
From: jean public <jeanpublic1@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 03, 2015 10:47 AM
To: kkhanson@ntia.doc.gov; denise.scott@wdc.usda.gov; BOCrfc2015
Cc: vicepresident@whitehouse.gov; americanvoices@mail.house.gov; INFO; media
Subject: Re: is this usda or us doc and why are taxpayers paying for two agencies for one field

public comment on federal register

first of all, the cost of people getting broadband to use is too high. while executives at comcast providers make billions in salaries, the people of the usa are gouged out of their mind to pay for broadband, which should be low priced. there is no reason service for a month should cost more than \$20 a month. that should be the maximum instead the american people are being gouged for near \$100 a month. how about some salary regulations for executives of companies who are making billions for themselves while gouging the average american. how about a tax on the disney exec and the comcast exec and use that money for broadband service for the average people of the usa? i believe a millionaire's tax on those million and billion dollars salaries is in order to provide broadband service at reasonable prices for all average americans.

i don't think the usda should be involved in any projects on broadband. i think they should be taken out of this field and this effort entirely. i think the usda should be concentrating on food and plant crops and not on communications. i think one dept should have the full responsibility for broadband. i see no reason for multiple authorization for more than one dept to be involved. usda sticks its nose into everything and they don't need to and they should not be allowed. to . they can even do the plant business right. they seem to be a bunch of total incompetents. this comment is for the public record. please receipt. jean public
jeanpublic1@gmail.com

On Wed, Apr 29, 2015 at 2:19 PM, o <bk1492@aol.com> wrote:
[Federal Register Volume 80, Number 82 (Wednesday, April 29, 2015)]
[Notices]
[Pages 23785-23787]
From the Federal Register Online via the Government Publishing Office [www.gpo.gov]
[FR Doc No: 2015-09996]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Utilities Service

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Telecommunications and Information Administration

[Docket No. 1540414365-5365-01]
RIN 0660-XC019

Broadband Opportunity Council Notice and Request for Comment

AGENCY: Rural Utilities Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and
National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S.

Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In furtherance of the Presidential Memorandum entitled Expanding Broadband Deployment and Adoption by Addressing Regulatory Barriers and Encouraging Investment and Training, which established the Broadband Opportunity Council (Council), the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) and the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) are requesting public comment to inform the deliberations of the Council.\1\ The Council's objectives are to: (i) Engage with industry and other stakeholders to understand ways the government can better support the needs of communities seeking to expand broadband access and adoption; (ii) identify regulatory barriers unduly impeding broadband deployment, adoption, or competition; (iii) survey and report back on existing programs that currently support or could be modified to support broadband competition, deployment, or adoption; and (iv) take all necessary actions to remove these barriers and realign existing programs to increase broadband competition, deployment, and adoption.\2\ We welcome input from all interested parties, including the stakeholder groups identified in the Presidential Memorandum.

\1\ Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments and Agencies, Expanding Broadband Deployment and Adoption by Addressing Regulatory Barriers and Encouraging Investment and Training, March 23, 2015, available at <https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2015/03/23/presidential-memorandum-expanding-broadband-deployment-and-adoption-addr>.

\2\ Fact Sheet: Next Steps in Delivering Fast, Affordable Broadband, March 23, 2015, available at <https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2015/03/23/fact-sheet-next-steps-delivering-fast-affordable-broadband>.

DATES: Submit written comments on or before 5 p.m. Eastern time on June

10, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be submitted by email to: BOCrfc2015@ntia.doc.gov. Include Broadband Opportunity Council in the subject line of the message. Comments submitted by email should be machine-readable and should not be copy-protected. Written comments may also be submitted by mail to the National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Room 4626, Attn: Broadband Opportunity Council, Washington, DC 20230. Responders should include the name of the person or organization filing the comment, as well as a page number on each page of their submissions. Paper submissions should also include a CD or DVD with an electronic version of the document, which should be labeled with the name and organization of the filer. Please do not include in your comments information of a confidential nature, such as sensitive personal information or proprietary information. All comments received are a part of the public record and will generally be posted to <http://www.ntia.doc.gov/federal-register-notice/2015/broadband-opportunity-council-comments> without change. All personal identifying information (e.g., name, address) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible. Information obtained as a result of this notice may be used by the federal government for program planning on a non-attribution basis.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karen Hanson, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Room 4626, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0213; email: khanson@ntia.doc.gov; or Denise Scott, Rural Development, Rural Utilities Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20250; telephone: (202)720-1910; email: Denise.Scott1@wdc.usda.gov. Please direct media inquiries to NTIA's Office of Public Affairs, (202) 482-7002.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On January 13, 2015, President Obama announced new Administration efforts to help more people, in more communities around the country, gain access to fast and affordable broadband. Communities across the country, including state, local, and tribal governments, are leveraging public and private investments to form new partnerships to bring world-class Internet to their businesses, institutions, and homes. To assist these communities and partnerships, support economic growth, and promote a level playing field for all competitors, President Obama called on the Executive Branch agencies to remove all unnecessary regulatory and policy barriers to broadband build-out, adoption, and competition.

