10,415.00 | |
| i. Total Direct Charges (sum of 6a-6h) | 1,510,750.00 | 377,688.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,888,438.00 | |
| j. Indirect Charges | | | | | 0.00 | |
| k. TOTALS (sum of 6i and 6j) | \$ 1,510,750.00 | \$ 377,688.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 1,888,438.00 | |
| 7. Program Income | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | |

Authorized for Local Reproduction

| SECTION C - NON-FEDERAL RESOURCES | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|
| (a) Grant Program | (b) Applicant | (c) State | (d) Other Sources | (e) TOTALS | |
| 8. | \$ 377,688.00 | \$ | \$ | \$ 377,688.00 | |
| 9. | | | | 0.00 | |
| 10. | | | | 0.00 | |
| 11. | | | | | |
| 12. TOTAL (sum of lines 8-11) | \$ | \$ | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | |
| SECTION D - FORECASTED CASH NEEDS | | | | | |
| | Total for 1st Year | 1st Quarter | 2nd Quarter | 3rd Quarter | 4th Quarter |
| 13. Federal | \$ 0.00 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 14. Non-Federal | 0.00 | | | | |
| 15. TOTAL (sum of lines 13 and 14) | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| SECTION E - BUDGET ESTIMATES OF FEDERAL FUNDS NEEDED FOR BALANCE OF THE PROJECT | | | | | |
| (a) Grant Program | FUTURE FUNDING PERIODS (Years) | | | | |
| | (b) First | (c) Second | (d) Third | (e) Fourth | |
| 16. | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | |
| 17. | | | | | |
| 18. | | | | | |
| 19. | | | | | |
| 20. TOTAL (sum of lines 16-19) | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | |
| SECTION F - OTHER BUDGET INFORMATION | | | | | |
| 21. Direct Charges: \$1,888,438.00 | | 22. Indirect Charges: 0 | | | |
| 23. Remarks: June 19, 2013 (Revised) | | | | | |

State of Nebraska
State and Local Implementation Grant Program

Detailed Budget Justification Revised

May 2013

As stated in the Project Feasibility document, the State of Nebraska will use the grant to expand our current statewide interoperability governing board with a working group that will ensure local and tribal representation is strongly established. Additionally, the grant will be matched by resources that allow the agencies involved to play to their strengths and provide a quality outcome.

Personnel

| | |
|---------------------|------------------|
| Federal: | \$ 0 |
| Non-Federal: | \$290,139 |
| Total: | \$290,139 |

See the Detailed Budget Spreadsheet for calculations

- Nebraska State Patrol (Non-Federal): The Nebraska State Patrol will provide three individuals that will spend a portion of their time working on the activities of this grant. These activities include participating in meetings with local and tribal jurisdictions, and communicating information about grant activities to key stake holders. These three people include one Major, an Infrastructure Analyst and Communications Director with specific hours dedicated to the activities of the SLIGP grant. The budgeted costs are ONLY for the duties associated with public safety broadband and the SLIGP grant.
- OCIO Public Safety Team (Non-Federal): The Office of the Chief Information Officer will provide four individuals from the Public Safety Team that will spend a portion of their time working on the activities of this grant. These activities include participating in meetings with local and tribal jurisdictions, and communicating information about grant activities to key stake holders. These four people include the Public Safety manager, a Public Safety Business Analyst, a Public Safety Network Coordinator and a Public Safety Administrative Assistant with specific hours dedicated to the activities of the SLIGP grant. This team will provide most of the administrative duties related to this grant, in addition to all assignments that are directly related to the public safety broadband and the SLIGP grant. The duties of the land mobile radio system will NOT be included in the time spent under this grant.
- The CIO (Non-Federal): The Chief Information Officer serves as the single point of contact for the State on this grant and will provide oversight for the grant, ensuring that all activities are completed on time and within budget. The CIO will be the primary point of contact for consultation with FirstNet and will work to expand the State's governance structure. The budgeted costs are ONLY for the duties associated with public safety broadband and the SLIGP grant
- OCIO Operational Team (Non-Federal): The Office of the Chief Information Officer will provide six individuals that will spend a portion of their time working on the activities of this grant. These activities include participating in meetings with local and tribal jurisdictions, and communicating information about grant activities to key stake holders. These six positions include one IT Administrator, a Network Manager, a Telecommunications Manager, a Database Analyst, a Database Administrator and a Business Analyst with specific hours dedicated to the activities of the SLIGP grant.

These individuals will provide the networking expertise related to this grant, as well as develop any database, survey tools and other IT related activities. The budgeted costs are ONLY for the duties associated with public safety broadband and the SLIGP grant.

- Nebraska State Marshal (Non-Federal): The Nebraska State Fire Marshal will provide one individual that will spend a portion of their time working on the activities of this grant. These activities include participating in meetings with local and tribal jurisdictions, and communicating information about grant activities to key stake holders. This person is the Fire Marshal Assistant that has specific hours dedicated to the activities of the SLIGP grant. The budgeted costs are ONLY for the duties associated with public safety broadband and the SLIGP grant.
- Nebraska Game & Parks (Non-Federal): The Nebraska Game and Parks Commission will provide one individual that will spend a portion of their time working on the activities of this grant. These activities include participating in meetings with local and tribal jurisdictions, and communicating information about grant activities to key stake holders. This person is Game and Parks Law Enforcement Assistant Admin that has specific hours dedicated to the activities of the SLIGP grant. The budgeted costs are ONLY for the duties associated with public safety broadband and the SLIGP grant.
- OCIO Security Officer (Non-Federal): The Office of the Chief Information Officer will provide the State Security to spend a portion of their time working on the activities of this grant. These activities include participating in meetings with local and tribal jurisdictions, and communicating information about grant activities to key stake holders. This individual will provide security expertise. The budgeted costs are ONLY for the duties associated with public safety broadband and the SLIGP grant.

