	Page 1
1	
2	MEETING
3	OF
4	DIGITAL ECONOMY BOARD OF ADVISORS MEETING
5	Conducted by Evelyn Remaley
6	Thursday, December 15, 2016
7	8:42 a.m.
8	
9	
10	Ronald Reagan Building and International Trade Center
11	Polaris Suite
12	1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
13	Washington, D.C. 20004
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Reported by: Michael Farkas, RPR/CSR
19	Capital Reporting Company
20	
21	
22	

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	Evelyn Remaley, Designated Federal Officer, DEBA
4	Zoe Baird, Co-Chair, DEBA
5	Mitchell Baker, Co-Chair, DEBA
6	Penny Pritzker, U.S. Secretary of Commerce
7	Brad Smith
8	James Manyika
9	Ellen Hughes-Cromwick, Chief Economist
10	U.S. Department of Commerce
11	James Cicconi
12	Alan Davidson, Director of Digital Economy
13	Lawrence E. Strickling, Assistant Secretary for
14	Communications and Information
15	U.S. Department of Commerce
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

	Digital Economy Board of Flavisors Weeting	Beceinger 13, 2010
		Page 3
1	CONTENTS	
2		
3	SPEAKER	PAGE
4	Zoe Baird	5
5	Mitchell Baker	10
6	Penny Pritzker	11
7	Brad Smith	21
8	James Manyika	44
9	Ellen Hughes-Cromwick	47
10	Mitchell Baker	69
11	James Cicconi	78
12	Lawrence Strickling	102
13	Mitchell Baker	105
14	Zoe Baird	108
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

1 PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

MS. REMALEY: -- Washington on this chili day.

I do want to mention that we have several of the members on the phone as well, and so they will be joining us from above. But they do have the opportunity to intervene during the session as they feel fit.

So welcome, everyone. Glad to have you on the phone as well.

Just a few administrative items before we I just want to mention we do have a break this morning at 10:30. But if you need to leave before then, there are restrooms right out the front of the hall here.

We also will be taking some time for public comments later today. I do want to mention that we did receive one on the internet. So those who are watching the webcast, you can see that on the NTIA website.

We also have the agenda posted today on the website as well as the report from the group for those who are watching online.

I do want to thank the board for all of your

tremendous work over the last several months. We're very excited about the report that was produced, and we look forward to discussing that today.

And with that, I will pass it over to our two co-chairs, Zoe Baird and Mitchell Baker.

Thank you.

MS. BAIRD: Thanks, Evelyn.

The first thing I'd like to do is to thank

Evelyn and Alan for the commitment and intelligence and energy they've brought to this effort. So thank you very much on behalf of all of my colleagues. It's been a privilege working with you, and we look forward to continuing the work.

I also want to acknowledge the Secretary and thank Secretary Pritzker for establishing this group, for understanding the importance of these issues and the potential that a thoughtful group like this might bring to helping the government understand its role in addressing them. And her leadership of the Department in these years has been extraordinary. And we thank you very much for --

MS. PRITZKER: Thank you, Zoe.

MS. BAIRD: -- all you've done.

Since the election, I've spoken with many of you. And the theme that has come through repeatedly is the sense of the really yawning gap between public understanding of what's happening in the digital economy and actions that can be taken by the public and private sectors and the feeling of so many people who are uneasy about what it means for them personally.

The issues we've been talking about were not part of the election conversation, and yet we know that the great challenge of our time is to make everyone have opportunity in this digital economy and feel included in that it is working for them. And this digital revolution has really upended people's sense of direction and opportunity.

We're in this tremendous transformation where digital technology is impacting everything. McKinsey did a study -- McKinsey Global Institute did a study recently that found that 6 million middle-skill jobs were displaced due to automation in 2007 to 2015, a job displacement rate which they projected to double by 2025.

We also know at the same time that the middle-skill jobs that require digital skills are growing two and a half times more rapidly than the middle-skill jobs for those without a college diploma that don't have digital components.

2.2

We in our work have seen good-paying jobs in growth areas and healthcare and information technology and advanced manufacturing that require skillsets that people have little opportunity to develop or don't know where to turn to develop those skillsets.

And employers are finding it very difficult to fill these positions. If you look at the time to fill jobs, they are really quite extended in these middle-skill jobs, meaning that they can't find people with the skillsets that they need for these growing jobs.

And for American businesses, the digital economy has been equally disruptive. Large businesses are capitalizing on the disruption and becoming digital enterprises, and digital businesses are growing two and a half times the rate of nondigital businesses. But small and medium-size businesses have not had the same capacity or understanding to grow in this economy. And

small and medium-size enterprises are responsible for 66 percent of all net new jobs.

2.2

So it is critical that we address these gaps, that we address people's uncertainty about where they can get the skills they need, that we address the need for small and medium-size enterprises to become part of the digital economy.

And this board has very much recognized the importance of those issues and the potential that the Department of Commerce can play in addressing them. We have looked at the small and medium-size enterprise issue, for example, and said that these businesses can become micro multinationals using the internet to sell goods and services to not only around the country, but internationally.

We've looked at the potential for training for Americans and the skills that are needed in this economy and have found that there is the capacity to give people rapid and affordable training. And that needs focus and development.

We've also looked at the fundamental questions of how do you measure these changes in the digital

economy. How do we understand that economy so that we can make good policy and businesses and individuals can address the challenges more effectively?

So we will talk about all these issues today.

I want to thank my colleagues for extraordinary diligent work. This has been a really committed group of people. We said to people at the beginning you're not here because of your current position; you're here because you do your own work. And the board has really proven that out.

And in particular, the chairs of the working groups, I want to thank you very much -- Brad Smith,

James Manyika, and Bill Ruh -- because you have been great leaders for this group. And the people sitting around the room, the staff that has put in so much time and effort as a commitment to their country, not because we have in any way been able to adequately recognize their efforts. So we really thank you.

We have some recommendations we're sharing today with the Secretary and with the public. Those recommendations, we think, provide leverage points to unlock shared prosperity. And I look forward very much

1 to my colleagues' conversation.

Thank you.

2.2

MS. BAKER: Well, I'll do my best not to duplicate Zoe. But I will add my voice of thanks to the board, to the working chair leaders, and to the staff as well. In text speak, as we would say, plus one to those things.

And also, in particular, thank you to the Secretary for forming the group. I think the time is really right for such a group. The digital economy, you know, is here now but unevenly distributed and poorly understood. And it's here in a global setting where international activities and regulations and understandings and cultures have not caught up, are trying to react, and trying to respond.

And so we have issues of the global economy in the United States and increasingly awkward, too difficult, to deal with those without an international setting as well.

And so in that environment, the developing of a shared understanding between the private sector, civil society, government, and international

organizations and settings is particularly important.

And I have seen that this board was an opportunity when formed and also has been exercising its abilities, as

Zoe said, in a really active way to try and build, in this case, an understanding of some of the issues and some of the approaches which look most positive for

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

those things.

And this combination of government and private enterprise and civil society will be necessary, I think, for any healthy progress on these things. And so it's been a really fruitful beginning to such an effort.

And with that, I'll just -- I think I'll stop there and let us get on to the substance.

MS. PRITZKER: Terrific. Well, thank you all very much.

First of all, welcome to the final meeting of 2016 of the Digital Economy Board of Advisors. You all have done an amazing job in a very short period of time. And without, as Mitchell and Zoe said, your personal engagement and that of the key staff members that you all have included here, we wouldn't have such

a rich report in such a short period. So thank you very much.

2.2

Zoe and Mitchell, I could not be more grateful to the two of you for leading this effort. Your expertise, your energy, your commitment, and your unbridled enthusiasm for engaging on -- in this has been much appreciated.

What you've done as a group is to, you know, raise, confront, and really address what's fundamental to the future economic success for the country. And I -- this is just a very, as you said, Mitchell -- and I wrote these words down -- you know, the digital economy is here, but it's unevenly distributed and misunderstood. And I think that that is a really important statement that kind of leads to all the recommendations that you all have produced.

Each of you with this report and with your statement, Mitchell, have really underscored the fact that the digital economy is essential to generating opportunity and prosperity for our country in the future.

And as -- Zoe, as you mentioned, you know, I

think in the months since we last met personally together, anxiety over how technology is transforming our economy is growing and has really pervaded American politics, and we can't ignore that. But it's also more than politics. It's pervading people's lives and the perception of their opportunities and futures. And we need to step in.

Our challenge as a group of business leaders, academics, policymakers is really how do we help our people adjust to that world where technology is changing fast. It's changing the very nature of work. But we also have to support the digital economy because it's really critical to our increased economic growth, to innovation, to strengthening American competitiveness.

And our team has -- led by Larry Strickling and Alan Davidson and Evelyn, have developed the first ever, you know, digital economy agenda that's been focused on four key priorities. One is promoting a free and open internet, which I'll get back to in a minute. The second is protecting digital security and private; third, expanding broadband access and making

sure that we have a workforce trained to deal with the digital economy; and finally, supporting emerging technologies.

Your report really dives into what are the next steps of us really taking that agenda and making it more -- you know, and being more effective. And you know, that's really exactly what we were hoping for, and it really lays out a blueprint for the next administration, which is really critical.

I'll just make a comment about the free and open internet. A whole digital economy depends on this assumption that we have a free and open internet.

We've got to stay focused globally on that issue. And it's not something to be taken for granted, which is really important.

We've made a lot of progress. I just want to talk about whether it's from successfully completing the IANA transition to the recent release of the president's commission on enhancing national cybersecurity report. That's a critical report -- I -- and one that leaves a blueprint for the next administration about both the public sector and private

sector's approach to cybersecurity going forward and the fact that the public sector and private sector have to partner to address this extraordinary threat not just to our economy, but to our national security.

2.2

We've also made progress from laying, you know, 115,000 miles of high-speed internet infrastructure to standing up a stakeholder-led process focused on the Internet of Things. And as it relates to infrastructure and as we talk about future infrastructure, we must make sure -- and this committee has an important role to play in making sure that infrastructure investment is digit -- includes -- and is focused on the digital infrastructure that we need that's critical to our staying.

It's just a fundamental foundation not just to our economy, but to the wellbeing for our people and for the ability of the Americans as citizens and as individuals to be able to stay connected.

At Commerce, we've taken significant steps to ensure that future secretaries have the tools to engage with the private sector and other leading thinkers -- yourselves and others -- on key issues like the digital

economy. And you have a really critical role to play as a board in making sure that it's -- that's well understood. You're an important part of the bridge to a new administration.

You know, this country does peaceful transition of power better than any other country in the world. But don't underestimate it takes a lot of effort, and you have an important role to play as it relates to the digital economy both with the Department of Commerce as well as with the White House because our efforts are really just at the beginning. As you all have pointed out, we've made progress, but it's -- we have a lot to do going forward.

A couple of things as we go forward that I want to just focus on before closing. First is that it's -- we expect the Department of Commerce to continue to play a leadership role on digital economy issues both within the U.S. government, but also around the world. And it's very important that we have a seat at the policy-making table alongside those representing national security issues.

When you think about the issues that are

facing the growth and breadth of a digital economy, there are national security issues, but there are really significant economic issues. And it's important that that is well understood.

2.2

And your guidance and your advice to the Department and to the next administration are really important as individuals begin to think about the breadth and scope of what they're facing as it relates not just to the digital economy, but, frankly, to the threats to our digital infrastructure, which is on the front page of all of our papers right now.

The other thing that I would say is, you know, as you've considered the issues that we're facing -whether it's cybersecurity threats, which are rising;
or privacy, which is being upended; automation and
globalization, which are changing the nature of work
for people and disrupting entire industries -- I think
the working groups that you've created about, you know,
what are the metrics that we should be -- what should
we be measuring in the digital economy, I think, is
terrific.

And you've laid out, really, some important

L	recommendations that are the Department is in a
2	position to actually execute on if we you know,
3	bringing together various parts of the Department.

Pushing that, I think, is really critical.

You focused on how should the government industry and the private sector work together to help Americans succeed in the new economy. What's the training? What are the skills? How do we do this more efficiently and effectively throughout our various training mechanisms and education mechanisms? I think that's spot on as an issue.

And then how can the digital platforms help smaller enterprises, for profit and nonprofit, thrive and grow and innovate.

But also, as you said, Zoe, create jobs is really critical.

So I really look forward to the discussion.

And as you all know, I'm -- I've always cared a lot about translating recommendations into tangible policy and outcomes. And that's why it's so important that we have members from the Department's professional, you know, and career teams so that we can make sure folks

like Evelyn or John Morris (ph) or other who are here and others who will join us later will carry forward this work.

2.2

So I'll -- let me just close by saying thank you. Thank you for your service to the country. It's -- this is a really critical set of issues, and you all are really important leaders. You have lots on your plates otherwise, and the fact that you're spending your time to do this is really an important service to the country and greatly appreciated. So thank you. This is not a report that will gather dust on our shelves.

So the other thing I want to assure you beside that is also that we will -- your work will be part of the work going forward at many different parts of the Department -- the Bureau of Economic Analysis, which I mentioned earlier; the National Telecommunications and Information Administration; National Institute of Standards and Technology. They will take up your issues as it relates to quantifying and assessing the digital economy.

Our Skills for Business team is -- which is

focused on helping prepare workers for the 21st century, they're going to take up your recommendations about workforce and work with the National Economic Council and the Department of Labor so that these are not left -- issues left simply at the Department.

2.2

And NTIA will also benefit from this platforms report that you put out, and that'll inform their work on local -- data localization, cross-border data flows, cyber-physical systems, and also how we train our digital attaches -- that'll, you know -- through our International Trade Administration.

So my point simply being is your work touches very much significant parts of the Department as well as other parts of the inter-agency, and we will make sure that it -- this is not just stuck with us, but also well understood at the career level at the interagency so that the work is -- goes forward.

But I look forward to our conversations. And thank you very much for including me and -- at this meeting at the end of the year.

MS. BAIRD: Thank you very much.

The work of the board, as we've mentioned, was

done through working groups. But the reports of the working groups were discussed with and considered by the full membership and are the product of the membership as a whole. And so as we get into a discussion with the Secretary of these issues, they --conversation will be led by our working group chairs.

And I'd like to turn to Brad Smith to start that.

MR. SMITH: Great. Well, thank you.

I have the pleasure of leading one of the working groups. And our group focused on the future of jobs and work in the digital economy. I was joined by Zoe, who is a member of the working group, as well as David Cohen, Oisin Hanrahan, and Mindy Grossman. We definitely benefitted from the help of the folks at the commerce department -- Alan, Evelyn, Louise (ph), others.

And I have to say, Secretary Pritzker, when you asked us to take on this assignment, I certainly would say I had no idea what an incredible opportunity you were giving to us to learn about what I think is one of the defining issues perhaps not just of this

1 | year, but, you know, of our generation.

2.2

And so we embarked this summer on, I'll say, a tour of the country by telephone as we organized a series of conference calls to learn from people who each knew, you know, quite a bit about the issues that we needed to address. We talked to people at a company like Manpower, which of course had its finger on the pulse of much of this; people at AT&T who were innovating in ways to train workers; the Markle Foundation; LinkedIn; the Aspen Institute; business leaders in Colorado; the McKinsey Global Institute. Everyone was willing to talk to us.

I'll say a few words about the report, and we'll really then have a conversation among the five of us about it.

But before that, I wanted to share something that was really Zoe's inspiration. A few weeks ago, she said why don't we produce a short video that really captures what we have come to focus on. So it's about a two-minute video, and we'll take a look at it now.

(Video playing.)

MR. SMITH: I'm tempted to just stop now.

(Laughter.)

older skills.

MR. SMITH: But I think that the video captures well, in my view, that we're living in a time of both great challenge, but also great opportunity.

And I think that's what we came away appreciating.

Jobs and work are changing dramatically.

Digital technologies are creating the need for new skills, even at the same time that they automate some

People are working in new ways. The McKinsey Global Institute came out with a study over the last couple of months that now shows that between 54 and 68 million Americans are working part time, typically not as their primary job, but as a secondary source of income. And so naturally, as people are working in new ways and they're needing to learn new skills, people are connecting with jobs in new ways as well.

So in short, as you see in our report, we focused on a few key issues that emerged from all of this. How do we actually measure what's going on in the economy? And what can the commerce department do and continue to do as it has been recently been

innovating to measure more accurately what's happening?

How do we equip our young people with the skills they will need for success, especially at a post-secondary level? How do we equip everybody else in the population, people of all ages so they can successfully acquire new skills throughout their lives and connect with new careers and new jobs?

What does this mean for our labor laws, labor laws that were very much written with a 20th century economy in mind, a sense that everybody was either an employee or an independent contractor but there was no other alternative that existed in the workforce?

What does it mean for people's benefits as they move not only from job to job but may hold multiple jobs simultaneously?

And what does it mean for the social safety net, a safety net that, in many ways, has rested on the, in part, contributions that employers make on behalf of employees?

And perhaps most fundamentally, given the nature of our work, what does it mean for the Department of Commerce?

1 As you see in our report, it all means a lot. There's a lot of opportunity in each of these areas. 2 And I think the best way for us to sort of explore that 3 and share with you what we learned is to talk together 4 5 about it. So I thought I would throw out a few guestions 6 7 and others on the panel I'm counting on to jump in. 8 And to begin with, I think one of the things that made 9 our panel interesting and successful is the five of us brought different perspectives from our day jobs, so to 10 We all work with employees in different 11 We work with either customers or people that 12 13 we're serving in various ways. 14 You know, so we're seeing this change in jobs 15 and work unfold. And I'd love to start by opening it 16 up to the others on the panel to just reflect a bit on 17 the kinds of changes to jobs and work we're seeing. 18 Mindy, go ahead. 19 MS. GROSSMAN: Hi. Thanks for that. It was a 20 great experience and great work. And thanks to 21 everyone involved. 2.2 You know, we've seen such a dramatic shift in

the changes to jobs and work just over the last number
of years. In our company alone, 55 percent of our
business is now transacted through a digital platform,
25 percent of that through a mobile platform. So it's
created a dramatic shift in the type of jobs, the
competition for talent, particularly in areas like data
analytics, information technology but, most
importantly, digital and mobile fluency throughout.

And if you look at retail in general with one in four jobs in the United States being retail, the growth, which is really coming from digital commerce, is requiring a major shift in needs and competencies. But most important, the consumer behavior demands are critical because they are requiring digital fluency from brands and businesses. And we have to deliver on those expectations just to compete -- not just to compete with each other, but to compete as an economy.

And then the last really major change is, generationally, there's been much more demand for flexibility and being digitally connected through technology. So our work-at-home jobs, more flexibility is definitely a significant trend.

So it's critical for our business. And right now, in certain of these areas like data analytics or pure digital competency, there's not enough talent for all of us to even be able to fill those jobs. So it's going to be critical going forward.

MR. SMITH: David?

MR. COHEN: So in the Comcast-NBC Universal world, as we work through this report as -- listen to your summary, watch the video, we're sort of a microcosm of America. See, everything that we've talked about in this report we're experiencing.

So I start with the -- not the disappearance, but the beginning elimination of lower-level, entry-level jobs. They just don't exist anymore. I mean, in our case, you know, entry-level technician or call center jobs where, you know, a technician, you didn't need a -- basically, you had a high school degree.

That was all you needed because you showed up at a house, you drilled a hole in the wall, you ran coax cable through the whole, and you plugged it in the back of the TV. That was a technician job.

And those jobs are all but disappearing.

2.2

Page 28

They've -- our lower-level jobs have become those middle-skill jobs. So it's part of the contribution of growth of that. But even those jobs are not growing at the same proportion as job growth at the company is because there's so much technology being used now and so much of a premium. Quite frankly, people are busy, and they would much rather order our service online, get a package in the mail, and plug it in themselves.

So we're seeing in the bulk of our traditional employment the near disappearance of original low-skill, entry-level jobs. Those jobs are now middle-skill jobs, require a lot more training, certainly require more than a high school degree, don't necessarily require a college degree. So go to that point that there are pathways to getting those skills that do not necessarily require a four-year college degree.

Biggest growth in jobs at our company have been in higher-skill, tech-related jobs, engineering jobs. That's where the growth is occurring.

Many of you have -- if you've been through

Philadelphia, you see we're building a new million-and-

a-half-square-foot office building. As I like to say,

it's going to be 1.5 million square feet of office

space with no offices. It's entirely for a technology

workforce. There will be 4,500 people who move into

that building, basically none of whom were employed

three years ago.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

So that's your -- I mean, one city, that's our growth. And those are ultimately much higher-skill jobs. Most of those jobs are above the middle-skill environment. And it just shows the premium in this digital economy on higher-skill engineering, software developer, app developer, talent and technology.

And as Mindy just said -- and Brad, as you previewed -- and then the video said -- the competition for talent in that space is brutal in the United States. It is a -- there continues to be a real skills mismatch between the demand and the technical and digital economy for employees with those job skills and the available source.

And the last thing I'll say because we're, like many of us in this room, on both sides of the table. We're not only employing people directly, but

we are also helping to enable the digital economy

through infrastructure, broadband and the -- and making

available the tools for people that develop the skills

to be able to function in this digital economy not at

Comcast or NBC Universal, but at every company in the

country.

2.2

And I do think that a sort of underappreciated element is the extent of digital illiteracy in the United States and the importance of digital literacy toward driving home broadband adoption, ultimately, basic digital literacy skills, basic digital competence. And that's a big focus for our company not only, again, for our business, but it's a big focus for how we think we have to play a part in making sure that we are helping to train a digitally literate workforce that can fill those middle-skill jobs that are becoming more and more important in a digital economy every year.