See FACT SHEET: Broadband That Works: Promoting Competition & Local Choice In Next-Generation Connectivity, White House, January 13, 2015, available at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2015/01/13/fact-sheet-broadband-works-promoting-competition-local-choice-next-gener>.

On March 23, 2015, the White House released a Presidential Memorandum establishing a new Broadband Opportunity Council (Council), co-chaired by the U.S. Departments of Commerce and Agriculture. The Council comprises 25 federal agencies (Member Agencies) that can play a role in accelerating broadband deployment and promoting the technology's adoption across the country. To respond to this Presidential Memorandum, Member Agencies will provide a list of actions that they can take to identify and mitigate regulatory barriers, incentivize investment, promote best practices, align funding policies and decisions, and support broadband deployment and adoption. The Presidential Memorandum also directs the Council to consult with state, local, tribal, and territorial governments, as well as telecommunications companies, utilities, trade associations, philanthropic entities, policy experts, and other interested parties to identify and assess regulatory barriers and determine possible actions. This Notice seeks public comment to bolster the Council's work and to improve the number and quality of ideas under consideration.

II. Objectives of This Notice

This Notice offers an opportunity for all interested parties to share their perspectives and recommend actions the federal government can take to promote broadband deployment,

[[Page 23786]]

adoption, and competition, including by identifying and removing regulatory barriers unduly impeding investments in broadband

\4\ NTIA defines ``broadband deployment" as installing, building, provisioning, funding, or otherwise making available broadband infrastructure, even in cases of laying an empty duct when a trench is open, regardless of technology. This does not preclude satellite or inside wiring. Promoting ``broadband adoption" includes providing public access, training, information, affordable devices, and/or affordable broadband service to underserved individuals or groups.

This Notice seeks comment in several different areas: (i) Ways the federal government can promote best practices, modernize outdated regulations, promote coordination, and offer more services online; (ii) identification of regulatory barriers to broadband deployment, competition, and adoption; (iii) ways to promote public and private investment in broadband; (iv) ways to promote broadband adoption; (v) issues related to state, local, and tribal governments; (vi) issues related to vulnerable communities and communities with limited or no broadband; (vii) issues specific to rural areas; and (viii) ways to measure broadband availability, adoption, and speed.

III. Questions

Commenters are encouraged to address any or all of the following questions. Please note in the response the number corresponding to the question(s). For any response, commenters may wish to consider describing specific goals, actions the Administration might take to achieve those goals, the benefits and costs associated with the action, whether the proposal is inter-agency or agency-specific, the rationale and evidence to support it, and the roles of other stakeholders. Specific, actionable proposals for policy mechanisms directed to the Executive Branch agencies included in the Council are most useful. Please note that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) are independent regulatory agencies and not included in the Executive Branch. Independent agencies are not members of the Council, although the Presidential Memorandum strongly encourages them to comply with its requirements. As a result, commenters should focus on matters most within the control of the Executive Branch agencies serving on the Council.

RUS and NTIA seek public comment on the following questions:

A. Overarching Questions

1. How can the federal government promote best practices in broadband deployment and adoption? What resources are most useful to communities? What actions would be most helpful to communities seeking to improve broadband availability and use?
2. How can the federal government best promote the coordination and use of federally-funded broadband assets?
3. What federal regulations and/or statutes could be modernized or adapted to promote broadband deployment and adoption?
4. As the federal government transitions to delivering more services online, what should government do to provide information and training to those who have not adopted broadband? What should the federal government do to make reasonable accommodations to those without access to broadband?
5. How can the federal government best collaborate with stakeholders (state, local, and tribal governments, philanthropic

entities, industry, trade associations, consumer organizations, etc.) to promote broadband adoption and deployment?

B. Addressing Regulatory Barriers to Broadband Deployment, Competition, and Adoption

6. What regulatory barriers exist within the agencies of the Executive Branch to the deployment of broadband infrastructure?

7. What federal programs should allow the use of funding for the deployment of broadband infrastructure or promotion of broadband adoption but do not do so now?