Fringe

| | |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| Federal: | \$ 0 |
| Non-Federal: | \$87,042 |
| Total: | \$87,042 |

See the Detailed Budget Spreadsheet for calculations

- All Positions (Non-Federal): Benefits include FICA, unemployment, and retirement and are calculated at 30% of the salary costs. The benefits are calculated using the same percentages as the percentage of time allocated. For example, the NSP Major spends 5% of the time on SLIGP, so 5% of the fringe benefits have been allocated to this grant. The percentages are detailed on the budget spreadsheet.

Travel

| | |
|---------------------|------------------|
| Federal: | \$365,742 |
| Non-Federal: | \$0 |
| Total: | \$365,742 |

See the Detailed Budget Spreadsheet for calculations

- Mileage for Working Group Meetings for Local Government participants (Federal): With 93 counties and 4 tribes, we anticipate 4 representatives from each local entity or 388 individuals attending three meetings over three years. Our plan includes meetings set up at central locations across the state with the ability to allocate our travel dollars to reimburse for mileage for these local entities to attend. We averaged the mileage as one vehicle from each entity having to drive a round trip of 400 miles, and used the State

reimbursement of \$.565 per mile. These meetings will be held to raise awareness of the PSBN, discuss local and tribal needs, and identify potential network users.

- Hotel & Per Diem for Working Group Meetings for Local Government participants (Federal): With 93 counties and 4 tribes, we anticipate 4 representatives from each local entity or 388 individuals attending three meetings over three years. Our plan includes meetings set up at central locations across the state with the ability to allocate our travel dollars to reimburse for hotel and per diem for these local entities to attend. We averaged the hotel rates at \$100 per night (with a one night stay) and total per diem for the two days of \$70 per person, for a “trip” cost of \$170. These meetings will be held to raise awareness of the PSBN, discuss local and tribal needs, and identify potential network users.
- Mileage for Working Group Meetings for State Government participants (Federal): With 5 state agencies involved in public safety, we anticipate 3 representatives from each agency or 15 individuals attending three meetings over three years. Our plan includes meetings set up at central locations across the state with the ability to allocate our travel dollars to reimburse for mileage for these local entities to attend. We averaged the mileage as one vehicle from each agency having to drive a round trip of 400 miles, and used the State reimbursement of \$.565 per mile. These meetings will be held to raise awareness of the PSBN, discuss local and tribal needs, and identify potential network users.
- Hotel & Per Diem for Working Group Meetings for State Government participants (Federal): With 5 state agencies involved in public safety, we anticipate 3 representatives from each agency or 15 individuals attending three meetings over three years. Our plan includes meetings set up at central locations across the state with the ability to allocate our travel dollars to reimburse for hotel and per diem for these local entities to attend. We averaged the hotel rates at \$100 per night (with a one night stay) and total per diem for the two days of \$70 per person, for a “trip” cost of \$170. These meetings will be held to raise awareness of the PSBN, discuss local and tribal needs, and identify potential network users.
- Travel for Regional and National Meetings with FirstNet (Federal): Staff and members of the governing body (up to 10 individuals) will attend national and regional conferences to meet with FirstNet and share information and collaborate with other grant recipients. Travel costs include airfare, hotel, and per diem.
- Instate travel for Program Manager (Federal): With 93 counties and 4 tribes, we anticipate the Program Manager that will be hired for the daily operation to this project will make approximately 45 trips across the state meeting with local government, tribes, associations (i.e., Nebraska Sheriff’s Association, League of Municipalities, etc) to raise awareness of the PSBN, discuss needs, identify potential network users and lay the groundwork for collecting data related to public safety broadband deployment. We averaged the hotel rates at \$100 per night (with a one night stay) and total per diem for the two days of \$70 per person, and mileage at 400 miles roundtrip at the state rate of \$.565 for a “trip” cost of \$396.
- Travel for Regional and National Meetings with FirstNet or other regional partners for Program Manager (Federal): The Program Manager will attend national and/or regional conferences to meet with FirstNet or other regional partners and share information and collaborate with other grant recipients. Travel costs include airfare, hotel, and per diem.

Equipment

| | |
|---------------------|------------|
| Federal: | \$0 |
| Non-Federal: | \$0 |
| Total: | \$0 |

We do not plan to have any equipment costs for this grant program.

Supplies

| | |
|---------------------|----------------|
| Federal: | \$ 0 |
| Non-Federal: | \$2,900 |
| Total: | \$2,900 |

See the Detailed Budget Spreadsheet for calculations

- Desktop computer (Federal): A computer will be purchased for the Program Manager to support their grant-related activities.

Contractual

| | |
|---------------------|--------------------|
| Federal: | \$1,132,200 |
| Non-Federal: | \$ 0 |
| Total: | \$1,132,200 |

See the Detailed Budget Spreadsheet for calculations

- Program Manager (Federal): In order to carry out the functions required by the grant, the State of Nebraska anticipates that we will need to hire a full-time dedicated individual whose only job is to manage the activities of the grant and ensure that the involved entities and individuals meet their responsibility to achieve the goals established. The expenses identified on the spreadsheet as Program Manager lays out the budgeted areas for this position. This individual's salary over the three year period is budgeted at a monthly salary of \$7,500 for a total of \$270,000 over three years.
- Data Collection and Final Review of Data Collection for Public Safety entities (Federal): In order to carry out the functions required by the grant, the State of Nebraska anticipates that we will need to hire a contractual professional resource to perform the activities with the local and state government entities. Once the data collection portion of the project is approved, the State will also use professional resources to physically work with the public safety entities in acquiring this information. The spreadsheet shows two entries for this activity. The collection activity estimates 25 hours per entity (93 counties, 4 tribes and 5 state agencies = 102 entities) at a cost of \$100 per hour for a total of \$510,000. The second activity is final review of the collected data for accuracy with each entity estimated at 3 hours per entity (93 counties, 4 tribes and 5 state agencies = 102 entities) at a cost of \$100 per hour for a total of \$30,600.
- Data Collection and Final Review of Data Collection for Provider entities (Federal): In order to carry out the functions required by the grant, the State of Nebraska anticipates that we will need to hire a contractual professional resource to perform the activities with the entities that provide services (public and private). Once the data collection portion of the project is approved, the State will also use professional resources to physically work with the providers in acquiring this information. The spreadsheet shows two entries for this activity. The collection activity estimates 55 hours at a cost of \$100 per hour for a total of \$5,500. The second activity is final review of the collected data for accuracy with each entity estimated at 17 hours at a cost of \$100 per hour for a total of \$1,700.
- Contractual Assistance for Field Verification (Federal): The State of Nebraska anticipates that the contractual professional resource hired to perform the activities relative to data collection may need to perform actual field identification of some of the resources identified. The field verification portion of the data collection activity estimates a possible need for 195 hours at a cost of \$200 per hour for a total of \$39,000. The higher cost is used as the State would require that any and all travel expenses are included in this cost.