MR. SMITH: Why don't I turn to the next -oh, sorry. Did -- do you have a question, Secretary?

MS. PRITZKER: I have just a quick question.

Given you're going to hire or you're -- as you said,

Digital Economy Board of Advisors Meeting Page 31 1 you're building a building that will be full of 4,500 workers that didn't work for you three years ago, are 2 you -- and given that -- you know, one of the biggest 3 4 challenges I see is education is local. It's not 5 national. We don't have national directives. And so how -- if you think about addressing 6 7 this workforce training challenge, there's sort of the transition of jobs, the continuous learning part. 9 then there's the coming up through middle school, high 10 school, and that path of helping someone onboard into 11 careers. 12 And how are you thinking about the engagement with, you know, local schools to -- I mean, you just 13 14 said it's underappreciated the extent of digital 15 literacy and competence. 16 MR. COHEN: Digital illiteracy. 17 MS. PRITZKER: Illiteracy. Uh-oh again. 18 MR. COHEN: Yeah, it's ... 19 MS. PRITZKER: That whole ill thing --

And so you know, it needs to start a lot younger than ...

illiteracy and lack of competence -- exactly.

20

21

22

MR. COHEN: Right. Yeah. So we did talk about this in the report. And we -- nature of this work can't fully flush out everything. I view this -- and we didn't get deep enough, so I'm really speaking for myself in making this comment.

I think part of that really critical question goes to the relative roles of government and the private sector in how we address these issues. And I - there will be lots of exceptions to what I'm about to say.

But in a rough justice sort of way, I think the biggest role of government needs to be to help create a -- an elementary secondary education system that is keeping kids in school, that is graduating them, that is preparing them for something postsecondary school, whether it's technical training, technical school, or college.

And frankly, if we can get our talent with a basic level and, particularly, in this engineering space -- a basic level of STEM-related skills, it becomes our obligation to train them for what our needs are in our company. And in a rough justice sort of

way, I think that helps to create the dividing line.

As a large private sector company, this is true for -- I mean, it's true for AT&T. It's true for Microsoft, you know. But everyone's efforts here, we spent a lot of work working in partnership with our local educational -- our schools, our local colleges and universities to make -- to help guide local curriculum, what is necessary.

We just announced last week a form of apprenticeship program with the Philadelphia public schools that, essentially, is taking kids in the public schools and giving them training in cable and high-speed data-related skills, capable of working in our company. And we're starting with a pilot program of 25 kids, and it's our goal to be able to hire all 25 of those kids when they graduate high school.

So that's -- I mean, that's how we blur the lines after I just set forth some basic --

MS. PRITZKER: So I think -- you know, for -- as you go forward, there's much to do to make sure that the current recommendations -- and I'm always loathe to add to the scope. But I think help -- I think this

question of the role where does -- you know, kind of clearly government in the middle and the private sector.

You know, we've tried to be focused on, you know, business-led, job-driven training as an administration. But helping to clarify -- then also, what's the role, for example -- the Department of Labor and Department of Ed. have worked really closely -- I mean Department of Labor and Department of Commerce have worked really closely on this skills question.

But we don't have much linkage in with the Department of Ed. That hasn't been the current --

MR. COHEN: Mm-hmm.

MS. PRITZKER: -- administration. That just didn't happen.

And so my -- I -- one question I would ask as you lay this out may be to think about kind of what are some of the basic digital literacy and things like that that needs to be part of a basic education so that that becomes more and more a foundation on which we're building the future of work and skills development because I -- I'm not sure that's clear.

MR. SMITH: Yeah, no. It's a great question. It's actually very much of the heart of where we think this needs to go. So we're all sort of thinking alike.

And Zoe, you might want to comment because you've been directly involved in a lot of these interesting sort of cutting-edge questions in Colorado and elsewhere.

MS. BAIRD: Sure. I'm happy to jump in.

I think the group as a whole has concluded that the commerce department can play a real leadership role in having the various agencies of the federal government understand how the federal government can contribute to this, that if commerce with its representation of business interest and its deep understanding of business can help reveal the skills needed for jobs -- and the labor department does a lot of this. But the labor department does it in a comprehensive way as opposed to focus on the growth jobs that the economy needs and the jobs where businesses are having a difficult time filling positions or creating the workforce that they need.

Commerce can really help drive this agenda,

can help drive the agenda to have businesses take the lead in working with educational institutions.

2.2

One of the things that we've focused on in the working group and that we focus on at Markle, too, is this whole question of these are immediate needs both of the Americans in the workforce and they're immediate needs for businesses.

So while the K-to-12 system is an important issue, we have so much opportunity to train and retrain people. And people will need to train and retrain throughout the course of their career, as we said in the video.

So there is a tremendous need for businesses to work in real time with local officials as well as federal officials for -- to collaborate on training programs, whether it be apprentices or the work that Dave is doing in his company working in local communities to help people move into rapid training programs not only in the company, but boot camps and other kinds of tech training programs that are rapid and affordable; the work Microsoft's doing in helping vets re-credential the things they've --

1 MR. SMITH: Mm-hmm.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

MS. BAIRD: -- learned to be readable and understandable for private sector jobs and other work that Microsoft's doing with training programs in areas of high demand throughout the economy.

So I think we feel that Commerce really can take a lead in helping the federal government as a whole both raise awareness of critical steps that can be taken, focus on the immediate needs and opportunities for the workforce, and to enable companies to grow and job growth.

And that -- there's a data element of this that's also important to create the proof points and to have people see that incomes are growing through these jobs.

MR. SMITH: Yeah. Mitchell, it looked like you wanted to ask her -- say something.

MS. BAKER: Yes, thank you.

So Mozilla also runs digital literacy campaigns. And I'm thinking in the pre-apprenticeship, like younger children.

And we have -- you know, as an addition, found

that, often, informal education is very effective. If you can get the kids at the things that they love doing, whether it's story-telling or video -- and in an informal, sometimes it's after-school programs where we work with, you know, public libraries and museums.

And so in addition to the classic STEM education, and particularly for people who learn best by doing, there is a -- I would almost call it more community-based, grass roots, but distributed nature of actually learning technology so that you're interested and engaged in some of the apprenticeship programs and in moving forward.

And so I do hope that there is a role where the idea of digital economy and long-term jobs can be connected both to formal education, but also to the informal learning that's necessary and comfort with exploring digital elements, which will always be true because you're training now. You'll need to keep doing it for your -- the -- for the rest of your life.

And so I think there may be a leadership role in rolling informal training programs -- or informal learning programs with training programs connected to

education. That is all tied up in the digital economy and which currently, I think, does not have a home.

MS. PRITZKER: I guess what -- part of what I'm saying is, is that it's clear that if you think about actually implementing this, it requires an interagency effort --

MR. SMITH: It does.

2.2

MS. PRITZKER: -- both inter-agency within the Department of Commerce and then inter-departmental inner-agency efforts with Department of Ed., Department of Labor.

There's not really -- there's a formal mechanism that got created at the Department of Labor, but I don't think it's as comprehensive. I don't know.

David Langdon, maybe you know better because you work on that, too.

But I think -- if you think about the next administration, their opportunity to really dig in on this and really make a step function change difference, I think making sure that the right inner-agency structure exists on the future of work to tackle the various levels of it and various streams.

There's a big part for the Department of Commerce to play, representing the business and representing the needs and representing the kind of education. But the actual implementation throughout the system is going to require DOL and DOE.

And I think figuring out exactly to make sure that we got that agenda set up and that mechanism and oversight, perhaps, out of the White House --

MR. SMITH: Yeah.

2.2

MS. PRITZKER: -- might be something you might want to think about as you refine the recommendations or add to them.

MR. SMITH: No, that's helpful. I want to ask
Oisin to speak to one other thing before we run out of
time. But before I do that, I do just want to know,
building on our suggestion.

I think we all felt that the kind of innovation that is going to be needed to really change the dynamic in the economy will require, as you point out, greater collaboration between the public and private sectors, greater collaboration across the federal government, and greater collaboration between

the federal government and state and local governments.

And it's then going to require innovation in all of

The one other thing I -- we should just spend a moment on is just sort of the other -- some of the other aspects we called out in the report, questions around labor law, benefits, safety net, and the like.

Oisin, do you want to --

MR. HANRAHAN: Sure.

these spaces as well.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. SMITH: -- on that?

MR. HANRAHAN: Thanks, Brad.

So I can give the company perspective that we've got, which is four years ago we tried to change how people buy home services. So you think about every service you need inside your home from home cleaners, handyman, plumbers, electricians. And we thought we could figure out how people could better buy and sell those services.

And over the last four years, we've had about 2 million people apply to work on the handy platform as cleaners or handymen and plumbers, and we've allowed about 80,000 people to earn money on the platform.

And the thing that we've learned more than anything else is the motivation for why they're choosing to work on handy and why they're choosing to work on whether it's Uber or Lyft or Instacart is the flexibility that they can workmen in these platforms.

So about 80 percent of the people work 20 hours a week or less, 50 percent 10 hours a week or less. And the reason they're choosing to work here is the immense flexibility that this part of the economy gives them.

So the technology that exists today has taken away so much of the coordination layer that people can choose to work two, three, four hours a week at a time that works for them.

And the one challenge we've got here is we're still stuck with laws that go back to 1938 that force us to delineate between contractors and employees. And this touches on pretty much everything. It touches on benefits. It touches on our ability to educate and train people.

So it would be wonderful if, as platforms, we could take on more responsibility for upscaling our

1 contractors for helping them transition into higher income brackets. Unfortunately, we're stuck with this 2 law that says hey, if you give people contractor 3 4 status, they have flexibility. They also can't have 5 this training. They can't have benefits. They can't 6 have education that we could help provide. 7 So I think this is one of the significant 8 areas that would allow platforms in the digital economy 9 to actually take on some of the burden that we'd love 10 to take on, particularly around benefits, education, and training, which I think actually helps every part 11 12 of this conversation. 13 MR. SMITH: I'm cognizant of the fact that we 14

MR. SMITH: I'm cognizant of the fact that we were given 30 minutes. We've used 32. So we could talk all morning about the issues in our report, and then you'd be here all afternoon as well.

 $\mbox{So I think we should -- I will turn things} \\ \mbox{over to all of you. So } \mbox{\dots}$

MS. BAIRD: Thank you.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Let me just -- as the Secretary, if you want to say anything else about the -- this group's report before we move on to the next working group.

Digital Economy Board of Advisors Meeting December 15, 2016 Page 44 1 MS. PRITZKER: No, I think let's move forward. 2 I could talk --3 MS. BAIRD: Okay. MS. PRITZKER: -- all day about this. 4 MS. BAIRD: Okay, great. Let me turn to James 5 Manyika and ask him to discuss the measuring of the 6 7 economy. 8 MR. MANYIKA: Thank you, Zoe. 9 Thank you, Secretary, for gathering this group 10 of us to work on these very, very important issues. I think the way we're going to spend the time 11 12 is I'm going to make some opening remarks, and then we'll have a conversation with Ellen about some of the 13 14 work that this working group conducted. 15 But first, I'd like to thank members of the 16 working group. This is a group that included Austin 17 Goolsbee, Greg Becker, and Martha Toledo (ph). And we 18 had the wonderful benefit of having an incredible 19 support staff that worked with us to help us prepare 20 the work that we did and go through all the details. 21 And I also want to thank colleagues at

Commerce who made themselves available, in particular,

2.2

in the agencies including BEA and NTIA and Census and so forth because this is a very much a nuts-and-bolts measurement set of things we're working on, and there's no way we could have done what we're trying to understand without their help.

I should also point out that we also have the luxury of being able to consult with many leading academics who have been -- work on these questions about measurement and have the benefit of their counsel and advice and ideas. And hopefully, we've properly kind of incorporated that into the recommendations that we've made.

I think an important point to note before we get into the details here is that I think, by any measure, the U.S. is the most digitized economy in the world. But at the same time, by most measures, the opportunity, the potential, to take even more advantage of this is even greater.

So we have this incredible opportunity that while the U.S. is doing incredibly well, there's even more that we could be doing to benefit the economy, to benefit companies and businesses as well as individuals

in the economy.

2.2

It is also the case, based on the work that many of us have done, that while the digital economy is here and rapid and, I think, as Zoe pointed out in the opening, it is very unevenly distributed. And you can see this at all levels, whether you look at the level of how digitized sectors are, how digitized companies are, how digitized small businesses are, and also the extent to which households and individuals and consumers are benefitting from the impacts and potential of digitization. There's such wide disparity across all of that.

And that's one of the reasons why this working group, in particular, wanted to focus on this issue of how do we properly measure this because it's been established many times over that when companies and sectors and individuals and household take advantage of digital technology, they derive incredible benefits in terms of productivity, growth, and, of its workers, growth in their wages. So all the benefits are clear. So we want to make sure that every part of the economy can fully benefit from this.

1	So with that, we're going to have a bit of a
2	conversation with Ellen. And let me invite Ellen to
3	make some opening remarks, and we'll have a
4	conversation about the working group's recommendations.
5	MS. REMALEY: If I could, before we begin, I
6	would just like to introduce Ellen, actually.
7	Ellen, we're so glad that you were able to
8	join us today.
9	Ellen Cromwick-Hughes is the chief economist
10	for the Department of Commerce. And we wanted to have
11	her come today because the group the this working
12	group has really looked at things that are close to
13	Ellen's heart and that she's worked on at Commerce.
14	So we're so glad that you could take the time
15	and join us. And we look forward to this interaction.
16	Thank you.
17	MS. HUGHES-CROMWICK: Evelyn, thank you.
18	James, great introduction.
19	And I just can't thank all of you enough for
20	all the contributions. I mean, we are data geeks.
21	This is such a passionate issue for economist.
22	And I think the report recommendations are

absolutely right on. I mean, they are in the lane that will, in delivery, generate so much of a contribution in terms of how we understand and measure the digital economy.

2.2

I thought I'd just spend a couple of minutes talking to you about what we're actually doing. We've made progress under the Secretary's leadership and the data pillar in the strategic plan.

For example, you've seen and hear a mention of the satellite account that the Bureau of Economic Analysis is putting together to measure the digital economy. We kick-started that, thanks to Alan's leadership. And we've got Julie McHenry (ph), Jessica Nicholson here on our career staff team. And if BEA can do it, you know, this will be a major step forward.

Right now, the digital economy is hidden in the GDP accounts. We don't lift it up and show people how important and how relevant it is. And they're going to go item by item and bring it out so that we can see what, in an accurate sense, how big it is.

Just to give you one example, we had a workshop this week on productivity looking at all the

digital pieces to productivity. And one, you know, area which most people don't even look at is software - it sounds simple for many of you -- is as big in our economy as the auto sector.

We think about autos as being so important -near and dear to my heart, having been at Ford. But
software is big. And if we measure the -- all the
digital components that are then multiplied out of the
software sector, you know, we'll start to measure the
economy in a much better way.

Secondly, we've done a lot on the international digital cross-border flows. And thanks to Julia and Jessica, we've had some major progress in terms of measuring what is happening in terms of digital goods and services. They're going back and forth. Why is it so important for our businesses to grow? We have to have that unfettered flow. And that has been a major thrust.

The third one I mention is e-commerce. And I appreciated the comments about the consumer and how important it is that we measure e-commerce.

Today, in the holiday season, we've seen a

surge in online buying in many different categories.

However, we need work to measure that better. And the

Census and BEA are on that, and we've made progress. I

think that's going to really see some sunlight next

year.

And then finally, in closing, you know, this whole puzzle around productivity, growth, and digital is we've got to crack the code on that. And one incredible area of science that is moving forward at BEA is around measuring tech prices. I mean, it sounds simple maybe for some of you in that space, but we have not really measured them properly. And if we don't measure tech prices and understand the evolution of those prices, we're not going to get GDP right; we're not going to make progress.

So we've seen working together at Commerce with BEA and, in your recommendations, particularly Recommendation 7, how important it is -- and I've heard many of you emphasize this, especially Secretary. You know, we've got to broaden out and make sure we're capturing all the critical experts to move this measurement forward. There are people at the fed

working on this, people at Department of Labor, you know, people here within Commerce. We have to bring them together to drive that plan forward.

So thank you very much.

MR. MANYIKA: Thank you, Ellen.

Let me give a highlight on the four areas of recommendations that we are making in this report. And this is part of the work we've been doing since this summer.

The first category of recommendations have to do with measuring the extent of the digital -- of digitization. And this is aimed at try and understand the extent and rates at which digitization has occurred across sectors in the economy, different kinds of businesses in the economy, but also households and individuals. So this is try and understand how far and advanced digitization has become.

The second area of recommendation has to do with try and understand the effects of digitization.

And this is mostly around how companies and individuals are actually using digital technologies once they have adopted them.

Page 52

So this might include things like to what extent are companies and businesses using digital technologies to do transactions, to interact with their customers and their suppliers, how much -- to what extent are households using digital technologies. Just talk to managed household energy use and those kinds of things. So this is try and understand the effects of digitization.

The third area of the category or recommendations has to do with try and understand the impact of digitization. And this is where we get to the kinds of issues that Ellen is talking about, which are -- also have to do with impact on GDP growth, impact on productivity, but also here try and introduce other measures of impact which go beyond the traditional economic metrics.

One of the questions I think that's been up for debate, particularly in a world in which we are all very concerned about the uneven distributions, to understand the effects around things like jobs, job growth, job creation, but also potentially impact and the effects on wages. And this relates to some of the

work that Brad was talking about in the earlier working group's recommendations.

And then the final area of recommendation has to do with trying to understand and monitor new and emerging activities. One of the things that's -- and for all of us who live in and around innovation technologies is just the rapid nature of innovations astounding. And quite often, many new activities emerge. And it takes -- it often takes a very long time before we start to measure them.

So this idea is how can, you know, the government, through its agencies, do a better job of trying to anticipate and look ahead to emerging areas of activity. You might think of activities including whether it's internet or things or the use of linking of digital technology to even synthetic biology data. There's a whole range of new areas or activities that are emerging that we think it's important to keep an eye on.

So those are the four categories of recommendation.

One of the things you will see across our

Page 54

recommendations is that we feel quite strongly just in our working group that, in order to do this, it's going to require innovation in three important areas. One is in terms of getting more agencies and parts of the government to work together to do this. As we found out, clearly, Commerce -- many of the measurement agencies in the U.S. government are in Commerce, whether it's BEA or NTIA. But also, there are important other agencies outside of Commerce who are going to need to come together to somehow work together to put all these statistics together.

So this inter-agency collaboration -- and I think as the Secretary, you pointed out, maybe even linked to what the White House might do, we think it's a very important part of connecting the dots.

A second new innovation that we think is important is to begin to employ new techniques to gather the data. One of the things that have been used and tried and tested for a very long time is, of course, the use of surveys. And I think the commerce department and others do an effective use of that. And in fact, they continue to innovate in that.

But I think there are going to be new mechanisms to collect data and gather data that I think that innovation and experimentation is going to be quite important, which leads to the third kind of innovation that we think is going to be needed. And these are new kinds of partnerships and collaborations that may go beyond the government itself.

2.2

One of the things about the digital economy is that much of the most interesting data when it comes to what's happened in digital economy is actually not in government agencies. This is often in the hands of the private sector, companies who are either cold face (ph) of interacting with users and consumers and others. So some new kinds of innovative partnerships are going to be quite important in order to do the kind of measurement work we're talking about.

So that's the framework that we came up with in our recommendations. I won't go through all 10 recommendations. But there are a couple that are worth at least highlighting because they represent new kinds of measurements, perhaps, that we think are important.

One of the things there's always been -- the

commerce department's always done a very good job of is measuring kind of the expenditures and assets and infrastructure that companies and others typically buy and consumers as digital technology.

2.2

But innovations have now led to other kinds of assets that are quite important. An example of that is data assets. We've all read and know that the importance of -- a big part of digitization comes from having data assets that are quite important. So new mechanisms of being able to measure the extent in which we are collecting and amassing and gather data, that's important for the economy. It's going to be quite important.

And related -- part of that as an example is the fact that we're going to need to start to measure the extent to which digitizations (sic) that are allowing us to connect to physical assets. We often see this around the Internet of Things, the fact that a lot of industrial equipment, for example, now includes digital components. So how do we keep track and measure the extent to which that kind of digitization is occurring?

So that's all in our -- an example in our second recommendation.

Another example recommendation that I'd like to highlight is Recommendation number 4. And this gets at the extent to which digitization is affecting work. We know that this is an economic concept, this notion of capital deepening, which really gets at the question of the extent to which workers are empowered with digital tools and capabilities is an important part understanding how we are enabling the workforce to work with new tools and new capabilities in a way that benefits them and the economy.

So some way to start to measure a set of labor-related and work-related aspects of digitization are quite important. That also includes measuring to understand the rate at which new kinds of digital jobs are being created so we understand to the rate at which the workforce is becoming more and more digital. And that's part of our fourth recommendation.

And then of course, an important recommendation, which Ellen's already pointed to, relates to really try and understand the connection

between digitization and GDP and productivity. And actually, on that one, I'd like to invite one of the working group members, Austin Goolsbee, who's on the line, to give some remarks and comments on that particular point.

Austin, are you on?

2.2

MR. GOOLSBEE: Yes, I'm on. Can you hear me?

MR. MANYIKA: Yes, we can.

MR. GOOLSBEE: Okay. Thanks.

And Madam Secretary, I'm here representing the home front in Chicago. It is evidently 1 degree outside with a wind chill of minus 17. So whatever cold it is there, it's worse here.