8. What inconsistencies exist in federal interpretation and application of procedures, requirements, and policies by Executive Branch agencies related to broadband deployment and/or adoption, and how could these be reconciled? One example is the variance in broadband speed definitions.\5\

\5\ The definition of what constitutes high-speed internet, i.e., "broadband," has evolved over time. The FCC currently defines broadband as 25 Mbps for download speeds and 3 Mbps for upload speeds. See FCC Finds US Broadband Deployment Not Keeping Pace, Updated Broadband Speed Benchmark to 25Mbps/3 Mbps to Reflect Consumer Demand, Advances in Technology, Public Notice, Federal Communications Commission, January 29, 2015, available at <http://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-finds-us-broadband-deployment-not-keeping-pace>. USDA uses the 2014 Farm Bill's definition of broadband for rural service areas as 4 Mbps for download speeds and 1 Mbps for upload speeds.

9. Are there specific regulations within the agencies of the Executive Branch that impede or restrict competition for broadband service, where residents have either no option or just one option? If so, what modifications could agencies make to promote competition in the broadband marketplace?

10. Are there federal policies or regulations within the Executive Branch that create barriers for communities or entities to share federally-funded broadband assets or networks with other non-federally funded networks?

11. Should the federal government promote the implementation of federally-funded broadband projects to coincide with other federally-funded infrastructure projects? For example, coordinating a broadband construction project funded by USDA with a road excavation funded by DOT?

C. Promoting Public and Private Investment in Broadband

12. How can communities/regions incentivize service providers to offer broadband services, either wired or wireless, in rural and remote areas? What can the federal government do to help encourage providers to serve rural areas?

13. What changes in Executive Branch agency regulations or program requirements could incentivize last mile investments in rural areas and sparsely populated, remote parts of the country?

14. What changes in Executive Branch agency regulations or program requirements would improve coordination of federal programs that help communities leverage the economic benefits offered by broadband?

15. How can Executive Branch agencies incentivize new entrants into the market by lowering regulatory or policy barriers?

D. Promoting Broadband Adoption

16. What federal programs within the Executive Branch should allow the use of funding for broadband adoption, but do not do so now?

17. Typical barriers to broadband adoption include cost, relevance, and training. How can these be addressed by regulatory changes by Executive Branch agencies?

E. Issues Related to State, Local, and Tribal Governments

18. What barriers exist at the state, local, and/or tribal level to broadband deployment and adoption? How can the federal government work with and incentivize state, local, and tribal governments to remove these barriers?

19. What federal barriers do state, local, and tribal governments confront as they seek to promote broadband

[[Page 23787]]

deployment and adoption in their communities?

20. What can the federal government do to make it easier for state, local, and tribal governments or organizations to access funding for broadband?

21. How can the federal government support state, local, and tribal efforts to promote and/or invest in broadband networks and promote broadband adoption? For example, what type of capacity-building or technical assistance is needed?

F. Issues Related to Vulnerable Communities and Communities With Limited or No Broadband

22. How can specific regulatory policies within the Executive Branch agencies be altered to remove or reduce barriers that prevent vulnerable populations from accessing and using broadband technologies? Vulnerable populations might include, but are not limited to, veterans, seniors, minorities, people with disabilities, at-risk youth, low-income individuals and families, and the unemployed.

23. How can the federal government make broadband technologies more available and relevant for vulnerable populations?

G. Issues Specific to Rural Areas

24. What federal regulatory barriers can Executive Branch agencies alter to improve broadband access and adoption in rural areas?

25. Would spurring competition to offer broadband service in rural areas expand availability and, if so, what specific actions could Executive Branch agencies take in furtherance of this goal?

26. Because the predominant areas with limited or no broadband service tend to be rural, what specific provisions should Executive Branch agencies consider to facilitate broadband deployment and adoption in such rural areas?

H. Measuring Broadband Availability, Adoption, and Speeds

27. What information about existing broadband services should the Executive Branch collect to inform decisions about broadband investment, deployment, and adoption? How often should this information be updated?

28. Are there gaps in the level or reliability of broadband-related information gathered by other entities that need to be filled by Executive Branch data collection efforts?

29. What additional research should the government conduct to

promote broadband deployment, adoption, and competition?

30. How might the federal government encourage innovation in broadband deployment, adoption, and competition?

Dated: April 24, 2105.

Lawrence E. Strickling,

Assistant Secretary for Communications and Information.

Lisa Mensah,

Under Secretary for Rural Development.

[FR Doc. 2015-09996 Filed 4-28-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-60-P