- MOU Development (Federal): The State of Nebraska anticipates that a contractual legal resource will be hired to perform the activities relative to preparation of a memorandum of understanding for each of the public safety entities. The MOU preparation costs estimate 12 hours per entity (93 counties, 4 tribes and 5 state agencies = 102 entities) at a cost of \$150 per hour for a total of \$183,600
- Contractual Evaluation of Current Emergency Response Plans (Federal): In order to carry out the functions required by the grant and assure that each entity has incorporated broadband needs into their emergency response plans, the State of Nebraska anticipates that we will need to hire a contractual professional resource to perform the activities with the local and state government entities. The evaluation and assistance activity estimates 9 hours per entity (93 counties, 4 tribes and 5 state agencies = 102 entities) at a cost of \$100 per hour for a total of \$91,800.

Construction

Federal: \$0
Non-Federal: \$0
Total: \$0

We do not plan to have any construction costs for this grant program.

Other

Federal: \$9,908
Non-Federal: \$ 507
Total: \$10,415

See the Detailed Budget Spreadsheet for calculations

- Cellular Telephone (Federal): The Program Manager will be assigned a cellular telephone at State rates of \$50 per month for 36 months (total of \$1,800). This cellular telephone will only be used for the purposes of this grant.
- Printing (Federal and Non-Federal): As part of the State’s education and outreach activities, we plan to produce handouts that provide information on the PSBN and how it can be used by various public safety entities. We plan to print copies and distribute them at meetings with local and tribal jurisdictions. This grant anticipates that the Federal portion of this will be charged a total of \$5,228, with the State contributing an additional \$507 for the first \$5,735 of materials needed. After that point, the State will be responsible for any additional printing that may be needed.
- Video Conferencing (Federal): We will hold SLIGP governance body meetings at least five times a year for the award period. These meetings are attended by both representatives of local and state jurisdictions. Because the State of Nebraska is geographically vast, it is anticipated that video conferencing will be used for a number of these meetings. Additionally, many of the meetings with smaller groups needing to be educated about Public Safety Broadband will be handled through video conferencing rather than physical travel. For this item, we estimated 12 meetings per year over video. Video conferencing is charged at \$40 per hour per site. Since every video conference needs a minimum of 2 sites, the estimated total of these video conferences over three years is \$2,880.

Indirect

Federal: \$0
Non-Federal: \$0
Total: \$0

We do not plan to have any indirect costs for this grant program

TOTALS

| | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|
| <i>Federal:</i> | \$1,510,750 |
| <i>Non-Federal:</i> | \$ 377,688 |
| <i>Total:</i> | \$1,888,438 |

Nebraska Revised SLIGP Detailed Budget Spreadsheet

| Category | Detailed Description of Budget (for full grant period) | | | Breakdown of Costs | |
|--|--|-----------|------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Federal | Non-Federal |
| a. Personnel | | | | | |
| NSP Major - One State Patrol Major will spend 5% of their time on the project for 3 years. Unit Cost is annual salary. | 3 years | \$103,688 | \$15,553 | | \$15,553 |
| NSP Infrastructure Analyst - One State Patrol Infrastructure Analyst will spend 10% of their time on the project for 3 years. Unit Cost is annual salary. | 3 years | \$68,682 | \$20,604 | | \$20,604 |
| NSP Comm Director - One State Patrol Comm Director will spend 5% of their time on the project for 3 years. Unit Cost is annual salary. | 3 years | \$63,627 | \$9,544 | | \$9,544 |
| OCIO Pub Safety System Mgr - One OCIO Pub Safety System Mgr will spend 10% of their time on the project for 3 years. Unit Cost is annual salary. | 3 years | \$74,152 | \$22,246 | | \$22,246 |
| OCIO Pub Safety Bus Analyst - One OCIO Pub Safety Bus Analyst will spend 10% of their time on the project for 3 years. Unit Cost is annual salary. | 3 years | \$48,838 | \$14,652 | | \$14,652 |
| OCIO Pub Safety Network Coordinator - One OCIO Pub Safety Network Coordinator will spend 15% of their time on the project for 3 years. Unit Cost is annual salary. | 3 years | \$60,986 | \$27,444 | | \$27,444 |
| OCIO Pub Safety Admin Assist - One OCIO Pub Safety Admin Assist will spend 5% of their time on the project for 3 years. Unit Cost is annual salary. | 3 years | \$33,966 | \$5,095 | | \$5,095 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| Chief Information Officer - One Chief Information Officer will spend 5% of their time on the project for 3 years. Unit Cost is annual salary. | 3 years | \$131,331 | \$19,700 | | \$19,700 |
| OCIO IT Administrator - One OCIO IT Administrator will spend 10% of their time on the project for 3 years. Unit Cost is annual salary. | 3 years | \$104,000 | \$31,200 | | \$31,200 |
| OCIO Network Manager - One OCIO Network Manager will spend 5% of their time on the project for 3 years. Unit Cost is annual salary. | 3 years | \$85,197 | \$12,780 | | \$12,780 |
| OCIO Telecomm Manager - One OCIO Telecomm Manager will spend 10% of their time on the project for 3 years. Unit Cost is annual salary. | 3 years | \$78,728 | \$23,618 | | \$23,618 |
| OCIO Database Analyst - One OCIO Database Analyst will spend 5% of their time on the project for 3 years. Unit Cost is annual salary. | 3 years | \$57,346 | \$8,602 | | \$8,602 |
| Fire Marshal - One Fire Marshal Assistant will spend 5% of their time on the project for 3 years. Unit Cost is annual salary. | 3 years | \$70,470 | \$10,571 | | \$10,571 |
| Game & Parks - One Game and Parks Law Enf Assist Admin will spend 5% of their time on the project for 3 years. Unit Cost is annual salary. | 3 years | \$67,142 | \$10,071 | | \$10,071 |
| OCIO Security Officer - One OCIO Security Officer will spend 2.5% of their time on the project for 3 years. Unit Cost is annual salary. | 3 years | \$78,582 | \$5,894 | | \$5,894 |
| OCIO Database administrator to develop asset database. | 408 hours | \$64 | \$26,283 | | \$26,283 |
| OCIO Business Analyst to develop process/data collection for Phase II, Develop Survey data and Implement/Process survey. | 408 hours | \$64 | \$26,283 | | \$26,283 |
| Total Personnel | | | \$290,139 | \$0 | \$290,139 |