On this issue of GDP and productivity
measurement, I would just highlight two and a half
quick points. The first is you know many economist, so
you'll appreciate the economists can't agree on this
subject. And we found that as we were going through
the working group there are firmly held opinions on
both sides of the divide on the question of is the
slowdown of productivity growth that we see in the
(inaudible - technical difficulty) of free digital

1 goods that you can (inaudible - technical difficulty) 2 and things that have a value but are not measured in And is it due to digitization in companies, 3 the rise of digital (inaudible - technical difficulty)? 4 5 That's a (inaudible - technical difficulty) question. The --6 7 MR. MANYIKA: Austin, would you mind rewinding 8 For some reason, you're dropping in and out. 9 MR. GOOLSBEE: Oh, dear. Okay. It was just 10 to say summary of point one was economists do not agree, and there is a dispute over the question is the 11 12 observed slowdown of productivity growth and slowdown of GDP growth of the last decade or so due to the rise 13 14 of digital goods, things that are not measured well in 15 the GDP, whether it's search engines, social media, 16 things that have a value to consumers but are not --17 they don't have to monetarily pay for them, the rise of 18 the digital assets that James mentioned and things like 19 that. 20 We sought -- I guess I would highlight with my 21 other one and a half points the potential synergies 2.2 across the recommendations and within the commerce

department. So by that, I mean, first, the Category 1 that James mentioned were these measures of the usage of digital goods and the diffusion of technologies, let's call it.

A, we would like to know that all for its own purpose. But B, that does open, we felt, the possibility to do studies. We could use that data to try to figure out the question of how much does the use of technology affect productivity growth if we could better measure across industry, say, or across different consumers. Here are the digital technologies they're using. And does that seem to be correlated with how much productivity has slowed down in those industries and things like that?

And the second, which was highlighted, I believe, in James's Category 4, is noting how much data is already being collected, especially in Commerce but in other parts of the government where if you added some of these categories to existing datasets, whether it's -- there's a time use survey. There are consumer expenditure surveys of what people spend their money on. There are labor datasets that, if they could be

merged with some of these digital issues, it would really enhance our ability to answer this question because, fundamentally, we know that the GDP accounts of the United States were created to be a proxy measure of the standard of living for the U.S. or for any country in the world.

And our fear is that as the rise of things that people enjoy but don't cost money, as that gets more and more important, the GDP, as a measure of the standard of living, is getting worse and worse. And so, really, the fundamental building block of our economic policy is that data and is that concept. And so it's quite important that we try to figure that out.

MR. MANYIKA: Thanks, Austin.

And I think one of the things that we are excited about is, I think, there's about to be a major new effort by BEA and NTIA to actually try and to do some of these studies. And we think this is such an important area. Already, Ellen highlighted the set of issues around pricing effects, but also what Austin just added around free goods and other things I think - we think are an important part of this.

Zoe, you wanted to make a comment.

MS. BAIRD: I wanted to just make a quick comment to underscore -- all of these things are enormously important. So I don't mean to suggest otherwise.

But I wanted to underscore the importance of Commerce developing a capacity to collaborate with the private sector and share data and create mechanisms for preserving the value of that data, obviously, to the companies that are generating it, but to create the collective capacity of understanding so many of these issues so much better by developing public-private shared inquiries.

There is, and will increasingly be, so much the private sector will know that the government needs to know for its own policy-making, let alone to inform people more broadly about what's going on in the economy. And if the government doesn't have access to this private sector data, it will not be able to make good policy. It won't be able to make good tax policy. It won't be able to make good policies -- regulatory policies.

And beyond that, we won't be able to grow the economy because we won't find ways to distribute that data so that the small and medium-size enterprises that don't have the collective capacity to generate it can themselves participate in the benefits.

2.2

So I think that's a very high priority for the Department.

MS. PRITZKER: Can I just make sure you're aware of something that I think is an important asset that we have, which is NTIS, which is a part of the Department of Commerce, is currently overseen by NIST, the National Institute of Standards and Technology?

But we're trying to move it to be part of ESA.

And the reason is it had the ability to partner and to do joint ventures. It is a very special government -- most parts of our government don't have that capacity and that authorization to do that kind of engagement. And if you think about -- every one of your recommendations requires some form of collaboration and some form of not just public-private, but maybe public and local government, your federal and local government.

So I think that as you further deepen how -the how we accomplish some of these things working with
our staff, I think the question is how to engage NTIS
because you make a recommendation about, for example,
the DAS position, you know, the position that Ellen's - that's a goal of ours for reasons beyond this
meeting. We were unable to accomplish it this time.

2.2

But I think that that is an aspiration that we share with you. We were unable to get it done in this calendar year. I think that's something we should try to get done next year.

I think you should also look at NTIS and where it ought to be housed because I think if you put it closer to BEA and the chief economist office and Census, we're using -- it's very much playing an important role not just for Commerce, but for other parts of the government in terms of public-private partnership.

So that's an onion worth peeling, I think, that could actually get to some of the -- help address some of these questions that you're raising about needing the private data and how do we engage.

We're doing -- we're in the middle of doing 35 1 partnerships right now with different private sector 2 entities to help us have better streams of -- access to 3 better streams of data, but also to give our data to 4 5 other entities to be able to use. So it's a very vibrant opportunity. 6 7 MR. MANYIKA: Thank you for adding that 8 because that, in fact, was actually one of the things 9 that we collectively felt strongly about. I wanted to highlight one of the area of new 10 recommendation that we're making and invite Greg Becker 11 12 to comment on this. This has to do with we felt 13 strongly the need to have some way to look to new 14 trends and new emerging activities in digital economy 15 in a way that, hopefully, gives some foresight into 16 what ultimately then gets measured by the measuring 17 agency. 18 So Greg, do you want to jump in and make some 19 comments on that? 20 MR. BECKER: Yeah, James. Can everyone hear

MR. MANYIKA: Yes, we can.

21

2.2

me?

MR. BECKER: Great. Thanks. And thanks for letting me participate, allow me to participate on the committee, on the advisory board.

2.1

2.2

And it's a pleasure to be here, if only by phone, Madam Secretary.

What James said we certainly believe is that our business model is entirely predicated on emerging businesses, emerging business models being developed.

And the speed of change by which that is happening is only increasing.

We felt strongly that having a good indication, good insight into what's happening before it becomes too mainstream will help the government, help the commerce department develop policies around these new emerging technologies, especially in the digital economy. We felt that we have to really understand a framework, which is a digital taxonomy of businesses.

And there are so many different models out there. And once we kind of decide, or you decide, on what those are, then measuring the amount of capital flows into those areas, digging into it, will really

help understand where emerging trends are going. And once you have that, then, clearly, what are the implications and the policy recommendations that are needed?

So we looked at the taxonomy. We looked at measurement working with the private sector. And really understanding what's happening is a really important part because some of these new technologies on the sharing economy is one that gets a lot of attention, have come, literally, from nowhere to dominate certain spaces. And I believe -- we believe the government shouldn't be looking too far behind trying to catch up. And the only way to do that is really see early on what the emerging trends are.

So we believe this is -- there is great opportunities for partnership with the private sector.

I appreciate the comments that were made earlier that a lot of new partnership are being made. And we certainly believe that's a key aspect of where we need to go.

So that's what our recommendations outline, and we look forward to being part of this going

forward.

MS. PRITZKER: Greg, I would just say I think absolutely it makes -- you know, the notion of -- that we have to agree on a technology taxonomy makes perfect sense. It's a great role for NIST. I mean, NIST does this well, and they do it, basically, collaborating with, you know, stakeholders as -- to -- and maybe it's a partnership between NIST and NTIA to do this so that you've got -- but you develop a standard language. And I think the two could do that well. But that's -- it's absolutely needed. So I concur.

MR. BECKER: That's great --

MR. MANYIKA: So I think in the interest of time where -- we've come to the end of this section.

I'm happy to continue the dialogue.

But I think, in closing, again, we felt quite strongly that many of these measurement issues are very foundational to smart policy-making and by the government. So we think this is very important foundational work that must go on.

MS. BAIRD: Thank you very much.

I'm going to turn now to Jim Cicconi and

Mitchell Baker, who will lead us in a discussion of the platform working group.

2.2

MS. BAKER: I guess I'll get started, and then Jim will chime in.

Our working group leader, Bill, wasn't able to be here today. And so I'll start with a few initial comments and cover the first two recommendations. And then Jim will take us through the latter two. And then, as Brad said, we expect a robust discussion on these topics.

And so the third working group was digital platforms chartered to look at digital platforms and their ability to enable innovation and competition across a broad range of stakeholders from the platforms themselves, you know, to the industries that are able easily to take advantage and, in particular, to small and medium-size businesses to see how much opportunity and innovation competition can be generated from such platforms and also chartered to look at important policy areas related to these topics.

Digital platforms is a broad and complex topic in which we start out with a general definition in

Page 70

information services which others can build upon -seemingly clear but, as you dig into it, really
complex. And I find very often people use the word
platform without a clear, shared understanding of what
that means. And in the digital case, that's even more
exaggerated because, very often, digital is not well
understood. And perhaps by the working group it might
be. But in the general populace, digital platforms
itself is a rather abstract concept. So that's worth
keeping in mind.

And also, as we go through the discussions, you know, the digital platforms are extremely powerful and, given the nature of digital, existed a global scale. You know, if you're successful as a digital platform, you existed a scale which is almost instantly international. And scale brings its own challenges and opportunities and complexities as well.

And so while we'll talk about digital platforms as a whole, as we get further into it and into implementation, it's also important to look at particular platforms and to get more specific.

So we'll dive into one or two of those. In

particular, Jim will talk about IOT today. But both as
we go forward and as we look at implementation,

increasing specificity will be extremely important.

2.2

As a whole, though, for digital platforms, we can say a few things just before we get to the recommendations -- one, of course obviously extremely powerful, provide opportunities clearly for the creators of those platforms, but also for many others. By definition, to be successful is a platform others need to be successful using your services and building on top of that -- so wide opportunities to enable innovation; wide opportunities to enable, I think, was the micro multinationals that Zoe mentioned; and wild opportunities for competition and, potentially, for the creation of additional jobs.

And so there's also an overlap with the nature of work and the kinds of jobs that we're looking at.

And are those jobs moving into the middle-skill range?

And what do they mean in terms of income? So huge opportunities there.

And like so many powerful things, digital platforms have potential negative consequences as well.

They're both subject to abuse and can lead to centralization as well as actual opportunity.

2.2

And so it's worth bearing in mind that the complexities of the topic, you know, cover both opportunities and challenges. Some of those, you know, opportunities and challenges we can discuss generally; and some of them are really specific to the particular platform or type of platforms. And so we'll wait until we get, you know, sort of deeper into implementation.

And so with that kind of general context, as I said, I'll talk about the first couple of recommendations. And so the first recommendation is about enabling small and medium businesses. As Zoe mentioned, those are the engines of, what, 66 percent of job creation recently. And digital platforms provide -- I hesitate to use the words infrastructure -- but infrastructure-like capabilities.

So I think the multi-micronational is a good example. How do you actually get international reach? How do you find international customers? How do you take orders? How do you accept payment? How is delivery handled? All of those things can -- and are

today provided by platforms. And so for businesses to be able to take advantage of that means that such a broader range of businesses can engage in an international activity.

2.2

And so that's a pretty powerful component.

And the same thing is true of data storage and various analytics tools. You can look across the range of systems that a successful business today really needs.

And often, there are platforms that will provide those services.

And it's actually not immediately apparent the range of those platforms, how one uses them, how to get the best use out of them, what are they, how do those platforms benefit small and medium businesses. And so we looked at and considered is it immediately apparent. Is the use of those platforms even? And as James and that working group have pointed out, digitization varies dramatically by industry and, within an industry, dramatically by the sets of organizations in that industry that take advantage of it.very

And very importantly, for those organizations and companies that are able to digitize, the benefits

are huge. And the difference between those that are digitizing quickly and those that aren't are large and growing.

And so the first recommendation is that

Commerce prioritize enabling and assisting small and

medium enterprises to more uniformly understand, take

advantage, and benefit from the opportunities that the

digital platforms provide. And in some cases, we can

do that in a general setting. And in other cases,

implementation might be specific to the particular

platform.

And so prioritizing that has the potential to assist both American competitiveness, but also to be relevant in an international setting for small and medium businesses in general, potentially for jobs, and to take the benefits of digitization and assist in enabling a broader set of organizations to benefit from those.

So that's Recommendation 1.

Recommendation 2 relates to cross-border information flows, another very complex topic. Data is clearly an important and growing asset for individual

organizations, for -- you know, for governments, for civil society, and for understanding.

2.2

And so the international aspect of data is key to its value and key to generating the platforms and key to enabling -- I'll continue to go back to the micro multinational example so we can be consistent.

But in order to do that, data is almost by definition not in one national jurisdiction.

And so the importance of free-flowing cross-border data flows to the digital economy both in the United States and to the United States participating in a healthy global digital economy is really quite fundamental. And at the same time, you know, we see that citizens in various locations and their governments are focused on treatment of data in a way that makes sense to their societies and their cultures.

And so there is currently also a very national or local flavor to data regulation and protection. And I think the sense of the working groups is asserting that that is irrelevant or untrue or not the role of citizens outside the United States to care about how they're treated or to expect that governments are going

to ignore the concerns of their citizens is probably not realistic and that, for cross-border data flows to be smooth, that the interest of citizens in how data is managed should be addressed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And that -- so the recommendation is that Commerce, which appears to be, you know, a really important critical leader in these areas, you know, in the importance of this asset and treating it -understanding that the digital economy is linked to other aspects of life, you know, that Commerce focus on the sort of general mutually agreed on agreements for cross-border data flows and that those agreements are absolutely essential and that it is unlikely that private enterprise alone dealing with other national governments will succeed. And if they do, it will be splintered where we need data flows in a broad, general sense and not industry or silo-specific -- so cooperative legal frameworks that enable cross-border data flows and that these frameworks should be, obviously, mutually agreed on.

They should be transparent. Citizens should know and understand what goes into them. And private

industries should be able to understand what's required as you build a business, not afterwards. So transparency is really key. And you know, they need to respect human rights and due process.

And that is a large piece of work but really fundamental for the state of the digital economy and for the healthy societies that we hope they engender.

And so achieving that would also be a fundamental element for, you know, a real presumption that mitigate -- that limiting cross-border data flows would stop and that it would be possible to run a service without requirements that data be stored, you know, on servers in a particular country in a siloed way; and then reduce the friction of, wherever it's stored, how do you actually use it and how does it get combined with information from other jurisdictions.

So we see these frameworks as a really fundamental piece as well and that, in addition, you know, looking for measures to explicitly track and quantify and understand the trade barriers related to data.

And so there is a holistic view of the data

question, which is really quite imperative. And the
ability and focus of, again, the public-private

partnership in achieving, you know, progress in these
areas is really quite fundamental.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

And I think, with that, I'll turn it to Jim to get to our remaining recommendations.

MR. CICCONI: Thank you, Mitchell.

Like Mitchell, I'm pinch-hitting for Bill Ruh, who, despite the fact he's not with us today, did a wonderful job really pulling this committee together and driving all of us to work very hard.

So you would have bene proud of him, Madam Secretary.

The third opportunity that the committee wanted to highlight really relates to the Internet of Things. It's an offshoot of that. But I think World Economic Forum talked about the fourth industrial revolution that is underway right now, this being the connectivity not just of people, but of machines.

It is -- most of you know this is well underway. Again, we call it the Internet of Things. Physical devices, you know, for the last seven years

have really outnumbered people in terms of their connectivity to the internet.

But what's going on today I think the committee wanted to highlight is the fact that an offshoot of this that's really vital to the country is the industrial internet. And this really refers to the integration of the physical machinery with remarkable revolutions in cheap network sensors that has taken place -- little notice, but amazingly involved in almost every area of industrial activity today -- combined with the revolutions in communications, infrastructure, and near-ubiquitous communications capability wherever you are.

As I said, this is well underway today. It's having and will have a profound impact on our society. It's not just going to benefit industry when we talk about the industrial internet, but also the consumers they serve. Obviously, it has significant cost implications that are very positive. And frankly, it could prove to be highly beneficial to the global economy in general.

But I think the committee very quickly

recognized, though, that as we talk about this process which is well underway today, there are many challenges, not least of which is cybersecurity, that's facing this industrial internet.

2.2

And so our third opportunity really focused on this and, frankly, how to advance the type of coordination and collaboration that are going to be vital to the success of the industrial internet going forward. Standards is clearly a part of this as well as cybersecurity.

But going right to the recommendation, the committee felt that the government should examine the need for a U.S. national strategy to advance the industrial internet. Much as during the '90s, the Clinton Administration conducted the same sort of examination with regard to the internet itself and put policies in place that I think really helped advance the modern internet as we know it today and probably could not have developed nearly as well or as fast as it has without those policies.

And by national strategy, I think we talk about deciding what is the government's policy toward

the industrial internet, determine ways of streamlining government process to advance that policy. That can include the proper regulatory approach as much as took place in the '90s as well as addressing areas of agency overlap and, perhaps, areas where agencies should have a role but don't today.

2.2

Having a policy of this nature I think would - stating at a high level the government's approach the
industrial internet we feel would provide the
confidence and certainty that companies need as they
continue to make these investments. And hopefully, it
would enhance not just those investments, but the very
important investments in continued innovation.

The second aspect of this was standardsrelated. But as we discussed earlier and the -- given
the Secretary's remarks about the important role played
by NIST going forward, you know, the committee felt
that the government should continue its support for and
encouragement of the private sector-led standardization
efforts that are really crucial here.

And this relates to development of the common and open standards that are so crucial to Internet of

Things developments. This is certainly private sector-led today. It's very successful. It's assisted by and encouraged by the government, and NIST has certainly played an important role in all of that. And I think the committee is simply saying that this process is working and that it should be continued.

The third aspect of this goes back to the comment about security. The -- there's no silver bullet in this area I think everybody recognized. But one thing that has proven to work are the multistakeholder partnerships, again, between companies and the private sector, but also working with the government to deal with security threats. This is really vital going forward, and our view is that the commerce department should promote these partnerships as a means of ensuring that the industrial internet is as secure as we can make it.

So I can stop there before going to the next section and see if there's anything further anybody wants to talk about on this one.

MS. BAKER: We could also probably open it up for --

Page 83 1 MR. CICCONI: Okay. MS. BAKER: -- discussion in general on the 2 platforms --3 4 MR. CICCONI: Okay. 5 MS. BAKER: -- IOT as well, if you'd like. MR. CICCONI: Right. We had one -- a fourth 6 7 section, and I could maybe --8 MS. BAKER: Yeah, go ahead. 9 MR. CICCONI: -- go into that. 10 MS. BAKER: Yeah. MR. CICCONI: And then -- the -- as all of you 11 12 know, all of us were on the board here, were trying to 13 develop a set of interim recommendations, things that 14 could be put forward in the near term and addressed in 15 the near term by the government and by the commerce 16 department. You know, but I think the committee 17 recognized that we didn't want to ignore the elephant 18 in the room or, in this case, the elephants. 19 And so we wanted to at least lay out maybe not as opportunities, but certainly as challenges, a number 20 21 of the remaining issues which we feel it's vital that 2.2 the government address and work with the private sector

to address and resolve. And clearly, many of these will fall to the new administration.

But the first is cybersecurity itself. The breaches were in the news all year. They ranged from foreign hacks for whatever purposes to yesterday's news that a provider had had a billion personal accounts hacked and personal information stolen.

And it's very clear that this challenge is only going to grow. And the ongoing risk of cyber hacks really demonstrates the need to continue and enhance the collaboration and coordination between the private sector and the government to address these things. I think much has been done, but much needs to be done.

And you know, I think the -- we don't have answers here, clearly. But I think the pace of activity by the government in this area working with the private sector is something that the committee discussed and, I think, would like to see dealt with in an even more urgent fashion. And I'd certainly welcome the committee members themselves jumping in here.

The level of collaboration and coordination

between the private sector and the government has to be enhanced. I think all of us know that. We've actually had legal impediments to this in the past, which laws had to be passed to try to address some of these. And much needs to be done in the wake of those efforts to try and continue our information sharing in this regard.

2.2

The second issue, obviously, that goes hand in hand with cybersecurity is privacy. And again, we don't have all the answers, but we recognize that part and parcel of digital platforms is that the technology that people are using is collecting data to provide its many benefits.

And so the -- you know, the implications are clearly that privacy is involved in almost every aspect of this data collection to provide the benefits that customers want. And you know, this is an area, I think, on a going-forward basis where there is -- it's vital that there be a true multi-stakeholder process. I think all of us agreed on that, that digital platform providers, individual users, government all have responsibilities in this area.

	5
1	We haven't, frankly, done enough to clarify
2	the roles of that each of us have. I think that's
3	clear, and there must be more focus on this on a going-
4	forward basis. There is overlap between various
5	government agencies. And frankly, there could be
6	better coordination. I think there was some feeling in
7	the committee between the agencies on some of those
8	things. I think the commerce department itself has
9	pointed out some of those same points going forward.
10	The next aspect I think the committee wanted
11	to lay out is the importance for flexible for
12	MS. BAIRD: Jim, if I may interrupt, we only
13	have five more minutes
14	MR. CICCONI: Okay.
15	MS. BAIRD: with the Secretary. So perhaps
16	you want to
17	MR. CICCONI: Sure.
18	MS. BAIRD: since I know she's read the
19	document and it's
20	MR. CICCONI: Okay.
21	MS. BAIRD: publically available. Perhaps
22	you want to turn now to her comments on this working

1 group --

2 MR. CICCONI: Okay.

MS. BAIRD: Thank you.

MS. PRITZKER: Well, maybe I could just say a couple things in general. First of all, as it relates to this last effort, I think that, you know, I concur with, you know, particularly, you know, your -- I like your comparison of the work that was done as it relates to the internet at its founding, some basic high-level principles that are laid out of what's the role of government as it relates to the industrial internet. And I think that that would be a useful thing to have the next administration delineate.

I would say that, as it relates to international agreements, I mean, we have been very focused, this administration. And certainly, Larry has played -- you know, Strickling has played a huge role of making sure as well as our International Trade Administration through Ted Dean and Justin Antonipillai and to deal with, you know, cross-border data information and, you know, undertaking, basically, a consistent approach to try and address those challenges

that have been really rising up around the world.

As it relates to encouraging the use of -- by smaller enterprises of larger platforms and the greater uptake of becoming more digital as an economy, we'd certainly agree with that.

Let me just close by saying the following to the whole -- kind of the whole report. You know, if you think about -- when I step back and I look at your report, first of all, as it relates to cybersecurity, which is something you raise towards the end here, you need to look at the commissions report that came out about two weeks ago. It's quite comprehensive. It has extensive input from us as the Department of Commerce.

I think it's really strong blueprint for the country to move forward and -- in addressing this extraordinary threat not just to our economy, not just to our national security, but, frankly, to our democracy. I mean, obviously, what's been going on as it relates to this recent election is really unnerving for -- just to the efficacy of our democracy. I'm not opining as to exactly what's happened. But the fact that there's been engagement is really unnerving.

Every recommendation really requires 1 collaboration. I mean, if you think about how every 2 one of these thoughts and ideas is really, I think, 3 important, well thought out, and should be carried out 4 -- you know, with great -- expeditiously and with focus 5 by our career leadership. And you'll hear from them at 6 7 lunch, and Evelyn will play a leadership role there. 8 But you're talking about collaboration within 9 the Department of Commerce between -- and now I'll go through the alphabet soup of our National 10 Telecommunications and Information Administration; our 11 12 National Institute of Standards and Technology; our Bureau of Economic Administration; our NTIS, which I 13 14 talked about; Census; ITA on the international front. 15 They -- you know, and they all play a role. 16 That's why the digital economy leadership team 17 that has been led by Alan is so critical because what 18 you need is these groups -- these individual 19 organizations to come together to work collaboratively 20 to address what you've recommended. So collaboration within DOC is critical --21 2.2 inter-agency collaboration within the administration,

so finding a mechanism where, really, the White House can help to coordinate and create those inter-agency mechanisms. And before I was in government, I did not understand what the word mechanism is. But it's basically how does the inter-agency come together and work together on a problem. And it needs White House leadership to do that.

Third is with the private sector. And there are a number of ways for us to do it. NTIS is one.

But -- and working with organizations like your -- you know, with the Digital Economy Board of Advisors is another.

The Cyber Security Commission calls for the creation of a special engagement between the public sector and the private sector. But with the states, we don't really have a way to engage with local governments. So that might be an area you might want to think about.

My point is collaboration in and of itself is necessary to address the specifics of that in order to get to the outcomes that you desire. And so I would ask you not to ignore that or assume it will happen

without some impetus and effort and attention from you all because we at the Department can do only so much without either voluntary or required engagement by others. And so that's something I would focus on, particularly as we transition to a new administration.

The existing administration, we had those mechanisms in place. But we don't know what those will be going forward. And so that would be something I would focus on.

I'll simply close by saying it's been a great privilege for me to work with all of you. This is -- you know, these initiatives are exciting. They're important. They're vital.

And I am so grateful for -- that you all agreed to volunteer to engage on these issues. They're so important. And their importance is only more so in the future.

And so thank you very much again for all that you've done and will do going forward. And I'm just sorry I won't be here to be a part to help because I really think it's -- I think what you've proposed is really terrific. So thank you very much.

Page 92 1 And I'm sorry I have to leave. But the 2 scheduling gods have me doing a few other things. MS. BAIRD: Well, I think it merits a round of 3 applause for our Secretary for her great service. 4 5 (Applause.) MS. PRITZKER: Oh, thank you. 6 7 MS. BAIRD: Thank you. We're going to take a five-minute break, and 8 9 then we'll be right back. 10 (Break.) 11 MS. BAIRD: If we could pull our group back 12 together. 13 So we are -- excuse me -- running short on 14 Our public comment period is in 10 minutes. 15 So let me just briefly mention what the 16 additional part of the report covered. And then we'll 17 open up for a few final comments from the group. If 18 you have comments on the last working group on 19 platforms, that would be welcome as well. 20 So I won't dwell on this. But there was --21 excuse me -- an additional part of the report that was 2.2 initially prepared by the chairs, the working group

chairs, and Mindy and then shared and developed by the group as a whole. And it focused on how to transform the Department of Commerce into a 21st century digital economy agency.

2.2

We looked at some of the best practices around government and in other governments in creating effective modern government to move from an industrial-designed enterprise to a digital age enterprise.

And I won't go into this in any depth. But we did focus on collaborations. We focused on the importance of experimentation, the importance of government developing -- excuse me -- metrics for its own work, its own regulations, its own departments and changing course if the results are not leading to accomplishment of those metrics.

We addressed the importance of bringing the expertise and perspective of the business community into how government itself functions, how data is not just an add-on, but very much a driver in all operations in business and ought to be in government as well; and that the commerce department can have a tremendous role in enabling the entire government to

become more of a digital economy enterprise, meet its mission more effectively and more efficiently and be able to demonstrate that it's done so, to demonstrate the value of being added by government.

And so I encourage people who are interested in improvement in government in achieving these missions to take a look at that part of our report.

I'm going to open the floor for comments. And we have just under 10 minutes.

Anyone want to comment on the platforms discussion, the discussion of how government might become more of a digital economy enterprise, other comments from the day?

Alan?

2.2

MR. DAVIDSON: I'm going to actually start with a question for some of the platforms folks. You know, we sort of -- it's a very -- the platforms paper, I congratulate you in the sense that it covers a huge amount of ground and makes some very concrete suggestions for how we can proceed.

I was kind of curious from the group's point of view, though, about -- as we think about how we

structure our work within this board of advisors. 1 folks think that it makes sense for that effort around 2 platforms to continue as it is? Are there -- and you 3 know, covering the entire waterfront of issues that 4 5 were raised in that report is a huge amount of work. In some ways, it might make sense to break things apart 6 7 a little bit or give it a particular gloss, focus on 8 small or medium-size businesses. 9 Focus -- I'm just kind of curious if the group itself has any reflections on what a good way to go 10 forward would be with that -- with the platforms piece 11 12 of this. 13 MR. CICCONI: I can at least try. I mean, I 14 think the last part that I wasn't quite able to get 15 through, I think, does identify some of the biggest 16

think the last part that I wasn't quite able to get through, I think, does identify some of the biggest challenges going forward, that we're all aware of them. And I think that if -- you know, if the digital economy is to succeed, I mean, we working with government, you know, in a collaborative fashion need to figure these things out.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

I mean, we were -- you know, I mean, there are -- at the end is challenges because we don't have

answers. But they're still festering, and we've got to address them.

2.2

And so I don't know if this board is the right place or what. But I think at the end of this process and however long we're in existence, if we haven't at least got some concrete recommendations on these bigger issues, you know, I -- then I think people will scratch their heads.

MR. DAVIDSON: And I'll just say I totally agree that those are topics that need to be addressed. And I may not have articulated well, but I think the question's sort of are we better off picking a -- you know, you could pick one of them like cybersecurity and say hey, let's spend six months talking about that, or something like that.

MR. CICCONI: I get your point. I think we ought to organize around what we think are the biggest issues and not necessarily constituencies. And I think we had a mix of that this time. And I -- you know, we may not all agree on what the biggest issues are. But if we can kind of put the list together, then maybe we organize our work going forward around those.

2.2

Page 97

MS. BAKER: Also, I think -- I know the Secretary is very clear that the cybersecurity report, it was released as thorough, right? And so it may be that on some of those, it's participating in something else rather than us as the home of it, per se, because, clearly, security -- the cybersecurity -- and I -- you know, I tend not to use the word privacy so much. I use the phrase personal security because who's stalking me is not -- I -- whatever it is that privacy means, these are personal security or whoever's got access to my home network and locks. I mean, these are all security issues.

And so the question of personal security and national security and how we manage that spectrum, those are fundamental to everything. There seem to be, you know, many loci of attention to that.

And so another possibility would also be that this board might, for example, participate there and focus on something that is particularly, like, at least more of which is housed inside of this department or this department with, you know, DOE or Labor.

So that's not really an answer, but what -- an

_	
7	approach.
_	approacii.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

MS. BAIRD: Are there other comments or questions from the board?

Okay. Then let's open up the floor for public comment either on any of the subjects we've addressed or things that you think should be addressed by Commerce and the board in the future.

Evelyn, are you going to manage the public comment?

MS. REMALEY: Yes. And so if there is anyone, we'll be taking calls from the public on the line. If there is anyone in the room who would like to speak, you can raise your hand. We can bring a microphone to you.

Again, I did want to remind everyone. I had mentioned at the top of the meeting we did receive one written public comment from the Niskanen Center. And if you're watching the webcast, you can view that document on the NTIA website. The --

MR. GOOLSBEE: Evelyn, it's Austin Goolsbee.

MS. REMALEY: Hi, Austin.

MR. GOOLSBEE: Just one thing on a technical

	Page 99
1	grounds, the webcast seems like it's about 5 or 10
2	seconds delayed from reality. So
3	MS. REMALEY: Okay.
4	MR. GOOLSBEE: just be aware of that when
5	asking for the public comments.
6	MS. REMALEY: Thank you. Thanks for that,
7	Austin.
8	The members of the board also have that public
9	comment in their packet for review.
10	Anyone from the audience here that would like
11	to speak?
12	Please come up.
13	MS. NICHOLSON: Is this good?
14	I just have a question about the collaboration
15	between the private sector and
16	MS. BAIRD: Could you give us your name
17	MS. NICHOLSON: Yes, I will.
18	MS. BAIRD: and, if you'd like, your
19	affiliation, please?
20	MS. NICHOLSON: Sure. I'm Jessica Nicholson.
21	I've participated in a lot of efforts here at the
22	Department of Commerce to improve the measurement of

the digital economy. And a lot of time -- you know, we also made the recommendation that Commerce should increase collaboration with the private sector, especially to try to collaborate on the data front so that we can better measure some of these things, understanding that a lot of the data is housed in the private sector.

But historically, it's been challenging for the private sector to release data to the government.

the private sector to release data to the government.

And oftentimes -- and so I'm wondering just if you have any comments on data sharing and sort of your view -- point of view about how the private sector's going to respond to these types of efforts; and if you have either today or in the future thoughts about how we can move forward on this front because I think that it's going to require a lot of, you know, again, new innovations about how we share data because we're also limited, you know, from the government perspective about what we can share.

So you know, we understand that there's challenges on both sides.

MS. REMALEY: Responses from the board?

1 MS. BAIRD:	James?
--------------	--------

2.2

MR. MANYIKA: Thank you for the question.

I think I was quite encouraged this morning by the Secretary's comment about the facility that may be possible through NTIS and its ability to maybe collaborate and to -- and certainly, as a working group member, I'd love to understand what that looks like because if that offers a way for a mutually agreeable way to collaborate and share data, that would be enormously valuable because, like you, we all felt very strongly that there's a complementarity between the data that the government can collect, but also what's being collected by the private sector.

And so some rules of the road are going to be quite important in order to share that for the purpose of measuring the economy and probably not anything else.

So we'd love to understand a lot more about what the NTI possible -- NTIS possibilities might be in that regard.

MS. REMALEY: Any others from the audience?

Thank you.

And I believe we also do not have anyone on the phone. So we can move forward.

MS. BAIRD: Great. Thank you very much. And we appreciate the input and would welcome any other written input, too, if anyone has interest in providing comments.

So if I may, I'd like to turn to Larry

Strickling, the assistant secretary for Communications

and Information at Commerce and ask Larry for his

observations.

MR. STRICKLING: Thank you, Zoe.

And thank you, Mitchell.

And in particular, thanks to both of you and members of the board for their work here this past year.

I think when we started this effort many months ago, we weren't quite sure what we'd end up with here at the end of this calendar year. But I think you have greatly exceeded the fairly high expectations we came into this with. And I think everyone should go out of here today at this intermediate stage in the work of the DEBA because it's not done yet. But I

think feel -- people should feel very proud about the accomplishments of this group so far.

2.2

I think as Zoe and Mitchell mentioned in their opening remarks, this idea that we have a gap in knowledge in the digital economy and even, as Mitchell referred to it, misunderstandings about the digital economy and what it means for people -- but I think the work of this group -- and if people take the time to read your report, the report itself helps close that gap.

And certainly, the recommendations for better measurement, the recommendations in terms of understanding how to revolutionize and reform our workforce to take advantage of these opportunities, I think, do a lot to narrow that gap and to remedy those misunderstandings.

So I -- as I say, this is an intermediate point. Some of us are going to get off the train here in about 36 days. But the career --

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: About?

MR. STRICKLING: Huh?

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: About?

1 MR. STRICKLING: I --

2 (Laughter.)

2.2

MR. STRICKLING: I have it down to the hour, actually.

But the -- that's a very small number of us that will be departing. And the point is that I think that everyone should take away from their work on this board is that there will still be an important need for the work to continue. And you have a very dedicated career staff that's going to pick up this ball coming out of this meeting, take these recommendations, and work on them as best they can within the Department.

And then as the Secretary said in her remarks, it will be important to engage the new leadership of the Department when they're on board after January the 20th as well as engaging the White House, too, and continue to emphasize the importance of this work and these activities in the next administration.

I think we can take some comfort from the discussions that were reported in the press today of meetings held yesterday on transition. And I think if that follows through, this ought to be a very, very

	Page 105
1	important set of issues for the next administration.
2	And I think the DEBA is well positioned to make
3	immediate and important contributions into that effort.
4	But for our part, at least within the
5	Department of Commerce, our team will be taking these
6	recommendations and working in a very well established
7	framework that we have through the digital economy
8	leadership team and the fact that we already have a
9	well-working system of inter-bureau cooperation and
10	collaboration. And so we'll be well positioned to take
11	up this work as soon as this meeting's over today.
12	So with that, I just want to thank everybody
13	for their participation; in particular, again, thank
14	our co-chairs for the leadership they've shown and
15	thank everyone else for the dedication and effort and
16	hope that everybody can stay just as fully engaged on
17	these issues in the coming year.
18	Thank you.
19	MS. BAIRD: Thanks, Larry.
20	Mitchell, do you want to make any final
21	comment?
22	MS. BAKER: Just a few. You know, some we

talk about how the digital economy is here. And in some ways, I think we take it for granted that we're going forward and that all is well.

The digital economy, of course, relies on the greatest platform of them all -- currently, the internet. And that was not a subject of, you know, the -- a working group.

But underneath a digital economy is a piece of, in layperson's terms, I would say infrastructure. Like, I don't mean any particular political term.

But underlying the digital economy is the network, you know, that makes it possible. And so the nature of that network and the care we give it and how that develops is also fundamentally important.

You know, to date, you know, it has had a, I would say, a development path that is somewhat decentralized, not as decentralized as the, you know, kind of activist group would have one believe, but, you know, aimed at providing a playing field for new businesses, new opportunities, new civil societies to be able to access and participate.

And so those sorts of things are important to

continue. What kinds of competitive opportunities are there provided by the underlying technology? It does sound abstract, but the nature of the technology or the network that underlies things affects what sits on top of it.

And so the nature of the internet itself and the development of the internet will affect the nature of the digital economy. And so what we call the openness of the internet or the interfaces to it or the opportunity it provides will affect the degree to which our digital economy is open to small and medium business, to individuals, or the degree to which it's not.

And so as we go forward, we have the particular working groups, the particular recommendations. They came out of interest in the internet itself. And I -- it's extremely important to pay attention and devote some energy to the under -- the characteristics and traits of the internet as we go forward because much of what the internet, you know, 20 years from now will look like will be determined, you know, in the next five years.

And I'm just -- those numbers have no particular significance. The point is that, you know, the internet, as it was originally conceived, has carried us a long way. But there's so much further to go, and there's so many more things -- devices, people, services -- being offered that the underlying network itself, you know, needs attention.

And that is also an area where, you know, private enterprise can drive and bring investment and rapid innovation and also an area where the decisions and the nature of how that building is accomplished, will affect the possibilities for the digital economy on top of it.

Thank you.

2.2

MS. BAIRD: Thanks, Mitchell.

I just want to make one comment as we close our meeting today. I've worked with many groups, and I've been on many government advisory boards over the last how many years. I don't even want to count.

I have never seen a group as individuals so -each person on this board is so committed to both these
issues and the public impact of them. And each of you

Page 109

-- it's been such a privilege to work with each of you because you have worked so hard. You haven't delegated to your staff. You haven't shown up for the occasional meeting.

It's a remarkable board, and I think it speaks to the importance of the issues and the profound understanding each member of this board has that grappling with these issues is critical and central to our nation's success and to the success of everyone who lives in this country.

But I really want to both thank you, but also recognize the remarkable personal commitment you have given to what is just a federal advisory board.

(Laughter.)

2.2

MS. BAIRD: And it -- I look forward to continuing to work with each person on this board, but I want to make sure that it is publically known and understood just how unusual the individuals on this board are and how publically committed and selfless you have been in providing your evenings and weekends outside of your day jobs to do the work of this board. And I look forward to working with each of you as we go

Page 110 forward. 1 2 So thank you. And we will adjourn and end the 3 program at this point. 4 Thank you. 5 MS. REMALEY: Thank you, Zoe. I will just mention to the board members that we will be convening 6 7 an administrative closed session after this. And so 8 we'll meet in the hall because we'll need to move over 9 to the Commerce building for that session. 10 So if you can just -- in about 10 minutes, I'll convene in the hallway, and we can all head over 11 12 there together. 13 Thank you. (Whereupon, the Digital Economy Board of 14 15 Advisors Meeting ended.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2

Page 111

CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC

I, Michael Farkas, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding was taken, do hereby certify that the proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

min ate

Michael Farkas

Notary Public in and for the

District of Columbia

Page 112 1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER 2 I, Karynn Willman, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from audio to the best of my 3 4 ability. 5 I am neither counsel for, related to, nor 6 employed by any of the parties to this action, nor 7 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of 8 9 this action. 10 11 12 13 12/19/2016 Karynn Willman 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2

[1 - agencies]

	I		
1	5	accept 72:21	87:22 89:20 90:20
1 58:11 60:1 74:19	5 3:4 99:1	access 13:22 62:18	96:2
1.5 29:2	50 42:7	65:3 97:10 106:21	addressed 76:4
10 3:5 42:7 55:18	54 23:12	accomplish 64:2,7	83:14 93:16 96:10
92:14 94:9 99:1	55 26:2	accomplished	98:5,6
110:10	6	108:11	addressing 5:19
102 3:12	6 6:19	accomplishment	8:10 31:6 81:4
105 3:13	66 8:2 72:14	93:15	88:15
108 3:14	68 23:12	accomplishments	adequately 9:17
10:30 4:12	69 3:10	103:2	adjourn 110:2
11 3:6		account 48:10	adjust 13:10
115,000 15:6	7	accounts 48:17	administration
12 36:8	7 50:18	61:3 84:6	14:9,22 16:4 17:6
12/19/2016 112:13	78 3:11	accurate 48:20	19:18 20:11 34:6
1300 1:12	8	111:6	34:14 39:18 80:15
15 1:6	80 42:6	accurately 24:1	84:2 87:13,16,19
17 58:12	80,000 41:22	achieving 77:8 78:3 94:6	89:11,13,22 91:5 91:6 104:18 105:1
1938 42:16	8:42 1:7		
2	9	acknowledge 5:14	administrative 4:10 110:7
2 41:20 74:20		acquire 24:6 action 111:9,13	adopted 51:22
20 42:6 107:20	90s 80:14 81:4	112:7,9	adoption 30:10
20004 1:13	a	actions 6:6	advance 80:6,13
2007 6:20	a.m. 1:7	active 11:4	80:17 81:2
2015 6:20	abilities 11:3	activist 106:18	advanced 7:8
2016 1:6 11:18	ability 15:17	activities 10:13	51:17
2025 6:22	42:19 61:2 63:14	53:5,8,14,17	advantage 45:17
20th 24:9 104:16	69:13 78:2 101:5	65:14 104:18	46:17 69:16 73:2
21 3:7	111:7 112:4	activity 53:14 73:4	73:20 74:7 103:14
21st 20:1 93:3	able 9:17 15:18	79:10 84:17	advice 17:5 45:10
25 26:4 33:14,15	27:4 30:4 33:15	actual 40:4 72:2	advisors 1:4 11:18
3	45:7 47:7 56:10	add 10:4 33:22	90:11 95:1 110:15
30 43:14	62:19,20,21 63:1	40:12 93:19	advisory 66:3
32 43:14	65:5 69:5,15 73:2	added 60:18 61:21	108:18 109:13
35 65:1	73:22 77:1 94:3	94:4	affect 60:9 107:7
36 103:19	95:14 106:21	adding 65:7	107:10 108:12
	absolutely 48:1	addition 37:22	affiliation 99:19
4	68:3,11 76:13	38:6 77:18	affordable 8:19
4 57:4 60:16	abstract 70:9	additional 71:15	36:21
4,500 29:4 31:1	107:3	92:16,21	afternoon 43:16
44 3:8	abuse 72:1	address 8:3,4,5	age 93:8
47 3:9	academics 13:9	9:3 12:9 15:3 22:6	agencies 35:11
	45:8	32:8 64:20 83:22	45:1 53:12 54:4,7
		84:1,12 85:4	54:9 55:11 81:5