| b. Fringe Benefits | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Federal | Non-Federal |
|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| NSP Major - One State Patrol Major Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$15,553 | 30% | \$4,666 | | \$4,666 |
| NSP Infrastructure Analyst - One State Patrol Infrastructure Analyst Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$20,604 | 30% | \$6,181 | | \$6,181 |
| NSP Comm Director - One State Patrol Comm Director Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$9,544 | 30% | \$2,863 | | \$2,863 |
| OCIO Pub Safety System Mgr - One OCIO Pub Safety System Mgr Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$22,246 | 30% | \$6,674 | | \$6,674 |
| OCIO Pub Safety Bus Analyst - One OCIO Pub Safety Bus Analyst Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$14,652 | 30% | \$4,395 | | \$4,395 |
| OCIO Pub Safety Network Coordinator - One OCIO Pub Safety Network Coordinator Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$27,444 | 30% | \$8,233 | | \$8,233 |
| OCIO Pub Safety Admin Assist - One OCIO Pub Safety Admin Assist Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$5,095 | 30% | \$1,528 | | \$1,528 |
| Chief Information Officer - One Chief Information Officer Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$19,700 | 30% | \$5,910 | | \$5,910 |
| OCIO IT Administrator - One OCIO IT Administrator Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$31,200 | 30% | \$9,360 | | \$9,360 |
| OCIO Network Manager - One OCIO Network Manager Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$12,780 | 30% | \$3,834 | | \$3,834 |
| OCIO Telecomm Manager - One OCIO Telecomm Manager Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$23,618 | 30% | \$7,086 | | \$7,086 |
| OCIO Database Analyst - One OCIO Database Analyst Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$8,602 | 30% | \$2,581 | | \$2,581 |
| Fire Marshal - One Fire Marshal Assistant Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$10,571 | 30% | \$3,171 | | \$3,171 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Game & Parks - One Game and Parks Law Enf Assist Admin Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$10,071 | 30% | \$3,021 | | \$3,021 |
| Security Officer Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$5,894 | 30% | \$1,768 | | \$1,768 |
| Database administrator to develop asset database. Fringe is calculated at 30%. | \$26,283 | 30% | \$7,885 | | \$7,885 |
| collection for Phase II, Develop Survey data and Implement/Process survey. | \$26,283 | 30% | \$7,885 | | \$7,885 |
| Total Fringe Benefits | | | \$87,042 | \$0 | \$87,042 |
| c. Travel | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Federal | Non-Federal |
| Mileage for Working Group Meetings Local - 388 individuals traveling in 97 vehicles (4 individuals per vehicle). 97 vehicles at 1 trip per year x 3 years = 291 vehicles. 400 miles roundtrip times \$.565 per mile = \$226 per vehicle. Mileage for three meetings over three years is \$65,766. | 291 Vehicles | \$ 226.00 | \$ 65,766.000 | \$ 65,766.000 | |
| Hotel & Per Diem for Working Group Meetings Local - Hotel at \$100 and per diem at \$70 for each trip = \$170. 97 entities x 4 reps per entity = 388 people. One trip per year over 3 years (388 x 3 = 1164 people trips) times \$170 = \$197,880 | 1164 people trips | \$ 170.00 | \$ 197,880.00 | \$ 197,880.000 | |
| Mileage for Working Group Meetings State - 15 state agency individuals traveling in 5 vehicles (3 individuals per vehicle). 5 vehicles at 1 trip per year x 3 years = 15 vehicles. 400 miles roundtrip times \$.565 per mile = \$226 per vehicle. Mileage for three meetings over three years is \$3,390. | 15 Vehicles | \$ 226.00 | \$ 3,390.00 | \$ 3,390.000 | |

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Hotel & Per Diem for Working Group Meetings State for state entities - Hotel at \$100 and per diem at \$70 for each trip = \$170. 5 entities x 3 reps per entity = 15 people. One trip per year over 3 years (15 x 3 = 45 people trips) times \$170 = \$7,650 | 15 people trips | \$170.00 | \$2,550 | \$2,550 | |
| Travel for Regional and National Meetings with FirstNet - 10 individuals will attend 6 meetings over 3 years - Airfare is estimated at \$700/ticket; hotel is estimated at \$300/night for one night; per diem is estimated at \$76/day for two days, for a total of \$1152/trip | 60 trips | \$1,152 | \$69,120 | \$69,120 | |
| Instate Travel for Program Manager - each trip is a two day trip estimated as follows: 400 miles roundtrip at \$.565 per mile = \$226, one hotel night at \$100, and 2 days per diem at \$70 (\$35 per day) for a total of \$396 per trip. Over the three years we estimated 45 trips. | 45 trips | \$396 | \$17,820 | \$17,820 | |
| National & Regional Travel for Program Manager - each trip is a two day trip estimated at follows: Airfare at \$700, one hotel night at \$300, and 2 days per diem at \$76 for a total of \$1152 per trip. Over the three years we estimated 8 trips. | 8 trips | \$1,152 | \$9,216 | \$9,216 | |
| Total Travel | | | \$365,742 | \$365,742 | \$0 |
| d. Equipment | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Federal | Non-Federal |
| N/A | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Total Equipment | | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| e. Supplies | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Federal | Non-Federal |
| Desktop computer | 1 | \$2,900 | \$2,900 | \$2,900 | |
| Total Supplies | | | \$2,900 | \$2,900 | \$0 |