[agencies - bea]

86:5,7
agency 20:14,17
39:6,8,10,20
54:12 65:17 81:4
89:22 90:2,5 93:4
agenda 4:19 13:18
14:5 35:22 36:1
40:7
ages 24:5
ago 22:17 29:6
31:2 41:13 88:12
102:17
agree 58:17 59:11
68:4 88:5 96:10
96:20
agreeable 101:8
agreed 76:11,20
85:20 91:15
agreements 76:11
76:12 87:15
ahead 25:18 53:13
83:8
aimed 51:12
106:19
alan 2:12 5:9
13:17 21:16 89:17
94:14
alan's 48:12
alike 35:3
allow 43:8 66:2
allowed 41:21
allowing 56:17
alongside 16:20
alphabet 89:10
_
alternative 24:12
amassing 56:11
amazing 11:19
amazingly 79:9
america 27:10
american 7:16
13:3,14 74:13
americans 8:17
15:17 18:7 23:13
36:6

amount 66:21
94:19 95:5
analysis 19:16
48:11
analytics 26:7
27:2 73:7
announced 33:9
answer 61:2 97:22
answers 84:16
85:10 96:1
anticipate 53:13
antonipillai 87:19
anxiety 13:2
anybody 82:19
anymore 27:14
apart 95:6
app 29:12
apparent 73:11,15
appears 76:6
applause 92:4,5
apply 41:20
appreciate 58:17
67:17 102:4
appreciated 12:7
19:10 49:20
appreciating 23:5
apprentices 36:16
apprenticeship 33:10 37:20 38:11
approach 15:1
81:3,8 87:22 98:1
approaches 11:6
area 49:2 50:9
51:18 52:9 53:3
61:19 65:10 79:10
82:9 84:17 85:17
85:22 90:17 108:8
108:10
areas 7:7 25:2
26:6 27:2 37:4
43:8 51:6 53:13
53:17 54:3 66:22
69:20 76:7 78:4
81:4,5

articulated 96:11
asked 21:19
asking 99:5
aspect 67:19 75:3
81:14 82:7 85:15
86:10
aspects 41:6 57:14
76:10
aspen 22:10
aspiration 64:8
asserting 75:19
assessing 19:20
asset 63:9 74:22
76:8
assets 56:2,6,7,9
56:17 59:18
assignment 21:19
assist 74:13,16 assistant 2:13
102:8
assisted 82:2
assisting 74:5
assume 90:22
assumption 14:12
assure 19:13
astounding 53:8
at&t 22:8 33:3
attaches 20:10
attention 67:10
91:1 97:16 107:18
108:7
attorney 111:11
audience 99:10
101:21
audio 112:3
austin 44:16 58:3
58:6 59:7 61:14
61:20 98:20,21
99:7
authorization
63:17
auto 49:4
automate 23:8
automate 23.0

automation 6:20 17:15
autos 49:5
available 29:19
30:3 44:22 86:21
avenue 1:12
aware 63:9 95:16
99:4
awareness 37:8
awkward 10:17
b
b 60:6
back 13:20 27:20
42:16 49:15 75:5
82:7 88:8 92:9,11
baird 2:4 3:4,14
5:5,7 6:1 20:21
35:8 37:2 43:19
44:3,5 62:2 68:21
86:12,15,18,21
87:3 92:3,7,11
98:2 99:16,18
101:1 102:3
105:19 108:15
109:15
baker 2:5 3:5,10
3:13 5:5 10:3
37:18 69:1,3
82:21 83:2,5,8,10
97:1 105:22
ball 104:10
barriers 77:20
based 38:9 46:2
basic 30:11,11
32:19,20 33:18
34:18,19 87:9
basically 27:17
29:5 68:6 87:21
90:5
basis 85:18 86:4
bea 45:1 48:14
50:3,10,17 54:8
61:17 64:14

	 		
bearing 72:3	bill 9:13 69:5 78:8	broadband 13:22	call 27:15 38:8
becker 44:17	billion 84:6	30:2,10	60:4 78:21 107:8
65:11,20 66:1	biology 53:16	broaden 50:20	called 41:6
68:12	bit 22:5 25:16	broader 73:3	calls 22:4 90:13
becoming 7:18	47:1 59:8 95:7	74:17	98:11
30:16 57:18 88:4	block 61:11	broadly 62:17	campaigns 37:20
beginning 9:8	blueprint 14:8,21	brought 5:10	camps 36:19
11:11 16:11 27:13	88:14	25:10	capabilities 57:9
behalf 5:11 24:19	blur 33:17	brutal 29:15	57:11 72:17
behavior 26:13	board 1:4 4:22 8:8	build 11:4 70:1	capability 79:13
believe 60:16 66:6	9:10 10:5 11:2,18	77:2	capable 33:13
67:11,11,15,19	16:2 20:22 66:3	building 1:10	capacity 7:22 8:18
102:1 106:18	83:12 90:11 95:1	28:22 29:1,5 31:1	62:7,11 63:4,17
bene 78:12	96:3 97:18 98:3,7	31:1 34:21 40:16	capital 1:19 57:7
beneficial 79:20	99:8 100:22	61:11 71:10	66:21
benefit 20:6 44:18	102:14 104:8,15	108:11 110:9	capitalizing 7:18
45:9,21,22 46:22	108:21 109:5,7,13	bulk 28:9	captures 22:19
73:14 74:7,17	109:16,19,21	bullet 82:9	23:3
79:16	110:6,14	burden 43:9	capturing 50:21
benefits 24:13	boards 108:18	bureau 19:16	care 75:21 106:13
41:7 42:19 43:5	bolts 45:2	48:10 89:13 105:9	cared 18:18
43:10 46:18,20	boot 36:19	business 13:8	career 18:22
57:12 63:5 73:22	border 20:8 49:12	19:22 22:10 26:3	20:16 36:11 48:14
74:16 85:13,16	74:20 75:10 76:2	27:1 30:13 34:5	89:6 103:19
benefitted 21:15	76:12,18 77:10	35:14,15 40:2	104:10
benefitting 46:10	87:20	66:7,8 73:8 77:2	careers 24:7 31:11
best 10:3 25:3	brackets 43:2	93:17,20 107:12	carried 89:4 108:4
38:7 73:13 93:5	brad 2:7 3:7 9:12	businesses 7:16,17	carry 19:2
104:12 111:6	21:7 29:13 41:11	7:19,20,21 8:12	case 11:5 27:15
112:3	53:1 69:9	9:2 26:15 35:20	46:2 70:5 83:18
better 16:6 39:15	brands 26:15	36:1,7,13 45:22	cases 74:8,9
41:17 49:10 50:2	breaches 84:4	46:8 49:16 51:15	catch 67:13
53:12 60:10 62:12	breadth 17:1,8	52:2 66:8,18	categories 50:1
65:3,4 86:6 96:12	break 4:11 92:8	69:17 72:13 73:1	53:20 60:19
100:5 103:11	92:10 95:6	73:3,14 74:15	category 51:10
beyond 52:15 55:7	bridge 16:3	95:8 106:20	52:9 60:1,16
63:1 64:6	briefly 92:15	busy 28:6	caught 10:14
big 30:12,13 40:1	bring 5:18 48:19	buy 41:14,17 56:3	census 45:1 50:3
48:20 49:3,7 56:8	51:2 98:13 108:9	buying 50:1	64:15 89:14
bigger 96:6	bringing 18:3	c	center 1:10 27:16
biggest 28:18 31:3	93:16	c 2:1 3:1 4:1	98:17
32:12 95:15 96:17	brings 70:16	cable 27:20 33:12	central 109:8
96:20	broad 69:14,21	cable 27.20 33.12 calendar 64:10	centralization
	76:16	102:18	72:2
		102:18	

[century - common]

century 20:2 24:9	chime 69:4	collaborating 68:6	comment 14:10
93:3	choose 42:13	collaboration	32:5 35:4 62:1,3
certain 27:2 67:11	choosing 42:3,3,8	40:20,21,22 54:12	65:12 82:8 92:14
certainly 21:19	cicconi 2:11 3:11	63:20 80:7 84:11	94:10 98:5,9,17
28:12 66:6 67:19	68:22 78:7 83:1,4	84:22 89:2,8,21	99:9 101:4 105:21
82:1,3 83:20	83:6,9,11 86:14	89:22 90:19 99:14	108:16
84:20 87:16 88:5	86:17,20 87:2	100:3 105:10	comments 4:16
101:6 103:11	95:13 96:16	collaborations	49:20 58:4 65:19
certainty 81:10	citizens 15:17	55:6 93:10	67:17 69:7 86:22
certificate 111:1	75:14,21 76:1,3	collaborative	92:17,18 94:8,13
112:1	76:21	95:19	98:2 99:5 100:11
certify 111:3	city 29:7	collaboratively	102:6
112:2	civil 10:22 11:9	89:19	commerce 2:6,10
chair 2:4,5 10:5	75:2 106:20	colleagues 5:11	2:15 8:10 15:19
chairs 5:5 9:11	clarify 34:6 86:1	9:5 10:1 44:21	16:10,16 21:16
21:6 92:22 93:1	classic 38:6	collect 55:2	23:21 24:22 26:11
105:14	cleaners 41:15,21	101:12	34:9 35:10,13,22
challenge 6:11	clear 34:22 39:4	collected 60:17	37:6 39:9 40:2
13:8 23:4 31:7	46:20 70:2,4 84:8	101:13	44:22 47:10,13
42:15 84:8	86:3 97:2	collecting 56:11	49:19,21 50:16
challenges 9:3	clearly 34:2 54:6	85:12	51:2 54:6,7,9,20
31:4 70:16 72:5,6	67:2 71:7 74:22	collection 85:16	56:1 59:22 60:17
80:3 83:20 87:22	80:9 84:1,16	collective 62:11	62:7 63:11 64:16
95:16,22 100:21	85:15 97:6	63:4	66:14 74:5 76:6
challenging 100:8	clinton 80:15	collectively 65:9	76:10 82:15 83:15
change 25:14	close 19:4 47:12	college 7:4 28:14	86:8 88:13 89:9
26:18 39:19 40:18	88:6 91:10 103:9	28:16 32:17	93:3,21 98:7
41:13 66:9	108:16	colleges 33:6	99:22 100:2 102:9
changes 8:22	closed 110:7	colorado 22:11	105:5 110:9
25:17 26:1	closely 34:8,10	35:6	commission 14:19
changing 13:11,11	closer 64:14	columbia 111:19	90:13
17:16 23:6 93:14	closing 16:15 50:6	combination 11:8	commissions
characteristics	68:16	combined 77:16	88:11
107:19	coax 27:19	79:11	commitment 5:9
chartered 69:12	code 50:8	comcast 27:7 30:5	9:16 12:5 109:12
69:19	cognizant 43:13	come 6:3 22:19	committed 9:7
cheap 79:8	cohen 21:14 27:7	47:11 54:10 67:10	108:21 109:19
chicago 58:11	31:16,18 32:1	68:14 89:19 90:5	committee 15:10
chief 2:9 47:9	34:13	99:12	66:3 78:10,14
64:14	cold 55:12 58:13	comes 55:9 56:8	79:4,22 80:12
children 37:21	collaborate 36:15	comfort 38:16	81:17 82:5 83:16
chili 4:2	62:7 100:4 101:6	104:19	84:18,21 86:7,10
chill 58:12	101:9	coming 26:11 31:9	common 81:21
		104:10 105:17	

[communications - currently]

communications	conceived 108:3	84:10 85:6 95:3	couple 16:14
2:14 79:11,12	concept 57:6	104:9,17 107:1	23:12 48:5 55:19
102:8	61:12 70:9	continued 81:13	72:11 87:5
communities	concerned 52:19	82:6	course 22:7 36:11
36:18	concerns 76:1	continues 29:16	54:20 57:20 71:6
community 38:9	concluded 35:9	continuing 5:13	93:14 106:4
93:17	concrete 94:19	109:16	cover 69:7 72:4
companies 37:11	96:6	continuous 31:8	covered 92:16
45:22 46:7,16	concur 68:11 87:6	contractor 24:11	covering 95:4
51:20 52:2 55:12	conducted 1:5	43:3	covers 94:18
56:3 59:3 62:10	44:14 80:15	contractors 42:17	crack 50:8
73:22 81:10 82:11	conference 22:4	43:1	create 18:15 32:13
company 1:19	confidence 81:10	contribute 35:13	33:1 37:13 62:8
22:6 26:2 28:4,18	confront 12:9	contribution 28:2	62:10 90:2
30:5,12 32:22	congratulate	48:2	created 17:18 26:5
33:2,14 36:17,19	94:18	contributions	39:13 57:17 61:4
41:12	connect 24:7	24:18 47:20 105:3	creating 23:7
comparison 87:8	56:17	convene 110:11	35:21 93:6
compete 26:16,17	connected 15:18	convening 110:6	creation 52:21
26:17	26:20 38:15,22	conversation 6:10	71:15 72:15 90:14
competence 30:12	connecting 23:17	10:1 21:6 22:14	creators 71:8
31:15,20	54:15	43:12 44:13 47:2	credential 36:22
competencies	connection 57:22	47:4	critical 8:3 13:13
26:12	connectivity 78:19	conversations	14:9,20 15:14
competency 27:3	79:2	20:18	16:1 18:4,16 19:6
competition 26:6	consequences	cooperation 105:9	26:14 27:1,5 32:6
29:14 69:13,18	71:22	cooperative 76:18	37:8 50:21 76:7
71:14	considered 17:13	coordinate 90:2	89:17,21 109:8
competitive 107:1	21:2 73:15	coordination	cromwick 2:9 3:9
competitiveness	consistent 75:6	42:12 80:7 84:11	47:9,17
13:15 74:13	87:22	84:22 86:6	cross 20:8 49:12
complementarity	constituencies	correlated 60:12	74:20 75:9 76:2
101:11	96:18	cost 61:8 79:18	76:12,18 77:10
completing 14:17	consult 45:7	council 20:4	87:20
complex 69:21	consumer 26:13	counsel 45:9 111:8	crucial 81:20,22
70:3 74:21	49:20 60:20	111:11 112:6	csr 1:18
complexities	consumers 46:10	count 108:19	cultures 10:14
70:17 72:4	55:13 56:4 59:16	counting 25:7	75:16
component 73:5	60:11 79:17	country 8:14 9:16	curious 94:21 95:9
components 7:5	context 72:10	12:10,20 16:5,6	current 9:8 33:21
49:8 56:20	contexts 25:12	19:5,10 22:3 30:6	34:12
comprehensive	continue 16:17	61:6 77:13 79:5	currently 39:2
35:18 39:14 88:12	23:22 54:22 68:15	88:15 109:10	63:11 75:17 106:5
	75:5 81:11,18		

[curriculum - digital]

curriculum 33:8	dean 87:19	department 2:10	106:16 107:7
customers 25:12	dear 49:6 59:9	2:15 5:19 8:10	developments
52:4 72:20 85:17	deba 2:3,4,5	16:9,16 17:6 18:1	82:1
cutting 35:6	102:22 105:2	18:3 19:16 20:4,5	develops 106:14
cyber 20:9 84:9	debate 52:18	20:13 21:16 23:21	devices 78:22
90:13	decade 59:13	24:22 34:7,8,9,9	108:5
cybersecurity	december 1:6	34:11 35:10,16,17	devote 107:18
14:20 15:1 17:14	decentralized	39:9,10,10,13	dialogue 68:15
80:3,10 84:3 85:9	106:17,17	40:1 47:10 51:1	difference 39:19
88:9 96:13 97:2,6	decide 66:20,20	54:21 60:1 63:7	74:1
d	deciding 80:22	63:11 66:14 82:15	different 19:15
d 4:1	decisions 108:10	83:16 86:8 88:13	25:10,11 50:1
d.c. 1:13	dedicated 104:9	89:9 91:2 93:3,21	51:14 60:11 65:2
das 64:5	dedication 105:15	97:20,21 99:22	66:19
	deep 32:4 35:14	104:12,15 105:5	difficult 7:11
data 20:8,8 26:6 27:2 33:13 37:12	deepen 64:1	department's	10:18 35:20
	deepening 57:7	18:21 56:1	difficulty 58:22
47:20 48:8 53:16	deeper 72:9	departmental	59:1,4,5
54:18 55:2,2,9	defining 21:22	39:9	diffusion 60:3
56:7,9,11 60:7,16	definitely 21:15	departments	dig 39:18 70:2
61:12 62:8,9,19	26:22	93:13	digging 66:22
63:3 64:22 65:4,4	definition 69:22	depends 14:11	digit 15:12
73:6 74:21 75:3,7	71:9 75:7	depth 93:9	digital 1:4 2:12
75:10,15,18 76:2	degree 27:17	derive 46:18	6:5,12,14,17 7:2,5
76:3,12,16,19	28:13,14,17 58:11	designated 2:3	7:16,18,19 8:7,22
77:10,12,21,22	107:10,12	designed 93:8	10:10 11:18 12:12
85:12,16 87:20	delayed 99:2	desire 90:21	12:19 13:12,18,21
93:18 100:4,6,9	delegated 109:2	despite 78:9	14:2,11 15:13,22
100:11,17 101:9 101:12	delineate 42:17	details 44:20	16:9,17 17:1,9,10
	87:13	45:14	17:20 18:12 19:21
datasets 60:19,22 date 106:15	deliver 26:15	determine 81:1	20:10 21:12 23:7
dave 36:17	delivery 48:2	determined	26:3,8,11,14 27:3
david 21:14 27:6	72:22	107:21	29:11,18 30:1,4,8
39:15	demand 26:19	develop 7:9,10	30:9,11,11,17
davidson 2:12	29:17 37:5	30:3 66:14 68:9	31:14,16 34:18
13:17 94:15 96:9	demands 26:13	83:13	37:19 38:14,17
day 4:2 25:10 44:4	democracy 88:18	developed 13:17	39:1 43:8 46:3,18
94:13 109:21	88:20	66:8 80:19 93:1	48:3,11,16 49:1,8
days 103:19	demonstrate 94:3	developer 29:12	49:12,15 50:7
deal 10:18 14:1	94:3	29:12	51:11,21 52:2,5
82:13 87:20	demonstrates	developing 10:20	53:16 55:8,10
dealing 76:14	84:10	62:7,12 93:12	56:4,20 57:9,16
dealt 84:19	departing 104:6	development 8:20	57:18 58:22 59:4
ucait 04.17		34:21 81:21	59:14,18 60:3,11

	T	I	T
61:1 65:14 66:16	discussion 18:17	driver 93:19	51:14,15 55:8,10
66:17 69:11,12,21	21:5 69:1,9 83:2	driving 30:10	56:12 57:12 62:18
70:5,6,8,12,13,14	94:11,11	78:11	63:2 65:14 66:16
70:18 71:4,21	discussions 70:11	dropping 59:8	67:9 75:10,12
72:15 74:8 75:10	104:20	due 6:20 59:3,13	76:9 77:6 79:21
75:12 76:9 77:6	disparity 46:11	77:4	88:4,16 89:16
85:11,20 88:4	displaced 6:20	duplicate 10:4	90:11 93:4 94:1
89:16 90:11 93:3	displacement 6:21	dust 19:11	94:12 95:17 100:1
93:8 94:1,12	dispute 59:11	dwell 92:20	101:16 103:5,7
95:17 100:1 103:5	disrupting 17:17	dynamic 40:19	105:7 106:1,4,8
103:6 105:7 106:1	disruption 7:18	e	106:11 107:8,11
106:4,8,11 107:8	disruptive 7:17	e 2:1,1,13 3:1 4:1	108:12 110:14
107:11 108:12	distribute 63:2	4:1 49:19,21	ed 34:8,12 39:10
110:14	distributed 10:11	earlier 19:17 53:1	edge 35:6
digitally 26:20	12:13 38:9 46:5		educate 42:19
30:15	distributions	67:17 81:15	education 18:10
digitization 46:11	52:19	early 67:14	31:4 32:13 34:19
51:12,13,17,19	district 111:19	earn 41:22	38:1,7,15 39:1
52:8,11 56:8,21	dive 70:22	easily 69:16	40:4 43:6,10
57:5,14 58:1 59:3	dives 14:4	economic 12:10	educational 33:6
73:17 74:16	divide 58:20	13:13 17:3 19:16	36:2
digitizations	dividing 33:1	20:3 48:10 52:16	effective 14:6 38:1
56:16	doc 89:21	57:6 61:12 78:17 89:13	54:21 93:7
digitize 73:22	document 86:19	economist 2:9	effectively 9:3
digitized 45:15	98:19	47:9,21 58:16	18:9 94:2
46:7,7,8	doe 40:5 97:21	64:14	effects 51:19 52:7
digitizing 74:2	doing 36:17,21	economists 58:17	52:20,22 61:20
diligent 9:6	37:4 38:3,8,18	59:10	efficacy 88:20
diploma 7:4	45:20,21 48:6	economy 1:4 2:12	efficiently 18:9
direction 6:15	51:8 65:1,1 92:2	6:6,12 7:17,22 8:7	94:2
111:5	dol 40:5	8:18 9:1,1 10:10	effort 5:10 9:16
directives 31:5	dollars 59:3	10:16 11:18 12:12	11:12 12:4 16:8
directly 29:22	dominate 67:11	12:19 13:3,12,18	39:6 61:17 87:6
35:5	dots 54:15	14:2,11 15:4,16	91:1 95:2 102:16
director 2:12	double 6:21	16:1,9,17 17:1,9	105:3,15
disappearance	dramatic 25:22	17:20 18:7 19:21	efforts 9:18 16:11
27:12 28:10	26:5	21:12 23:21 24:10	33:4 39:10 81:20
disappearing	dramatically 23:6	26:17 29:11,18	85:5 99:21 100:13
27:22	73:18,19	30:1,4,17 35:19	either 24:10 25:12
discuss 44:6 72:6	drilled 27:19	37:5 38:14 39:1	55:12 91:3 98:5
discussed 21:2	drive 35:22 36:1	40:19 42:9 43:8	100:14
81:15 84:19	51:3 108:9	44:7 45:15,21	election 6:2,10
discussing 5:3	driven 34:5	46:1,3,21 48:4,12	88:19
		1 ' '	
		48:16 49:4,10	

[electricians - facing]

electricians 41:16	encouragement	environment	exist 27:14
element 30:8	81:19	10:20 29:10	existed 24:12
37:12 77:9	encouraging 88:2	equally 7:17	70:13,15
elementary 32:13	ended 110:15	equip 24:2,4	existence 96:5
elements 38:17	energy 5:10 12:5	equipment 56:19	existing 60:19
elephant 83:17	52:6 107:18	esa 63:13	91:6
elephants 83:18	engage 15:20 64:3	especially 24:3	exists 39:21 42:11
elimination 27:13	64:22 73:3 90:16	50:19 60:17 66:15	expanding 13:22
ellen 2:9 3:9 44:13	91:15 104:14	100:4	expect 16:16 69:9
47:2,2,6,7,9 51:5	engaged 38:11	essential 12:19	75:22
52:12 61:19	105:16	76:13	expectations
ellen's 47:13 57:21	engagement 11:21	essentially 33:11	26:16 102:19
64:5	31:12 63:18 88:22	established 46:16	expeditiously 89:5
embarked 22:2	90:14 91:3	105:6	expenditure 60:21
emerge 53:9	engaging 12:6	establishing 5:15	expenditures 56:2
emerged 23:19	104:16	evelyn 1:5 2:3 5:7	experience 25:20
emerging 14:2	engender 77:7	5:9 13:17 19:1	experiencing
53:5,13,18 65:14	engineering 28:19	21:16 47:17 89:7	27:11
66:7,8,15 67:1,14	29:11 32:19	98:8,20	experimentation
emphasize 50:19	engines 59:15	evenings 109:20	55:3 93:11
104:17	72:14	everybody 24:4,10	expertise 12:5
employ 54:17	enhance 61:2	82:9 105:12,16	93:17
employed 29:5	81:12 84:11	everyone's 33:4	experts 50:21
111:8,11 112:7	enhanced 85:2	evidently 58:11	explicitly 77:19
employee 24:11	enhancing 14:19	evolution 50:13	explore 25:3
111:10	enjoy 61:8	exactly 14:7 31:20	exploring 38:17
employees 24:19	enormously 62:4	40:6 88:21	extended 7:13
25:11 29:18 42:17	101:10	exaggerated 70:6	extensive 88:13
employers 7:11	ensure 15:20	examination	extent 30:8 31:14
24:18	ensuring 82:16	80:16	46:9 51:11,13
employing 29:22	enterprise 8:11	examine 80:12	52:2,5 56:10,16
employment	11:9 76:14 93:8,8	example 8:12 34:7	56:21 57:5,8
28:10	94:1,12 108:9	48:9,21 56:6,14	extraordinary
empowered 57:8	enterprises 7:19	56:19 57:1,3 64:4	5:20 9:6 15:3
enable 30:1 37:10	8:1,6 18:13 63:3	72:19 75:6 97:18	88:16
69:13 71:11,12	74:6 88:3	exceeded 102:19	extremely 70:12
76:18	enthusiasm 12:6	exceptions 32:9	71:3,6 107:17
enabling 57:10	entire 17:17 93:22	excited 5:2 61:16	eye 53:19
72:13 74:5,17	95:4	exciting 91:12	f
75:5 93:22	entirely 29:3 66:7	excuse 92:13,21	face 55:12
encourage 94:5	entities 65:3,5	93:12	facility 101:4
encouraged 82:3	entry 27:13,15	execute 18:2	facing 17:1,8,13
101:3	28:11	exercising 11:3	80:4

[fact - gathering]

fact 12:18 15:2	find 7:14 63:2	follows 104:22	framework 55:17
19:8 43:13 54:22	70:3 72:20	foot 29:1	66:17 105:7
56:15,18 65:8	finding 7:11 90:1	force 42:16	frameworks 76:18
78:9 79:4 88:21	finger 22:7	ford 49:6	76:19 77:17
105:8	firmly 58:19	foregoing 111:3	frankly 17:9 28:6
fairly 102:19	first 5:8 11:17	foreign 84:5	32:18 79:19 80:6
fall 84:2	13:17 16:15 44:15	foresight 65:15	86:1,5 88:17
far 51:16 67:12	51:10 58:16 60:1	form 33:9 63:19	free 13:20 14:10
103:2	69:7 72:11,12	63:20	14:12 58:22 61:21
farkas 1:18 111:2	74:4 84:3 87:5	formal 38:15	75:9
111:17	88:9	39:12	friction 77:14
fashion 84:20	fit 4:7	formed 11:3	front 4:13 17:11
95:19	five 22:14 25:9	forming 10:9	58:11 89:14 100:4
fast 13:11 80:19	86:13 92:8 107:22	forth 33:18 45:2	100:15
fear 61:7	flavor 75:18	49:16	fruitful 11:11
fed 50:22	flexibility 26:20	forum 78:17	full 21:3 31:1
federal 2:3 35:11	26:21 42:5,9 43:4	forward 5:3,12	fully 32:3 46:22
35:12 36:15 37:7	flexible 86:11	9:22 15:1 16:13	105:16
40:22 41:1 63:21	floor 94:8 98:4	16:14 18:17 19:2	function 30:4
109:13	flow 49:17	19:15 20:17,18	39:19
feel 4:7 6:12 37:6	flowing 75:9	27:5 33:20 38:12	functions 93:18
54:1 81:9 83:21	flows 20:8 49:12	44:1 47:15 48:15	fundamental 8:21
103:1,1	66:22 74:21 75:10	50:9,22 51:3	12:9 15:15 61:11
feeling 6:7 86:6	76:2,12,16,19	67:22 68:1 71:2	75:13 77:6,9,18
feet 29:2	77:10	80:9 81:17 82:14	78:4 97:15
felt 40:17 60:6	fluency 26:8,14	83:14 85:18 86:4	fundamentally
65:9,12 66:11,16	flush 32:3	86:9 88:15 91:8	24:20 61:3 106:14
68:16 80:12 81:17	focus 8:20 16:15	91:19 95:11,16	further 64:1 70:19
101:10	22:19 30:12,13	96:22 100:15	82:19 108:4
female 103:20,22	35:18 36:4 37:9	102:2 106:3	111:10
festering 96:1	46:14 76:10 78:2	107:14,20 109:15	future 12:10,21
field 106:19	86:3 89:5 91:4,9	109:22 110:1	15:9,20 21:11
figure 41:17 60:8	93:10 95:7,9	found 6:19 8:18	34:21 39:21 91:17
61:13 95:19	97:19	37:22 54:5 58:18	98:7 100:14
figuring 40:6	focused 13:19	foundation 15:15	futures 13:6
fill 7:12,12 27:4	14:13 15:8,13	22:10 34:20	g
30:16	18:5 20:1 21:11	foundational	g 4:1
filling 35:20	23:19 34:4 36:3	68:18,20	gap 6:4 103:4,10
final 11:17 53:3	75:15 80:5 87:16	founding 87:9	103:15
92:17 105:20	93:2,10	four 13:19 26:10	gaps 8:3
finally 14:2 50:6	folks 18:22 21:15	28:16 41:13,19	gather 19:11
financially 111:12	94:16 95:2	42:13 51:6 53:20	54:18 55:2 56:11
112:8	following 88:6	fourth 57:19	gathering 44:9
		78:17 83:6	
	1	I .	i l

81:18 82:3,13

[gdp - handymen]

[gup - nanuymen]	
gdp 48:17 50:14	g
52:13 58:1,14	g
59:13,15 61:3,9	g
geeks 47:20	
general 26:9 69:22	g
70:8 72:10 74:9	
74:15 76:11,16	
79:21 83:2 87:5	
generally 72:6	
generate 48:2 63:4	
generated 69:18	
generating 12:19	
62:10 75:4	
generation 22:1	
generationally	
26:19	
getting 28:15 54:4 61:10	
give 8:19 41:12	
43:3 48:21 51:6	
58:4 65:4 95:7	
99:16 106:13	
given 24:20 30:22	
31:3 43:14 70:13	
81:15 109:13	g
gives 42:10 65:15	
giving 21:21 33:12	
glad 4:8 47:7,14	
global 6:18 10:12	g
10:16 22:11 23:11	
70:13 75:12 79:20	
globalization	g
17:16	
globally 14:13	
gloss 95:7	g
go 16:14 25:18	
28:14 33:20 35:3 42:16 44:20 48:19	
52:15 55:7,18	
67:20 68:20 70:11	
71:2 75:5 83:8,9	
89:9 93:9 95:10	
102:20 107:14,19	
108:5 109:22	

01.10 02.3,13
83:15,22 84:12,17
85:1,21 86:5
87:11 90:3 93:6,7
93:12,18,20,22
94:4,6,11 95:18
100:9,18 101:12
108:18
government's
80:22 81:8
governments 41:1
75:1,15,22 76:15
90:17 93:6
graduate 33:16
•
graduating 32:14
granted 14:14
106:2
grappling 109:8
grass 38:9
grateful 12:3
91:14
great 6:11 9:14
21:9 23:4,4 25:20
•
25:20 35:1 44:5
47:18 66:1 67:15
68:5,12 89:5
91:10 92:4 102:3
greater 40:20,21
40:22 45:18 88:3
greatest 106:5
greatly 19:10
102:19
greg 44:17 65:11
65:18 68:2
grossman 21:14
25:19
ground 94:19
grounds 99:1
group 4:20 5:15
5:17 9:7,14 10:9
10:10 12:8 13:8
21:6,11,13 35:9
36:4 43:22 44:9
44:14,16,16 46:14
tingCompany.com

47:11,12 54:2
58:3,19 69:2,5,11
70:7 73:17 87:1
92:11,17,18,22
93:2 95:9 101:6
103:2,8 106:7,18
108:20
group's 43:21
47:4 53:2 94:21
groups 9:12 17:18
21:1,2,11 75:19
89:18 107:15
108:17
grow 7:22 18:14
37:11 49:17 63:1
84:9
growing 7:2,15,19
13:3 28:3 37:14
74:3,22
growth 7:7 13:13
17:1 26:11 28:3,4
28:18,20 29:8
35:18 37:11 46:19
46:20 50:7 52:13
52:21 58:21 59:12
59:13 60:9
guess 39:3 59:20
69:3
guidance 17:5
guide 33:7
h
hacked 84:7
hacks 84:5,10
half 7:3,20 29:1
58:15 59:21

hacked 84:7 hacks 84:5,10 half 7:3,20 29:1 58:15 59:21 hall 4:14 110:8 hallway 110:11 hand 85:8,9 98:13 handled 72:22 hands 55:11 handy 41:20 42:3 handyman 41:16 handymen 41:21

[hanrahan - independent]

hanrahan 21:14	33:16 37:5 63:6	human 77:4	53:18 54:3,9,15
41:9,11	81:8 87:9 102:19	i	54:17 55:4,15,21
happen 34:15	higher 28:19 29:8	_	56:6,9,12,13 57:9
90:22	29:11 43:1	iana 14:18	57:15,20 61:9,13
happened 55:10	highlight 51:6	idea 21:20 38:14	61:19,22 62:4
88:21	57:4 58:15 59:20	53:11 103:4	63:9 64:16 67:8
happening 6:5	65:10 78:15 79:4	ideas 45:10 89:3	68:19 69:19 70:20
24:1 49:14 66:9	highlighted 60:15	identify 95:15	71:3 74:22 76:7
66:12 67:7	61:19	ignore 13:4 76:1	81:13,16 82:4
happy 35:8 68:15	highlighting 55:20	83:17 90:22	89:4 91:13,16
hard 78:11 109:2	highly 79:20	illiteracy 30:8	101:15 104:8,14
head 110:11	hire 30:22 33:15	31:16,17,20	105:1,3 106:14,22
heads 96:8	historically 100:8	immediate 36:5,6 37:9 105:3	107:17
healthcare 7:7	hitting 78:8	immediately	importantly 26:8
healthy 11:10	hmm 34:13 37:1	73:11,15	73:21
75:12 77:7	hold 24:14	immense 42:9	improve 99:22
hear 48:9 58:7	hole 27:19	impact 52:11,13	improvement 94:6
65:20 89:6	holiday 49:22	52:14,15,21 79:15	inaudible 58:22
heard 50:18	holistic 77:22	108:22	59:1,4,5
heart 35:2 47:13	home 26:21 30:10	impacting 6:17	include 52:1 81:3
49:6	39:2 41:14,15,15	impacts 46:10	included 6:13
held 58:19 104:21	58:11 97:5,11	impediments 85:3	11:22 44:16
help 13:9 18:6,12	hope 38:13 77:7	imperative 78:1	includes 15:12
21:15 32:12 33:7	105:16	impetus 91:1	56:19 57:15
33:22 35:15,22	hopefully 45:10	implementation	including 20:19
36:1,18 43:6	65:15 81:11	40:4 70:20 71:2	45:1 53:14
44:19 45:5 64:20	hoping 14:7	72:9 74:10	income 23:15 43:2
65:3 66:13,14	hour 104:3	implementing	71:19
67:1 90:2 91:20	hours 42:7,7,13	39:5	incomes 37:14
helped 80:17	house 16:10 27:19	implications 67:3	incorporated
helpful 40:13	40:8 54:14 90:1,6	79:19 85:14	45:11
helping 5:18 20:1	104:16	importance 5:16	increase 100:3
30:1,15 31:10	housed 64:13	8:9 30:9 56:8 62:6	increased 13:13
34:6 36:21 37:7	97:20 100:6	75:9 76:8 86:11	increasing 66:10
43:1	household 46:17	91:16 93:11,11,16	71:3
helps 33:1 43:11	52:6	104:17 109:6	increasingly 10:17
103:9	households 46:9	important 11:1	62:14
hereto 111:11	51:15 52:5	12:15 14:15 15:11	incredible 21:20
hesitate 72:16	huge 71:19 74:1	16:3,8,19 17:3,7	44:18 45:19 46:18
hey 43:3 96:14	87:17 94:18 95:5	17:22 18:20 19:7	50:9
hi 25:19 98:21	hughes 2:9 3:9	19:9 26:13 30:17	incredibly 45:20
hidden 48:16	47:9,17	36:8 37:13 44:10	independent 24:11
high 15:6 27:17 28:13 31:9 33:12	huh 103:21	45:13 48:18 49:5	Z4:11
20.13 31.9 33.12		49:16,21 50:18	

indication 66:12	innovations 53:7	internet 4:17 8:13	item 48:19,19
individual 74:22	56:5 100:17	13:20 14:11,12	items 4:10
85:21 89:18	innovative 55:14	15:6,8 53:15	j
individuals 9:2	input 88:13 102:4	56:18 78:15,21	
15:18 17:7 45:22	102:5	79:2,6,17 80:4,8	james 2:8,11 3:8
46:9,17 51:16,20	inquiries 62:13	80:14,16,18 81:1	3:11 9:13 44:5
107:12 108:20	inside 41:15 97:20	81:9,22 82:16	47:18 59:18 60:2
109:18	insight 66:12	87:9,11 106:6	65:20 66:6 73:16
industrial 56:19	inspiration 22:17	107:6,7,9,17,19	101:1
78:17 79:6,10,17	instacart 42:4	107:20 108:3	james's 60:16
80:4,8,14 81:1,9	instantly 70:15	interrupt 86:12	january 104:15
82:16 87:11 93:7	institute 6:18	intervene 4:6	jessica 48:13
industries 17:17	19:18 22:10,11	introduce 47:6	49:13 99:20
60:14 69:15 77:1	23:11 63:12 89:12	52:14	jim 68:22 69:4,8
industry 18:6	institutions 36:2	introduction	71:1 78:5 86:12
60:10 73:18,19,20	integration 79:7	47:18	job 6:20 11:19
76:17 79:16	intelligence 5:9	investment 15:12	23:14 24:14,14
inform 20:7 62:16	inter 20:14,16	108:9	27:21 28:4 29:18
informal 38:1,4,16	39:5,8,9 54:12	investments 81:11	34:5 37:11 52:20
38:21,21	89:22 90:2,5	81:12,13	52:21 53:12 56:1
information 2:14	105:9	invite 47:2 58:2	72:15 78:10
7:7 19:18 26:7	interact 52:3	65:11	jobs 6:19 7:2,4,6
70:1 74:21 77:16	interacting 55:13	involved 25:21	7:13,14,15 8:2
84:7 85:6 87:21	interaction 47:15	35:5 79:9 85:15	18:15 21:12 23:6
89:11 102:9	interest 35:14	iot 71:1 83:5	23:17 24:7,15
infrastructure	68:13 76:3 102:5	irrelevant 75:20	25:10,14,17 26:1
15:7,9,10,12,13	107:16	issue 8:12 14:13	26:5,10,21 27:4
17:10 30:2 56:3	interested 38:10	18:11 36:9 46:14	27:14,16,22 28:1
72:16,17 79:12	94:5 111:12 112:8	47:21 58:14 85:8	28:2,3,11,11,12
106:9	interesting 25:9	issues 5:16 6:9 8:9	28:18,19,20 29:9 29:9 30:16 31:8
initial 69:6	35:6 55:9	9:4 10:16 11:5	35:16,19,19 37:3
initially 92:22	interfaces 107:9	15:22 16:18,21,22	37:15 38:14 52:20
initiatives 91:12	interim 83:13	17:2,3,13 19:6,20	57:16 71:15,17,18
inner 39:10,20	intermediate	20:5 21:5,22 22:5	74:15 109:21
innovate 18:14	102:21 103:17	23:19 32:8 43:15	john 19:1
54:22	international 1:10	44:10 52:12 61:1	join 19:2 47:8,15
innovating 22:9	10:13,18,22 20:11	61:20 62:12 68:17	joined 21:12
24:1	49:12 70:16 72:19	83:21 91:15 95:4	joining 4:5
innovation 13:14	72:20 73:4 74:14	96:7,18,20 97:12	joint 63:15
40:18 41:2 53:6	75:3 87:15,18	105:1,17 108:22	julia 49:13
54:3,16 55:3,5	89:14	109:6,8	julie 48:13
69:13,18 71:12	internationally	it.very 73:20	jump 25:7 35:8
81:13 108:10	8:15	ita 89:14	65:18
			03.10

F			
jumping 84:21	58:16 60:5 61:3	lawrence 2:13	leverage 9:21
jurisdiction 75:8	62:15,16 64:5	3:12	libraries 38:5
jurisdictions	68:3,7 69:15	laws 24:8,9 42:16	life 38:19 76:10
77:16	70:12,14 72:4,5,9	85:3	lift 48:17
justice 32:11,22	75:1,13 76:6,7,10	lay 34:17 83:19	limited 100:18
justin 87:19	76:22 77:3,9,13	86:11	limiting 77:10
k	77:19 78:3,20,22	layer 42:12	line 33:1 58:4
k 36:8	80:18 81:17 83:12	laying 15:5	98:11
	83:16 84:15 85:2	layperson's 106:9	lines 33:18
karynn 112:2,13	85:14,17 86:18	lays 14:8	linkage 34:11
keep 38:18 53:18	87:6,7,7,17,20,21	lead 36:2 37:7	linked 54:14 76:9
56:20	88:7 89:5,15	69:1 72:1	linkedin 22:10
keeping 32:14	90:11 91:7,12	leader 69:5 76:7	linking 53:15
70:10	94:17 95:4,17,19	leaders 9:14 10:5	list 96:21
key 11:21 13:19	95:21 96:3,7,13	13:8 19:7 22:11	listen 27:8
15:22 23:19 67:19	96:19 97:1,7,16	leadership 5:19	literacy 30:9,11
75:3,4,5 77:3	97:21 100:1,16,18	16:17 35:10 38:20	31:15 34:18 37:19
kick 48:12	100:20 105:22	48:7,13 89:6,7,16	literally 67:10
kids 32:14 33:11	106:6,12,15,15,17	90:7 104:14 105:8	literate 30:15
33:15,16 38:2	106:19 107:20,22	105:14	little 7:9 79:9 95:7
kind 12:15 34:1	108:2,7,8	leading 12:4 15:21	live 53:6
34:17 40:3,17	knowledge 103:5	21:10 45:7 93:14	lives 13:5 24:6
45:11 55:4,15	111:7	leads 12:15 55:4	109:10
56:2,21 63:17	known 109:17	learn 21:21 22:4	living 23:3 61:5,10
66:20 72:10 88:7		23:16 38:7	loathe 33:21
94:21 95:9 96:21	l	learned 25:4 37:2	local 20:8 31:4,13
106:18	labor 20:4 24:8,8	42:1	33:6,6,7 36:14,17
kinds 25:17 36:20	34:7,9 35:16,17	learning 31:8	41:1 63:21,22
51:14 52:6,12	39:11,13 41:7	38:10,16,22	75:18 90:16
55:6,14,20 56:5	51:1 57:14 60:22	leave 4:12 92:1	localization 20:8
57:16 71:17 107:1	97:21	leaves 14:21	locations 75:14
knew 22:5	lack 31:20	led 13:16 15:7	loci 97:16
know 6:10 7:1,9	laid 17:22 87:10	21:6 34:5 56:5	locks 97:11
10:11 12:8,12,22	lane 48:1	81:19 82:2 89:17	long 38:14 53:9
13:18 14:6,7 15:6	langdon 39:15		54:19 96:5 108:4
16:5 17:12,18	language 68:9	left 20:5,5	
18:2,18,22 20:10	large 7:17 33:2	legal 76:18 85:3	look 5:3,12 7:12
22:1,5 25:14,22	74:2 77:5	letting 66:2	9:22 11:6 18:17
27:15,16 31:3,13	larger 88:3	level 20:16 24:4	20:18 22:20 26:9
31:21 33:4,19	larry 13:16 87:16	27:13,14,15 28:1	46:6 47:15 49:2
34:1,4,5 37:22	102:7,9 105:19	28:11 32:19,20	53:13 64:12 65:13
38:5 39:14,15	laughter 23:1	46:6 81:8 84:22	67:22 69:12,19
40:15 48:15 49:1	104:2 109:14	87:9	70:20 71:2 73:7
49:9 50:6,20 51:2	law 41:7 43:3	levels 39:22 46:6	88:8,11 94:7
53:11 56:7 57:6			107:21 109:15,22