| f. Contractual | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Federal | Non-Federal |
|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Program Manager - Person hired for grant management and oversight, estimated at monthly salary of \$7,500 per month for three years. | 36 months | \$7,500 | \$270,000 | \$270,000 | \$0 |
| Data Collection from Public Safety entities - Contractor engaged to collect data from public safety entities in State. Estimated at 102 entities at 25 hours per entity = 2550 hours times \$200/hour (2 people at \$100 each) for a total \$510,000. | 2550 hours | \$200 | \$510,000 | \$510,000 | \$0 |
| Final Review of Data Collection with Public Safety entities - Contractor engaged to review and finalize data from public safety entities in State. Estimated at 102 entities at 3 hours per entity = 306 hours times \$100/hour (1 person at \$100) for a total \$30,600. | 306 hours | \$100 | \$30,600 | \$30,600 | \$0 |
| Data Collection from Provider entities - Contractor engaged to collect data from entities that provide services (public and private) in the State. Estimated at 55 hours times \$100/hour (1 person at \$100) for a total \$5,500. | 55 hours | \$100 | \$5,500 | \$5,500 | \$0 |
| Final Review of Data Collection entities that provide services (public and private) in the State. Contractor engaged to review and finalize data from entities that provide services (public and private) in the State. Estimated at 17 hours times \$100/hour (1 person at \$100) for a total \$1,700. | 17 hours | \$100 | \$1,700 | \$1,700 | \$0 |
| Contractual assistance for any needed field verification of data collection. Estimate 195 hours at \$200 per hour (\$200 per hour includes travel expenses). | 195 hours | \$200 | \$39,000 | \$39,000 | \$0 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| MOU Development - contractual services of legal assistance to prepare MOU's for 102 entities at 12 hours per entity times \$150 per hour = \$183,600 | 1224 hours | \$150 | \$183,600 | \$183,600 | |
| Contractual evaluation of current emergency response plans and incorporation of broadband needs into these plans. 102 entities (93 counties, 4 tribes and 5 state agencies) at 9 hours per entity equals 918 hours at \$100 per hour. | 918 hours | \$100 | \$91,800 | \$91,800 | |
| Total Contractual | | | \$ 1,132,200 | \$ 1,132,200 | \$ - |
| g. Construction | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Federal | Non-Federal |
| N/A | | | \$0 | | \$ - |
| Total Construction | | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| h. Other | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Federal | Non-Federal |
| Cellular Telephone (\$50/mo times 36 months = \$1,800) | 1 | \$1,800.00 | \$1,800 | \$1,800 | |
| Printing of misc meeting materials (57,350 copies at \$0.10 each) | 57,350 | \$0.10 | \$5,735 | \$5,228 | \$507 |
| Video Conferencing meetings - 36 meeting 2 hours in length at \$40 per hour - 36x2x40= \$2,880 | 72 hours | \$40 | \$2,880 | \$2,880 | |
| Total Other | | | \$10,415 | \$9,908 | \$507 |
| Total Direct Charges | | | \$1,888,438 | \$1,510,750 | \$377,688 |
| i. Indirect Costs | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Federal | Non-Federal |
| N/A | | | | | |
| Total Indirect | | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| TOTALS | | | \$1,888,438 | \$1,510,750 | \$377,688 |

PROJECTED RATES

Department of Administrative Services, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Department of Aeronautics, and other projected rates are attached to the budget instructions to assist state agencies, boards and commissions in determining their operating costs and preparing budget requests.

Department of Administrative Services and Office of the Chief Information Officer have scrutinized the cost of providing services to state agencies, boards and commissions. All divisions have been advised to hold the line on costs and increase efficiencies in order to deliver quality services in the most cost effective manner. Each division has developed projected rates for the next biennium by analyzing historical information and incorporating future trends and projects that impact rates. Every attempt will be made to maintain the projected rates identified in these instructions. However, the possibility exists for reductions or increases to these rates based on cost changes or market factors.

These rates represent information to assist state agencies, boards and commissions in determining the cost of achieving its goals and objectives.

Account 511100 Permanent Salaries:

This line should reflect a consolidation of all expenditures for salaries and leave. State agencies, boards and commissions should request no general increase for FY 2015 or FY 2014. The Governor and Legislature will determine appropriation levels during the budget process that will take into consideration any agreements reached during the collective bargaining process.

Account 515100 Retirement:

All permanent, full-time employees are eligible for participation in the State Retirement Plan upon hire.

Contribution Rate:

The employer contribution rate is 7.5% of annual compensation for permanent, full-time employees. Contributions begin immediately upon hire.

| | <u>FY 2013</u> | <u>FY 2014</u> | <u>FY 2015</u> |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Contribution Rate | 7.50% | 7.50% | 7.50% |

Account 515200 FICA:

FICA expense is calculated based on the following schedule. The Medicare surcharge rate applies only to the amount of an individual's salary in excess of the FICA maximum wage.

| | <u>FY 2013</u> | <u>FY 2014</u> | <u>FY 2015</u> |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| FICA Maximum Wage | \$ 114,900 | \$ 120,000 | \$ 125,400 |
| Maximum Rate | 7.65% | 7.65% | 7.65% |
| Medicare Surcharge on Wage above Maximum | 1.45% | 1.45% | 1.45% |

Account 515400 Life Insurance:

Life insurance is contracted on a fiscal year basis and should be computed by multiplying the rate below by the number of permanent FTE employees enrolled in the life insurance program.

| | <u>FY 2013</u> | <u>FY 2014</u> | <u>FY 2015</u> |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | \$ 22.80 | \$ 22.80 | \$ 22.80 |

Account 515500 Health Insurance:

Health insurance should be computed based on current rates and plan selections. It is anticipated that health care costs will change in the next biennium. The Governor and the Legislature will determine appropriation levels that will take into consideration health insurance plan changes and cost estimates for the future fiscal years.