[looked - mix] Page 14

looked 9:11 16 21	manufacturing	magazina 17:20	michael 1:18
looked 8:11,16,21 37:16 47:12 67:5	manufacturing 7:8	measuring 17:20 44:6 49:14 50:10	111:2,17
67:5 73:15 93:5	manyika 2:8 3:8	51:11 56:2 57:15	micro 8:13 71:13
looking 48:22	9:13 44:6,8 51:5	65:16 66:21	75:6
67:12 71:17 77:19	58:8 59:7 61:14	101:16	microcosm 27:10
looks 101:7		mechanism 39:13	microcosii 27.10
	65:7,22 68:13 101:2	40:7 90:1,4	72:18
lot 14:16 16:7,13 18:18 25:1,2	markle 22:9 36:4	mechanisms 18:10	
28:12 31:21 33:5	martha 44:17	18:10 55:2 56:10	microphone 98:13 microsoft 33:4
		62:8 90:3 91:7	microsoft's 36:21
35:5,16 49:11	mchenry 48:13	media 59:15	37:4
56:19 67:9,18	mckinsey 6:17,18 22:11 23:10	medium 7:21 8:1	
99:21 100:1,6,16			middle 6:19 7:1,3
101:18 103:15	mean 24:8,13,16	8:6,11 63:3 69:17 72:13 73:14 74:6	7:13 28:2,11 29:9
lots 19:7 32:9	24:21 27:14 29:7		30:16 31:9 34:2
louise 21:16	31:13 33:3,17	74:15 95:8 107:11	65:1 71:18
love 25:15 38:2	34:9 47:20 48:1	meet 94:1 110:8	miles 15:6
43:9 101:7,18	50:10 60:1 62:4	meeting 1:2,4	million 6:19 23:13
low 28:10	68:5 71:19 87:15	11:17 20:20 64:7	28:22 29:2 41:20
lower 27:13 28:1	88:18 89:2 95:13	98:16 104:11	mind 24:10 59:7
lunch 89:7	95:18,21,21 97:11	108:17 109:4	70:10 72:3
luxury 45:7	106:10	110:15	mindy 21:14
lyft 42:4	meaning 7:14	meeting's 105:11	25:18 29:13 93:1
	60051	10101	• 50.10
m	means 6:8 25:1	meetings 104:21	minus 58:12
m machinery 79:7	70:5 73:2 82:16	member 21:13	minute 13:21
	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7	member 21:13 101:7 109:7	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8
machinery 79:7	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10 66:5 78:12	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11 49:7,9,21 50:2,13	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8 102:14 110:6	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10 mismatch 29:17
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10 66:5 78:12 mail 28:8	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11 49:7,9,21 50:2,13 53:10 56:10,15,21	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8 102:14 110:6 membership 21:3	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10 mismatch 29:17 mission 94:2
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10 66:5 78:12 mail 28:8 mainstream 66:13	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11 49:7,9,21 50:2,13 53:10 56:10,15,21 57:13 60:10 61:4	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8 102:14 110:6 membership 21:3 21:4	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10 mismatch 29:17 mission 94:2 missions 94:7
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10 66:5 78:12 mail 28:8 mainstream 66:13 major 26:12,18	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11 49:7,9,21 50:2,13 53:10 56:10,15,21 57:13 60:10 61:4 61:9 100:5	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8 102:14 110:6 membership 21:3 21:4 mention 4:3,11,16	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10 mismatch 29:17 mission 94:2 missions 94:7 misunderstandin
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10 66:5 78:12 mail 28:8 mainstream 66:13 major 26:12,18 48:15 49:13,18	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11 49:7,9,21 50:2,13 53:10 56:10,15,21 57:13 60:10 61:4 61:9 100:5 measured 50:12	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8 102:14 110:6 membership 21:3 21:4 mention 4:3,11,16 48:9 49:19 92:15	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10 mismatch 29:17 mission 94:2 missions 94:7 misunderstandin 103:6,16
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10 66:5 78:12 mail 28:8 mainstream 66:13 major 26:12,18 48:15 49:13,18 61:16	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11 49:7,9,21 50:2,13 53:10 56:10,15,21 57:13 60:10 61:4 61:9 100:5 measured 50:12 59:2,14 65:16	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8 102:14 110:6 membership 21:3 21:4 mention 4:3,11,16 48:9 49:19 92:15 110:6	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10 mismatch 29:17 mission 94:2 missions 94:7 misunderstandin 103:6,16 misunderstood
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10 66:5 78:12 mail 28:8 mainstream 66:13 major 26:12,18 48:15 49:13,18 61:16 making 13:22	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11 49:7,9,21 50:2,13 53:10 56:10,15,21 57:13 60:10 61:4 61:9 100:5 measured 50:12 59:2,14 65:16 measurement	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8 102:14 110:6 membership 21:3 21:4 mention 4:3,11,16 48:9 49:19 92:15 110:6 mentioned 12:22	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10 mismatch 29:17 mission 94:2 missions 94:7 misunderstandin 103:6,16 misunderstood 12:14
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10 66:5 78:12 mail 28:8 mainstream 66:13 major 26:12,18 48:15 49:13,18 61:16 making 13:22 14:5 15:11 16:2	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11 49:7,9,21 50:2,13 53:10 56:10,15,21 57:13 60:10 61:4 61:9 100:5 measured 50:12 59:2,14 65:16 measurement 45:3,9 50:22 54:6	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8 102:14 110:6 membership 21:3 21:4 mention 4:3,11,16 48:9 49:19 92:15 110:6 mentioned 12:22 19:17 20:22 59:18	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10 mismatch 29:17 mission 94:2 missions 94:7 misunderstandin 103:6,16 misunderstood 12:14 mitchell 2:5 3:5,10
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10 66:5 78:12 mail 28:8 mainstream 66:13 major 26:12,18 48:15 49:13,18 61:16 making 13:22 14:5 15:11 16:2 16:20 30:2,14	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11 49:7,9,21 50:2,13 53:10 56:10,15,21 57:13 60:10 61:4 61:9 100:5 measured 50:12 59:2,14 65:16 measurement 45:3,9 50:22 54:6 55:16 58:15 67:6	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8 102:14 110:6 membership 21:3 21:4 mention 4:3,11,16 48:9 49:19 92:15 110:6 mentioned 12:22 19:17 20:22 59:18 60:2 71:13 72:14	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10 mismatch 29:17 mission 94:2 missions 94:7 misunderstandin 103:6,16 misunderstood 12:14 mitchell 2:5 3:5,10 3:13 5:5 11:20
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10 66:5 78:12 mail 28:8 mainstream 66:13 major 26:12,18 48:15 49:13,18 61:16 making 13:22 14:5 15:11 16:2 16:20 30:2,14 32:5 39:20 51:7	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11 49:7,9,21 50:2,13 53:10 56:10,15,21 57:13 60:10 61:4 61:9 100:5 measured 50:12 59:2,14 65:16 measurement 45:3,9 50:22 54:6 55:16 58:15 67:6 68:17 99:22	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8 102:14 110:6 membership 21:3 21:4 mention 4:3,11,16 48:9 49:19 92:15 110:6 mentioned 12:22 19:17 20:22 59:18 60:2 71:13 72:14 98:16 103:3	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10 mismatch 29:17 mission 94:2 missions 94:7 misunderstandin 103:6,16 misunderstood 12:14 mitchell 2:5 3:5,10 3:13 5:5 11:20 12:3,11,18 37:16
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10 66:5 78:12 mail 28:8 mainstream 66:13 major 26:12,18 48:15 49:13,18 61:16 making 13:22 14:5 15:11 16:2 16:20 30:2,14 32:5 39:20 51:7 62:16 65:11 68:18	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11 49:7,9,21 50:2,13 53:10 56:10,15,21 57:13 60:10 61:4 61:9 100:5 measured 50:12 59:2,14 65:16 measurement 45:3,9 50:22 54:6 55:16 58:15 67:6 68:17 99:22 103:12	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8 102:14 110:6 membership 21:3 21:4 mention 4:3,11,16 48:9 49:19 92:15 110:6 mentioned 12:22 19:17 20:22 59:18 60:2 71:13 72:14 98:16 103:3 merged 61:1	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10 mismatch 29:17 mission 94:2 missions 94:7 misunderstandin 103:6,16 misunderstood 12:14 mitchell 2:5 3:5,10 3:13 5:5 11:20 12:3,11,18 37:16 69:1 78:7,8
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10 66:5 78:12 mail 28:8 mainstream 66:13 major 26:12,18 48:15 49:13,18 61:16 making 13:22 14:5 15:11 16:2 16:20 30:2,14 32:5 39:20 51:7 62:16 65:11 68:18 87:18	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11 49:7,9,21 50:2,13 53:10 56:10,15,21 57:13 60:10 61:4 61:9 100:5 measured 50:12 59:2,14 65:16 measurement 45:3,9 50:22 54:6 55:16 58:15 67:6 68:17 99:22 103:12 measurements	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8 102:14 110:6 membership 21:3 21:4 mention 4:3,11,16 48:9 49:19 92:15 110:6 mentioned 12:22 19:17 20:22 59:18 60:2 71:13 72:14 98:16 103:3 merged 61:1 merits 92:3	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10 mismatch 29:17 mission 94:2 missions 94:7 misunderstandin 103:6,16 misunderstood 12:14 mitchell 2:5 3:5,10 3:13 5:5 11:20 12:3,11,18 37:16 69:1 78:7,8 102:12 103:3,5
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10 66:5 78:12 mail 28:8 mainstream 66:13 major 26:12,18 48:15 49:13,18 61:16 making 13:22 14:5 15:11 16:2 16:20 30:2,14 32:5 39:20 51:7 62:16 65:11 68:18 87:18 manage 97:14	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11 49:7,9,21 50:2,13 53:10 56:10,15,21 57:13 60:10 61:4 61:9 100:5 measured 50:12 59:2,14 65:16 measurement 45:3,9 50:22 54:6 55:16 58:15 67:6 68:17 99:22 103:12 measurements 55:21	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8 102:14 110:6 membership 21:3 21:4 mention 4:3,11,16 48:9 49:19 92:15 110:6 mentioned 12:22 19:17 20:22 59:18 60:2 71:13 72:14 98:16 103:3 merged 61:1 merits 92:3 met 13:1	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10 mismatch 29:17 mission 94:2 missions 94:7 misunderstandin 103:6,16 misunderstood 12:14 mitchell 2:5 3:5,10 3:13 5:5 11:20 12:3,11,18 37:16 69:1 78:7,8 102:12 103:3,5 105:20 108:15
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10 66:5 78:12 mail 28:8 mainstream 66:13 major 26:12,18 48:15 49:13,18 61:16 making 13:22 14:5 15:11 16:2 16:20 30:2,14 32:5 39:20 51:7 62:16 65:11 68:18 87:18 manage 97:14 98:8	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11 49:7,9,21 50:2,13 53:10 56:10,15,21 57:13 60:10 61:4 61:9 100:5 measured 50:12 59:2,14 65:16 measurement 45:3,9 50:22 54:6 55:16 58:15 67:6 68:17 99:22 103:12 measurements 55:21 measures 45:16	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8 102:14 110:6 membership 21:3 21:4 mention 4:3,11,16 48:9 49:19 92:15 110:6 mentioned 12:22 19:17 20:22 59:18 60:2 71:13 72:14 98:16 103:3 merged 61:1 merits 92:3 met 13:1 metrics 17:19	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10 mismatch 29:17 mission 94:2 missions 94:7 misunderstandin 103:6,16 misunderstood 12:14 mitchell 2:5 3:5,10 3:13 5:5 11:20 12:3,11,18 37:16 69:1 78:7,8 102:12 103:3,5 105:20 108:15 mitigate 77:10
machinery 79:7 machines 78:19 madam 58:10 66:5 78:12 mail 28:8 mainstream 66:13 major 26:12,18 48:15 49:13,18 61:16 making 13:22 14:5 15:11 16:2 16:20 30:2,14 32:5 39:20 51:7 62:16 65:11 68:18 87:18 manage 97:14 98:8 managed 52:6	70:5 73:2 82:16 97:9 103:7 measure 8:22 23:20 24:1 45:15 46:15 48:3,11 49:7,9,21 50:2,13 53:10 56:10,15,21 57:13 60:10 61:4 61:9 100:5 measured 50:12 59:2,14 65:16 measurement 45:3,9 50:22 54:6 55:16 58:15 67:6 68:17 99:22 103:12 measurements 55:21	member 21:13 101:7 109:7 members 4:4 11:21 18:21 44:15 58:3 84:21 99:8 102:14 110:6 membership 21:3 21:4 mention 4:3,11,16 48:9 49:19 92:15 110:6 mentioned 12:22 19:17 20:22 59:18 60:2 71:13 72:14 98:16 103:3 merged 61:1 merits 92:3 met 13:1	minute 13:21 22:20 92:8 minutes 43:14 48:5 86:13 92:14 94:9 110:10 mismatch 29:17 mission 94:2 missions 94:7 misunderstandin 103:6,16 misunderstood 12:14 mitchell 2:5 3:5,10 3:13 5:5 11:20 12:3,11,18 37:16 69:1 78:7,8 102:12 103:3,5 105:20 108:15

mm 34:13 37:1	19:17,18 20:3	net 8:2 24:17,17	0
mobile 26:4,8	31:5,5 63:12 75:8	41:7	
model 66:7	75:17 76:14 80:13	network 79:8	o 3:1 4:1
models 66:8,19	80:21 88:17 89:10	97:11 106:12,13	obligation 32:21
modern 80:18	89:12 97:14	107:4 108:6	observations
93:7	naturally 23:15	never 108:20	102:10
moment 41:5	nature 13:11	new 8:2 16:4 18:7	observed 59:12
monetarily 59:17	17:16 24:21 32:2	23:7,10,15,16,17	obviously 62:9 71:6 76:20 79:18
money 41:22	38:9 53:7 70:13	24:6,7,7 28:22	85:8 88:18
60:21 61:8	71:16 81:7 106:13	53:4,8,17 54:16	occasional 109:3
monitor 53:4	107:3,6,7 108:11	54:17 55:1,6,14	occurred 51:13
months 5:1 13:1	nbc 27:7 30:5	55:20 56:9 57:11	occurring 28:20
23:12 96:14	near 28:10 49:6	57:11,16 61:17	56:22
102:17	79:12 83:14,15	65:10,13,14 66:15	offered 108:6
morning 4:12	nearly 80:19	67:8,18 84:2 91:5	offers 101:8
43:15 101:3	necessarily 28:14	100:16 104:14	office 29:1,2 64:14
morris 19:1	28:16 96:18	106:19,20,20	officer 2:3 111:2
motivation 42:2	necessary 11:9	news 84:4,5	offices 29:3
move 24:14 29:4	33:8 38:16 90:20	nicholson 48:14	officials 36:14,15
36:18 43:22 44:1	need 4:12 7:15 8:5	99:13,17,20,20	offshoot 78:16
50:21 63:13 88:15	8:5 13:7 15:13	niskanen 98:17	79:5
93:7 100:15 102:2	23:7 24:3 27:17	nist 63:11 68:5,5,8	oftentimes 100:10
110:8	35:21 36:10,13	81:17 82:3	oh 30:20 31:17
moving 38:12 50:9	38:18 41:15 50:2	nondigital 7:20	59:9 92:6
71:18	54:10 56:15 65:13	nonprofit 18:13	oisin 21:14 40:14
mozilla 37:19	67:19 71:10 76:16	notary 111:1,18	41:8
multi 72:18 82:10	77:3 80:13 81:10	note 45:13	okay 44:3,5 58:9
85:19	84:10 88:11 89:18	notice 79:9	59:9 83:1,4 86:14
multinational	95:19 96:10 104:8	noting 60:16	86:20 87:2 98:4
75:6	110:8	notion 57:6 68:3	99:3
multinationals 8:13 71:13	needed 8:17 22:6 27:18 35:16 40:18	nti 101:19 ntia 4:18 20:6	older 23:9
	55:5 67:4 68:11	45:1 54:8 61:17	onboard 31:10
multiple 24:15 multiplied 49:8	needing 23:16	68:8 98:19	once 51:21 66:20
museums 38:5	64:22	ntis 63:10 64:3,12	67:2
mutually 76:11,20	needs 8:20 26:12	89:13 90:9 101:5	ongoing 84:9
101:8	31:21 32:12,21	101:19	onion 64:19
	34:19 35:3,19	number 26:1 57:4	online 4:21 28:7
n	36:5,7 37:9 40:3	83:20 90:9 104:5	50:1
n 2:1 3:1,1 4:1	62:15 73:8 84:13	numbers 108:1	open 13:20 14:11
name 99:16	85:5 90:6 108:7	nuts 45:2	14:12 60:6 81:22
narrow 103:15	negative 71:22	nw 1:12	82:21 92:17 94:8
nation's 109:9	neither 111:7		98:4 107:11
national 14:19	112:6		opening 25:15
15:4 16:21 17:2	112.0		44:12 46:5 47:3

103:4	overseen 63:11	parties 111:9,11	percent 8:2 26:2,4
openness 107:9	oversight 40:8	112:7	42:6,7 72:14
operations 93:20	p	partner 15:3	perception 13:6
opining 88:21	p 2:1,1 4:1	63:15	perfect 68:4
opinions 58:19	pace 84:16	partnership 33:5	period 11:19 12:1
opportunities	package 28:8	64:18 67:16,18	92:14
13:6 37:10 67:16	packet 99:9	68:8 78:3	person 108:21
70:17 71:7,11,12	page 3:3 17:11	partnerships 55:6	109:16
71:14,20 72:5,6	panel 25:7,9,16	55:14 65:2 82:11	personal 11:21
74:7 83:20 103:14	paper 94:17	82:15	84:6,7 97:8,10,13
106:20 107:1	papers 17:11	parts 18:3 19:15	109:12
opportunity 4:6	parcel 85:11	20:13,14 54:4	personally 6:8
6:12,15 7:9 11:2	part 6:10 8:6 16:3	60:18 63:16 64:17	13:1
12:20 21:20 23:4	19:14 23:13 24:18	pass 5:4	perspective 41:12
25:2 36:9 39:18	28:2 30:14 31:8	passed 85:4	93:17 100:18
45:17,19 65:6	32:6 34:19 39:3	passionate 47:21	perspectives
69:17 72:2 78:14	40:1 42:9 43:11	path 31:10 106:16	25:10
80:5 107:10	46:21 51:8 54:15	pathways 28:15	pervaded 13:3
opposed 35:18	56:8,14 57:9,19	pay 59:17 107:18	pervading 13:5
order 28:7 54:2	61:22 63:10,13	paying 7:6	ph 19:1 21:16
55:15 75:7 90:20	67:8,22 80:9	payment 72:21	44:17 48:13 55:12
101:15	85:10 91:20 92:16	peaceful 16:5	philadelphia
orders 72:21	92:21 94:7 95:14	peeling 64:19	28:22 33:10
organizations	105:4	pennsylvania 1:12	phone 4:4,9 66:5
11:1 73:19,21	participate 63:5	penny 2:6 3:6	102:2
74:17 75:1 89:19	66:2,2 97:18	people 6:7 7:9,14	phrase 97:8
90:10	106:21	8:19 9:7,7,14	physical 20:9
organize 96:17,22	participated	13:10 15:16 17:17	56:17 78:22 79:7
organized 22:3	99:21	22:4,6,8 23:10,15	pick 96:13 104:10
original 28:10		23:16 24:2,5	picking 96:12
originally 108:3	participating 75:11 97:4	25:12 28:6 29:4	piece 77:5,18
ought 64:13 93:20		29:22 30:3 36:10	95:11 106:8
96:17 104:22	participation 105:13	36:10,18 37:14	pieces 49:1
outcome 111:12	particular 9:11	38:7 41:14,17,20	pillar 48:8
112:8	10:8 44:22 46:14	41:22 42:6,12,20	pilot 33:14
outcomes 18:20	58:5 69:16 70:21	43:3 48:17 49:2	pinch 78:8
90:21	71:1 72:7 74:10	50:22 51:1,2	place 79:9 80:17
outline 67:21	77:13 95:7 102:13	60:21 61:8 62:17	81:4 91:7 96:4
outnumbered	105:13 106:10	70:3 78:19 79:1	plan 48:8 51:3
79:1	105:13 106:10	85:12 94:5 96:7	plates 19:8
outside 54:9 58:12	particularly 11:1	103:1,7,8 108:5	platform 26:3,4
75:21 109:21	26:6 32:19 38:7	people's 6:14 8:4	41:20,22 69:2
overlap 71:16	43:10 50:17 52:18	13:5 24:13	70:4,15 71:9 72:8
81:5 86:4			74:11 85:20 106:5
	87:7 91:5 97:19		