Account 516300 Employee Assistance Program:

The Employee Assistance Program is an optional program. State agencies, boards and commissions pay an annual fee per employee to participate in the program. The fee per employee is:

| <u>FY 2013</u> | <u>FY 2014</u> | <u>FY 2015</u> |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| \$ 15.00 | \$ 15.00 | \$ 15.00 |

Account 516400 Unemployment Compensation:

Calculate Unemployment Compensation based on past expenditures and anticipated expenditures only.

Account 516500 Workers' Compensation Assessment:

The workers' compensation assessment for FY 2013, FY 2014 and FY 2015 is outlined in the following table. Please direct your questions to the Division of Risk Management at (402) 471-2551.

| <u>Agency</u> | <u>Agency Name</u> | <u>FY 2013</u> | <u>FY 2014</u> | <u>FY 2015</u> |
|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 003 | Legislative Council | 102,900 | 120,543 | 120,543 |
| 005 | Supreme Court | 379,260 | 432,990 | 432,990 |
| 007 | Governor/PRO | 9,567 | 11,244 | 11,244 |
| 008 | Lieutenant Governor | 826 | 892 | 892 |
| 009 | Secretary of State | 14,494 | 16,429 | 16,429 |
| 010 | Auditor of Public Accounts | 23,745 | 25,944 | 25,944 |
| 011 | Attorney General | 49,016 | 56,007 | 56,007 |
| 012 | State Treasurer | 20,887 | 19,991 | 19,991 |
| 013 | Dept. of Education | 214,083 | 255,355 | 255,355 |
| 014 | Public Service Comm. | 22,797 | 25,686 | 25,686 |
| 015 | Board of Parole | 4,713 | 5,685 | 5,685 |
| 016 | Dept. of Revenue | 170,923 | 195,027 | 195,027 |
| 017 | Dept. of Aeronautics | 11,132 | 13,591 | 13,591 |
| 018 | Dept. of Agriculture | 65,629 | 82,850 | 82,850 |
| 019 | Dept. of Banking | 31,609 | 37,960 | 37,960 |
| 021 | State Fire Marshal | 32,118 | 37,293 | 37,293 |
| 022 | Dept. of Insurance | 47,700 | 58,855 | 58,855 |
| 023 | Dept. of Labor | 148,786 | 162,700 | 162,700 |
| 024 | Dept. of Motor Vehicles | 64,875 | 85,258 | 85,258 |
| 025 | Dept. of Health & Human Services | 3,579,814 | 4,228,136 | 4,228,136 |
| 027 | Dept. of Roads | 1,576,500 | 1,889,646 | 1,889,646 |
| 028 | Dept. of Veterans' Affairs | 4,628 | 6,512 | 6,512 |
| 029 | Dept. of Natural Resources | 44,603 | 61,788 | 61,788 |
| 030 | Nebraska Electrical Board | 7,255 | 11,072 | 11,072 |
| 031 | Military Department | 59,496 | 74,879 | 74,879 |
| 032 | Bd. of Educational Lands & Funds | 14,084 | 16,324 | 16,324 |
| 033 | Game & Parks Commission | 346,600 | 427,221 | 427,221 |
| 034 | Nebraska Library Commission | 15,102 | 20,102 | 20,102 |
| 035 | Liquor Control Commission | 4,294 | 5,155 | 5,155 |
| 036 | State Racing Commission | 3,267 | 4,366 | 4,366 |
| 037 | Workers' Compensation Court | 28,095 | 30,835 | 30,835 |
| 039 | Nebraska Brand Committee | 27,027 | 32,410 | 32,410 |
| 040 | Motor Vehicle Industry Licensing | 3,228 | 3,900 | 3,900 |
| 041 | Real Estate Commission | 4,116 | 5,054 | 5,054 |
| 045 | Board of Barber Examiners | 738 | 1,168 | 1,168 |
| 046 | Dept. of Correctional Services | 1,288,224 | 1,555,519 | 1,555,519 |
| 047 | Educational Telecommunications | 39,222 | 42,659 | 42,659 |
| 048 | Postsecondary Education | 7,751 | 8,788 | 8,788 |
| 050-00 | Nebraska State Colleges | 7,269 | 8,899 | 8,899 |
| 050-01 | Chadron State College | 142,767 | 165,282 | 165,282 |

Subprogram Request Report - Detail

Agency: 065 - DEPT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

Budget Cycle: 2013-2015 Biennium

Version: AF - AGENCY FINAL REQUEST

Program: 172 - IMSERVICES DIVISION

Subprogram: 045 - GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

| | FY10 Actual Exp | FY11 Actual Exp | FY12 Actual Exp | FY12 Reappr | FY13 Cur Appr | FY14 Request | FY15 Request |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| SALARIES | | | | | | | |
| FTE | 10.22 | 10.06 | 9.84 | | 12.25 | 12.60 | 12.60 |
| 511100 PERMANENT SALARIES- | 570,407 | 544,774 | 537,307 | 0 | 709,125 | 726,426 | 726,426 |
| 511200 TEMPORARY SALARIES- | 0 | 0 | 735 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 511300 OVERTIME PAYMENTS | 322 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 511800 COMPENSATORY TIME PAID | 28 | 56 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal SALARIES | 570,757 | 544,990 | 538,108 | 0 | 709,125 | 726,426 | 726,426 |
| BENEFITS | | | | | | | |
| 515100 RETIREMENT PLANS | 42,797 | 40,882 | 40,362 | 0 | 46,212 | 47,510 | 47,510 |
| 515200 FICA EXPENSE | 40,259 | 38,010 | 37,491 | 0 | 56,071 | 57,394 | 57,394 |
| 515400 LIFE & ACCIDENT INS EXP | 111 | 114 | 112 | 0 | 228 | 224 | 224 |
| 515500 HEALTH INSURANCE | 84,634 | 93,180 | 80,769 | 0 | 97,000 | 95,687 | 95,687 |
| 516200 TUITION ASSISTANCE | 0 | 0 | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 516300 EMPLOYEE ASSISTANCE | 135 | 150 | 150 | 0 | 150 | 148 | 148 |
| 516400 UNEMPLOYM COMP INS EXP | 2,424 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 516500 WORKERS COMP PREMIUMS | 3,172 | 5,484 | 4,584 | 0 | 4,675 | 4,050 | 4,050 |
| Subtotal BENEFITS | 173,532 | 177,820 | 164,088 | 0 | 204,336 | 205,013 | 205,013 |
| SALARY AND BENEFITS | | | | | | | |
| SALARY AND BENEFITS | 744,288 | 722,810 | 702,196 | 0 | 913,461 | 931,439 | 931,439 |
| | 744,288 | 722,810 | 702,196 | 0 | 913,461 | 931,439 | 931,439 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | | | | |
| 521100 POSTAGE EXPENSE | 1,130 | 1,183 | 1,190 | 0 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 |

ASSURANCES - NON-CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0040), Washington, DC 20503.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

NOTE: Certain of these assurances may not be applicable to your project or program. If you have questions, please contact the awarding agency. Further, certain Federal awarding agencies may require applicants to certify to additional assurances. If such is the case, you will be notified.

As the duly authorized representative of the applicant, I certify that the applicant:

1. Has the legal authority to apply for Federal assistance and the institutional, managerial and financial capability (including funds sufficient to pay the non-Federal share of project cost) to ensure proper planning, management and completion of the project described in this application.
2. Will give the awarding agency, the Comptroller General of the United States and, if appropriate, the State, through any authorized representative, access to and the right to examine all records, books, papers, or documents related to the award; and will establish a proper accounting system in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards or agency directives.
3. Will establish safeguards to prohibit employees from using their positions for a purpose that constitutes or presents the appearance of personal or organizational conflict of interest, or personal gain.
4. Will initiate and complete the work within the applicable time frame after receipt of approval of the awarding agency.
5. Will comply with the Intergovernmental Personnel Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. §§4728-4763) relating to prescribed standards for merit systems for programs funded under one of the 19 statutes or regulations specified in Appendix A of OPM's Standards for a Merit System of Personnel Administration (5 C.F.R. 900, Subpart F).
6. Will comply with all Federal statutes relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to: (a) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin; (b) Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (20 U.S.C. §§1681-1683, and 1685-1686), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; (c) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. §794), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps; (d) the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended (42 U.S.C. §§6101-6107), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age; (e) the Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972 (P.L. 92-255), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of drug abuse; (f) the Comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention, Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-616), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of alcohol abuse or alcoholism; (g) §§523 and 527 of the Public Health Service Act of 1912 (42 U.S.C. §§290 dd-3 and 290 ee- 3), as amended, relating to confidentiality of alcohol and drug abuse patient records; (h) Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. §§3601 et seq.), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination in the sale, rental or financing of housing; (i) any other nondiscrimination provisions in the specific statute(s) under which application for Federal assistance is being made; and, (j) the requirements of any other nondiscrimination statute(s) which may apply to the application.
7. Will comply, or has already complied, with the requirements of Titles II and III of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-646) which provide for fair and equitable treatment of persons displaced or whose property is acquired as a result of Federal or federally-assisted programs. These requirements apply to all interests in real property acquired for project purposes regardless of Federal participation in purchases.
8. Will comply, as applicable, with provisions of the Hatch Act (5 U.S.C. §§1501-1508 and 7324-7328) which limit the political activities of employees whose principal employment activities are funded in whole or in part with Federal funds.

9. Will comply, as applicable, with the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. §§276a to 276a-7), the Copeland Act (40 U.S.C. §276c and 18 U.S.C. §874), and the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 U.S.C. §§327-333), regarding labor standards for federally-assisted construction subagreements.
10. Will comply, if applicable, with flood insurance purchase requirements of Section 102(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) which requires recipients in a special flood hazard area to participate in the program and to purchase flood insurance if the total cost of insurable construction and acquisition is \$10,000 or more.
11. Will comply with environmental standards which may be prescribed pursuant to the following: (a) institution of environmental quality control measures under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91-190) and Executive Order (EO) 11514; (b) notification of violating facilities pursuant to EO 11738; (c) protection of wetlands pursuant to EO 11990; (d) evaluation of flood hazards in floodplains in accordance with EO 11988; (e) assurance of project consistency with the approved State management program developed under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. §§1451 et seq.); (f) conformity of Federal actions to State (Clean Air) Implementation Plans under Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act of 1955, as amended (42 U.S.C. §§7401 et seq.); (g) protection of underground sources of drinking water under the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended (P.L. 93-523); and, (h) protection of endangered species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (P.L. 93-205).
12. Will comply with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C. §§1271 et seq.) related to protecting components or potential components of the national wild and scenic rivers system.
13. Will assist the awarding agency in assuring compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. §470), EO 11593 (identification and protection of historic properties), and the Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. §§469a-1 et seq.).
14. Will comply with P.L. 93-348 regarding the protection of human subjects involved in research, development, and related activities supported by this award of assistance.
15. Will comply with the Laboratory Animal Welfare Act of 1966 (P.L. 89-544, as amended, 7 U.S.C. §§2131 et seq.) pertaining to the care, handling, and treatment of warm blooded animals held for research, teaching, or other activities supported by this award of assistance.
16. Will comply with the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (42 U.S.C. §§4801 et seq.) which prohibits the use of lead-based paint in construction or rehabilitation of residence structures.
17. Will cause to be performed the required financial and compliance audits in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 and OMB Circular No. A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations."
18. Will comply with all applicable requirements of all other Federal laws, executive orders, regulations, and policies governing this program.
19. Will comply with the requirements of Section 106(g) of the Trafficking Victims Protection Act (TVPA) of 2000, as amended (22 U.S.C. 7104) which prohibits grant award recipients or a sub-recipient from (1) Engaging in severe forms of trafficking in persons during the period of time that the award is in effect (2) Procuring a commercial sex act during the period of time that the award is in effect or (3) Using forced labor in the performance of the award or subawards under the award.

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>* SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL</p> <p>Jayne Scofield</p> | <p>* TITLE</p> <p>IT Administrator, Network Services</p> |
| <p>* APPLICANT ORGANIZATION</p> <p>Administrative Services, Nebraska Department of</p> | <p>* DATE SUBMITTED</p> <p>03/19/2013</p> |

Standard Form 424B (Rev. 7-97) Back

Applicants should also review the instructions for certification included in the regulations before completing this form. Signature on this form provides for compliance with certification requirements under 15 CFR Part 28, 'New Restrictions on Lobbying.' The certifications shall be treated as a material representation of fact upon which reliance will be placed when the Department of Commerce determines to award the covered transaction, grant, or cooperative agreement.

LOBBYING

As required by Section 1352, Title 31 of the U.S. Code, and implemented at 15 CFR Part 28, for persons entering into a grant, cooperative agreement or contract over \$100,000 or a loan or loan guarantee over \$150,000 as defined at 15 CFR Part 28, Sections 28.105 and 28.110, the applicant certifies that to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

(1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, 'Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying,' in accordance with its instructions.

(3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure occurring on or before October 23, 1996, and of not less than \$11,000 and not more than \$110,000 for each such failure occurring after October 23, 1996.

As the duly authorized representative of the applicant, I hereby certify that the applicant will comply with the above applicable certification.

*** NAME OF APPLICANT**

Administrative Services, Nebraska Department of

*** AWARD NUMBER**

2013-NTIA-SLIGP-01

*** PROJECT NAME**

Nebraska Statewide Public Safety Broadband Network Planning

Prefix:

* First Name:

Middle Name:

Jayne

* Last Name:

Suffix:

Scotfield

* Title: IT Administrator, Network Services

* SIGNATURE:

* DATE:

Jayne Scotfield

03/19/2013

DISCLOSURE OF LOBBYING ACTIVITIES

Complete this form to disclose lobbying activities pursuant to 31 U.S.C.1352

Approved by OMB
0348-0046

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| 1. * Type of Federal Action: <input type="checkbox"/> a. contract <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b. grant <input type="checkbox"/> c. cooperative agreement <input type="checkbox"/> d. loan <input type="checkbox"/> e. loan guarantee <input type="checkbox"/> f. loan insurance | 2. * Status of Federal Action: <input type="checkbox"/> a. bid/offer/application <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b. initial award <input type="checkbox"/> c. post-award | 3. * Report Type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a. initial filing <input type="checkbox"/> b. material change |
|--|--|--|

4. Name and Address of Reporting Entity:
 Prime SubAwardee

* Name: State of Nebraska, Office of the Chief Information Officer

* Street 1: 501 S 14th Street Street 2: _____

* City: Lincoln State: NE: Nebraska Zip: 68508

Congressional District, if known: NE-all

5. If Reporting Entity in No.4 is Subawardee, Enter Name and Address of Prime:

| | |
|--|---|
| 6. * Federal Department/Agency: NTIA | 7. * Federal Program Name/Description: State and Local Implementation Grant Program |
| | CFDA Number, if applicable: 11.549 |

| | |
|---|---|
| 8. Federal Action Number, if known: _____ | 9. Award Amount, if known: \$ _____ |
|---|---|

10. a. Name and Address of Lobbying Registrant:

Prefix _____ * First Name N/A Middle Name _____

* Last Name N/A Suffix _____

* Street 1 _____ Street 2 _____

* City _____ State _____ Zip _____

b. Individual Performing Services (including address if different from No. 10a)

Prefix _____ * First Name N/A Middle Name _____

* Last Name N/A Suffix _____

* Street 1 _____ Street 2 _____

* City _____ State _____ Zip _____

11. Information requested through this form is authorized by title 31 U.S.C. section 1352. This disclosure of lobbying activities is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed by the tier above when the transaction was made or entered into. This disclosure is required pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1352. This information will be reported to the Congress semi-annually and will be available for public inspection. Any person who fails to file the required disclosure shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

* Signature: Jayne Scofield

* Name: Prefix _____ * First Name N/A Middle Name _____
* Last Name N/A Suffix _____

Title: _____ Telephone No.: _____ Date: 03/19/2013

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Federal Use Only: | Authorized for Local Reproduction Standard Form - LLL (Rev. 7-97) |
|--------------------------|--|



Dave Heineman
Governor

STATE OF NEBRASKA

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
P.O. Box 94848 • Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-4848
Phone: (402) 471-2244 • dave.heineman@nebraska.gov

January 3, 2013

Samuel Ginn
Chairman of the Board
First Responder Network Authority
c/o National Telecommunications and Information Administration
United States Department of Commerce
1401 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Suite 4898
Washington, D.C. 20230

Dear Mr. Ginn:

Pursuant to your October 25, 2012 letter, I am responding to notify the First Responder Network Authority that I have designated Brenda Decker, Chief Information Officer of the State of Nebraska, to serve as Nebraska's point of contact with your organization. Brenda's contact information is as follows:

Address: Brenda Decker, Chief Information Officer
Office of the CIO
State of Nebraska
501 South 14th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508

Email: brenda.decker@nebraska.gov

Assistant: Lori Lopez-Urdiales
lori.lopezurdiales@nebraska.gov
402-471-4864

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Dave Heineman".

Dave Heineman
Governor