-lo4formed 10.12	01.0.7		
platforms 18:12	81:2,7	president's 14:19	proceeding 111:3
20:6 42:5,21 43:8	policymakers	press 104:20	proceedings 111:4
69:12,12,14,19,21	13:9	presumption 77:9	111:6
70:8,12,19,21	political 106:10	pretty 42:18 73:5	process 15:7 77:4
71:4,8,22 72:8,15	politics 13:4,5	previewed 29:14	80:1 81:2 82:5
73:1,9,12,14,16	poorly 10:12	prices 50:10,13,14	85:19 96:4
74:8 75:4 83:3	populace 70:8	pricing 61:20	produce 22:18
85:11 88:3 92:19	population 24:5	primary 23:14	produced 5:2
94:10,16,17 95:3	position 9:9 18:2	principles 87:10	12:16
95:11	64:5,5	priorities 13:19	product 21:3
play 8:10 15:11	positioned 105:2	prioritize 74:5	productivity
16:1,8,17 30:14	105:10	prioritizing 74:12	46:19 48:22 49:1
35:10 40:2 89:7	positions 7:12	priority 63:6	50:7 52:14 58:1
89:15	35:21	pritzker 2:6 3:6	58:14,21 59:12
played 81:16 82:4	positive 11:6	5:15,22 11:15	60:9,13
87:17,17	79:19	21:18 30:21 31:17	professional 18:21
playing 22:21	possibilities	31:19 33:19 34:14	profit 18:13
64:15 106:19	101:19 108:12	39:3,8 40:10 44:1	profound 79:15
please 99:12,19	possibility 60:7	44:4 63:8 68:2	109:6
pleasure 21:10	97:17	87:4 92:6	program 33:10,14
66:4	possible 77:11	privacy 17:15	110:3
plug 28:8	101:5,19 106:12	85:9,15 97:7,9	programs 36:16
plugged 27:20	post 24:4 32:15	private 6:7 10:21	36:19,20 37:4
plumbers 41:16	posted 4:19	11:8 13:22 14:22	38:4,11,21,22,22
41:21	potential 5:17 8:9	15:2,21 18:6 32:8	progress 11:10
plus 10:6	8:16 45:17 46:11	33:2 34:2 37:3	14:16 15:5 16:12
point 20:12 28:15	59:21 71:22 74:12	40:21 55:12 62:8	48:7 49:13 50:3
40:19 45:6,13	potentially 52:21	62:12,15,19 63:20	50:15 78:3
58:5 59:10 90:19	71:14 74:15	64:17,22 65:2	projected 6:21
94:21 96:16	power 16:6	67:6,16 76:14,22	promote 82:15
100:12 103:18	powerful 70:12	78:2 81:19 82:1	promoting 13:19
104:6 108:2 110:3	71:7,21 73:5	82:12 83:22 84:12	proof 37:13
pointed 16:12	practices 93:5	84:18 85:1 90:8	proper 81:3
46:4 54:13 57:21	pre 37:20	90:15 99:15 100:3	properly 45:10
73:17 86:9	predicated 66:7	100:7,9,12 101:13	46:15 50:12
points 9:21 37:13	premium 28:6	108:9	proportion 28:4
58:16 59:21 86:9	29:10	privilege 5:12	proposed 91:21
polaris 1:11	prepare 20:1	91:11 109:1	prosperity 9:22
policies 62:21,22	44:19	probably 76:1	12:20
66:14 80:17,20	prepared 92:22	80:18 82:21	protecting 13:21
policy 9:2 16:20	112:3	101:16	protection 75:18
18:19 61:12 62:16	preparing 32:15	problem 90:6	proud 78:12 103:1
62:20,20 67:3	preserving 62:9	proceed 94:20	prove 79:20
68:18 69:20 80:22		1	1
00.10 00.22			

proven 9:10 82:10	78:1 94:16 97:13	reality 99:2	33:21 40:11 45:11
provide 9:21 43:6	99:14 101:2	really 6:4,14 7:13	47:4,22 50:17
71:7 72:16 73:9	question's 96:12	9:6,10,18 10:10	51:7,10 52:10
74:8 81:9 85:12	questions 8:21	11:4,11 12:9,14	53:2 54:1 55:18
85:16	25:6 35:6 41:6	12:18 13:3,9,13	55:19 59:22 63:19
provided 73:1	45:8 52:17 64:21	14:4,5,7,8,9,15	67:3,21 69:7 71:6
107:2	98:3	16:1,11 17:3,6,22	72:12 78:6 83:13
provider 84:6	quick 30:21 58:16	18:4,16,17 19:6,7	96:6 103:11,12
providers 85:21	62:2	19:9 22:14,17,18	104:11 105:6
provides 107:10	quickly 74:2 79:22	26:11,18 32:4,6	107:16
providing 102:5	quite 7:13 22:5	34:8,10 35:22	recommended
106:19 109:20	28:6 53:8 54:1	37:6 39:12,18,19	89:20
proxy 61:4	55:4,15 56:6,9,12	40:18 47:12 50:4	record 111:6
public 4:15 6:4,6	57:15 61:13 68:16	50:12 57:7,22	recorded 111:4
9:20 14:22 15:2	75:12 78:1,4	61:2,11 66:16,22	reduce 77:14
33:10,11 38:5	88:12 95:14 101:3	67:7,7,14 70:2	reduced 111:5
40:20 62:12 63:20	101:15 102:17	72:7 73:8 75:12	referred 103:6
63:21 64:17 78:2	r	76:6 77:3,5,17	refers 79:6
90:14 92:14 98:4		78:1,4,10,15 79:1	refine 40:11
98:8,11,17 99:5,8	r 2:1 4:1	79:5,6 80:5,17	reflect 25:16
108:22 111:1,18	raise 12:9 37:8	81:20 82:14 84:10	reflections 95:10
publically 86:21	88:10 98:13	88:1,14,19,22	reform 103:13
109:17,19	raised 95:5	89:1,3 90:1,16	regard 80:16 85:7
pull 92:11	raising 64:21	91:21,22 97:22	101:20
pulling 78:10	ran 27:19	109:11	regulation 75:18
pulse 22:8	range 53:17 69:14	reason 42:8 59:8	regulations 10:13
pure 27:3	71:18 73:3,7,12 ranged 84:4	63:14	93:13
purpose 60:6	ranged 64.4 rapid 8:19 36:18	reasons 46:13	regulatory 62:21
101:15	36:20 46:4 53:7	64:6	81:3
purposes 84:5		receive 4:17 98:16	related 28:19
pushing 18:4		recognize 9:18	32:20 33:13 56:14
put 9:15 20:7		85:10 109:12	57:14,14 69:20
54:11 64:13 80:16		recognized 8:8	77:20 81:15 111:8
83:14 96:21		80:1 82:9 83:17	112:6
putting 48:11		recommendation	relates 15:8 16:9
puzzle 50:7		50:18 51:18 53:3	17:8 19:20 52:22
q		53:21 57:2,3,4,19	57:22 74:20 78:15
	1	57:21 64:4 65:11	81:21 87:5,8,11
_ ·		72:12 74:4,19,20	87:14 88:2,9,19
_ ·			
35:1 36:5 57:7	36:14 77:9		
	realistic 76:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100:9
60:8 61:2 64:3		18:1,19 20:2	
pushing 18:4 put 9:15 20:7 54:11 64:13 80:16 83:14 96:21 putting 48:11 puzzle 50:7 q quantify 77:20 quantifying 19:20 question 30:20,21 32:6 34:1,10,16 35:1 36:5 57:7 58:20 59:6,11	108:10 rapidly 7:3 rate 6:217:20 57:16,17 rates 51:13 reach 72:19 react 10:15 read 56:7 86:18 103:9 readable 37:2 reagan 1:10 real 29:16 35:10 36:14 77:9	recognize 9:18 85:10 109:12 recognized 8:8 80:1 82:9 83:17 recommendation 50:18 51:18 53:3 53:21 57:2,3,4,19 57:21 64:4 65:11	32:20 33:13 56:14 57:14,14 69:20 77:20 81:15 111:8 112:6 relates 15:8 16:9 17:8 19:20 52:22 57:22 74:20 78:15 81:21 87:5,8,11

[released - see]

released 97:3	requirements	road 101:14	search 59:15
relevant 48:18	77:12	robust 69:9	season 49:22
74:14	requires 39:5	role 5:18 15:11	seat 16:19
relies 106:4	63:19 89:1	16:1,8,17 32:12	second 13:21
remaining 78:6	requiring 26:12	34:1,7 35:11	51:18 54:16 57:2
83:21	26:14	38:13,20 64:16	60:15 81:14 85:8
remaley 1:5 2:3	resolve 84:1	68:5 75:20 81:6	secondary 23:14
4:2 47:5 98:10,21	respect 77:4	81:16 82:4 87:10	24:4 32:13,16
99:3,6 100:22	respond 10:15	87:17 89:7,15	secondly 49:11
101:21 110:5	100:13	93:22	seconds 99:2
remarkable 79:7	responses 100:22	roles 32:7 86:2	secretaries 15:20
109:5,12	responsibilities	rolling 38:21	secretary 2:6,13
remarks 44:12	85:22	ronald 1:10	5:14,15 9:20 10:9
47:3 58:4 81:16	responsibility	room 9:15 29:21	21:5,18 30:20
103:4 104:13	42:22	83:18 98:12	43:20 44:9 50:19
remedy 103:15	responsible 8:1	roots 38:9	54:13 58:10 66:5
remind 98:15	rest 38:19	rough 32:11,22	78:13 86:15 92:4
repeatedly 6:3	rested 24:17	round 92:3	97:2 102:8 104:13
report 4:20 5:2	restrooms 4:13	rpr 1:18	secretary's 48:7
12:1,17 14:4,20	results 93:14	ruh 9:13 78:8	81:16 101:4
14:20 19:11 20:7	retail 26:9,10	rules 101:14	section 68:14
22:13 23:18 25:1	retrain 36:9,10	run 40:14 77:11	82:19 83:7
27:8,11 32:2 41:6	reveal 35:15	running 92:13	sector 10:21 14:22
43:15,21 47:22	review 99:9	runs 37:19	15:2,2,21 18:6
51:7 88:7,9,11	revolution 6:14	S	32:8 33:2 34:3
92:16,21 94:7	78:18	s 2:1 3:1 4:1	37:3 49:4,9 55:12
95:5 97:2 103:9,9	revolutionize	safety 24:16,17	62:8,15,19 65:2
reported 1:18	103:13	41:7	67:6,16 81:19
104:20	revolutions 79:8	satellite 48:10	82:1,12 83:22
reporting 1:19	79:11	saying 19:4 39:4	84:12,18 85:1
reports 21:1	rewinding 59:7	82:5 88:6 91:10	90:8,15,15 99:15
represent 55:20	rich 12:1	says 43:3	100:3,7,9 101:13
representation	right 4:13 10:10	scale 70:14,15,16	sector's 15:1
35:14	17:11 27:1 32:1	scheduling 92:2	100:12
representing	39:20 48:1,16	school 27:17 28:13	sectors 6:7 40:21
16:20 40:2,3,3	50:14 65:2 78:18	31:9,10 32:14,16	46:7,17 51:14
58:10	80:11 83:6 92:9	32:17 33:16 38:4	secure 82:17
require 7:2,8	96:3 97:3	schools 31:13 33:6	security 13:21
28:12,13,14,16	rights 77:4	33:11,12	15:4 16:21 17:2
40:5,19 41:2 54:3	rise 59:4,13,17	science 50:9	82:8,13 88:17
100:16	61:7	scope 17:8 33:22	90:13 97:6,8,10
required 77:1	rising 17:14 88:1	scratch 96:7	97:12,13,14
91:3	risk 84:9	se 97:5	see 4:18 23:18
			25:1 27:10 28:22

[see - start]

31:4 37:14 46:6	shared 9:22 10:21	35:15 111:7	spaces 41:3 67:11
48:20 50:4 53:22	62:13 70:4 93:1	skillsets 7:8,10,15	speak 10:6 25:11
56:18 58:21 67:14	sharing 9:19 67:9	slowdown 58:21	40:14 98:12 99:11
69:17 75:13 77:17	85:6 100:11	59:12,12	speaker 3:3
82:19 84:19	shelves 19:12	slowed 60:13	103:20,22
seeing 25:14,17	shift 25:22 26:5,12	small 7:21 8:1,6	speaking 32:4
28:9	short 11:19 12:1	8:11 46:8 63:3	speaks 109:5
seemingly 70:2	22:18 23:18 92:13	69:16 72:13 73:14	special 63:15
seen 7:6 11:2	show 48:17	74:5,14 95:8	90:14
25:22 48:9 49:22	showed 27:18	104:5 107:11	specific 70:21 72:7
50:16 108:20	shown 105:14	smaller 18:13 88:3	74:10 76:17
selfless 109:19	109:3	smart 68:18	specificity 71:3
sell 8:13 41:17	shows 23:12 29:10	smith 2:7 3:7 9:12	specifics 90:20
sense 6:4,14 24:10	sic 56:16	21:7,9 22:22 23:2	spectrum 97:14
48:20 68:5 75:16	sides 29:21 58:20	27:6 30:19 35:1	speed 15:6 33:13
75:19 76:17 94:18	100:21	37:1,16 39:7 40:9	66:9
95:2,6	signature 111:15	40:13 41:10 43:13	spend 41:4 44:11
sensors 79:8	significance 108:2	smooth 76:3	48:5 60:21 96:14
series 22:4	significant 15:19	social 24:16 59:15	spending 19:8
serve 79:18	17:3 20:13 26:22	societies 75:16	spent 33:5
servers 77:13	43:7 79:18	77:7 106:20	splintered 76:16
service 19:5,9	silo 76:17	society 10:22 11:9	spoken 6:2
28:7 41:15 77:12	siloed 77:13	75:2 79:15	spot 18:11
92:4	silver 82:8	software 29:11	square 29:1,2
services 8:14	simple 49:3 50:11	49:2,7,9	staff 9:15 10:6
41:14,18 49:15	simply 20:5,12	somewhat 106:16	11:21 44:19 48:14
70:1 71:10 73:10	82:5 91:10	soon 105:11	64:3 104:10 109:3
108:6	simultaneously	sorry 30:20 91:20	stage 102:21
serving 25:13	24:15	92:1	stakeholder 15:7
session 4:6 110:7	sits 107:4	sort 25:3 27:9	82:11 85:19
110:9	sitting 9:14	30:7 31:7 32:11	stakeholders 68:7
set 19:6 33:18	six 96:14	32:22 35:3,6 41:5	69:14
40:7 45:3 57:13	size 7:21 8:1,6,11	72:9 76:11 80:15	stalking 97:8
61:19 74:17 83:13	63:3 69:17 95:8	94:17 96:12	standard 61:5,10
105:1	skill 6:19 7:2,3,14	100:11	68:9
sets 73:19	28:2,11,12,19	sorts 106:22	standardization
setting 10:12,19	29:8,9,11 30:16	sought 59:20	81:19
74:9,14	71:18	sound 107:3	standards 19:19
settings 11:1	skills 7:2 8:5,17	sounds 49:3 50:10	63:12 80:9 81:14
seven 78:22	18:8 19:22 23:8,9	soup 89:10	81:22 89:12
share 22:16 25:4	23:16 24:3,6	source 23:14	standing 15:7
62:8 64:9 100:17	28:15 29:16,18	29:19	start 21:7 25:15
100:19 101:9,15	30:3,11 32:20	space 29:3,15	27:12 31:21 49:9
	33:13 34:10,21	32:20 50:11	53:10 56:15 57:13

[start - thank]

69:6,22 94:15	stuck 20:15 42:16	synthetic 53:16	tech 28:19 36:20
started 48:12 69:3	43:2	system 32:13 36:8	50:10,13
102:16	studies 60:7 61:18	40:5 105:9	technical 29:17
starting 33:14	study 6:18,18	systems 20:9 73:8	32:16,17 58:22
state 41:1 77:6	23:11	t	59:1,4,5 98:22
statement 12:15	subject 58:18 72:1	t 3:1,1	technician 27:15
12:18	106:6	table 16:20 29:22	27:16,21
states 10:17 26:10	subjects 98:5	tackle 39:21	techniques 54:17
29:16 30:9 61:4	substance 11:14	take 19:19 20:2	technologies 14:3
75:11,11,21 90:15	succeed 18:7	21:19 22:20 36:1	23:7 51:21 52:3,5
stating 81:8	76:15 95:18	37:7 42:22 43:9	53:7 60:3,11
statistics 54:11	success 12:10 24:3	43:10 45:17 46:17	66:15 67:8
status 43:4	80:8 109:9,9	47:14 69:8,16	technology 6:17
stay 14:13 15:18	successful 25:9	72:21 73:2,20	7:7 13:2,10 19:19
105:16	70:14 71:9,10	74:6,16 92:8 94:7	26:7,21 28:5 29:3
staying 15:14	73:8 82:2	103:8,14 104:7,11	29:12 38:10 42:11
stem 32:20 38:6	successfully 14:17	103.8,14 104.7,11	46:18 53:16 56:4
step 13:7 39:19	24:6	104.19 103.10	60:9 63:12 68:4
48:15 88:8	suggest 62:4	taken 6:6 14:14	85:11 89:12 107:2
steps 14:5 15:19	suggestion 40:16	15:19 37:9 42:11	107:3
37:8	suggestions 94:20	79:8 111:3,9	ted 87:19
stolen 84:7	suite 1:11	takes 16:7 53:9,9	telecommunicat
stop 11:13 22:22	summary 27:9	talent 26:6 27:3	19:17 89:11
77:11 82:18	59:10	29:12,15 32:18	telephone 22:3
storage 73:6	summer 22:2 51:9	talk 9:4 14:17	telling 38:3
stored 77:12,15	sunlight 50:4	15:9 22:12 25:4	tempted 22:22
story 38:3	suppliers 52:4	32:1 43:15 44:2	tend 97:7
strategic 48:8	support 13:12	52:6 70:18 71:1	term 38:14 83:14
strategy 80:13,21	44:19 81:18	72:11 79:16 80:1	83:15 106:10
streamlining 81:1	supporting 14:2	80:21 82:20 106:1	terms 46:19 48:3
streams 39:22	sure 14:1 15:10,11	talked 22:6 27:11	49:14,14 54:4
65:3,4	16:2 18:22 20:15	78:17 89:14	64:17 71:19 79:1
strengthening	30:14 33:20 34:22	talking 6:9 48:6	103:12 106:9
13:14	35:8 39:20 40:6	52:12 53:1 55:16	terrific 11:15
strickling 2:13	41:9 46:21 50:20	89:8 96:14	17:21 91:22
3:12 13:16 87:17	63:8 86:17 87:18	tangible 18:19	tested 54:19
102:8,11 103:21	99:20 102:17	tangible 18.19	text 10:6
104:1,3	109:17	tax 02.20 taxonomy 66:17	thank 4:22 5:6,8
strong 88:14	surge 50:1	67:5 68:4	5:10,15,20,22 9:5
strongly 54:1 65:9	survey 60:20	team 13:16 19:22	9:12,18 10:2,8
65:13 66:11 68:17	surveys 54:20	48:14 89:16 105:5	11:15 12:1 19:4,5
101:11	60:21	105:8	19:10 20:19,21
structure 39:21	synergies 59:21		21:9 37:18 43:19
95:1	_	teams 18:22	44:8,9,15,21

[thank - true]

47:16,17,19 51:4	32:6,11 33:1,19	thoughtful 5:17	tour 22:3
51:5 65:7 68:21	33:22,22 34:17	thoughts 89:3	track 56:20 77:19
78:7 87:3 91:18	35:2,9 37:6 38:20	100:14	trade 1:10 20:11
91:22 92:6,7 99:6	39:2,4,14,17,17	threat 15:3 88:16	77:20 87:18
101:2,22 102:3,11	39:20 40:6,11,17	threats 17:10,14	traditional 28:9
102:12 105:12,13	41:14 43:7,11,17	82:13	52:16
105:15,18 108:14	44:1,11 45:13,14	three 29:6 31:2	train 20:9 22:9
109:11 110:2,4,5	46:4 47:22 49:5	42:13 54:3	30:15 32:21 36:9
110:13	50:4 52:17 53:14	thrive 18:13	36:10 42:20
thanks 5:7 10:4	53:18 54:13,14,16	throw 25:6	103:18
25:19,20 41:11	54:20 55:1,2,5,21	thrust 49:18	trained 14:1
48:12 49:12 58:9	61:15,16,18,21,22	thursday 1:6	training 8:16,19
61:14 66:1,1 99:6	63:6,9,18 64:1,3,8	tied 39:1	18:8,10 28:12
102:13 105:19	64:10,12,13,19	time 4:15 6:11 7:1	31:7 32:16 33:12
108:15	68:2,10,13,16,19	7:12 9:15 10:9	34:5 36:15,18,20
theme 6:3	71:12 72:18 75:19	11:20 19:9 23:3,8	37:4 38:18,21,22
thing 5:8 17:12	78:5,16 79:3,22	23:13 35:20 36:14	43:5,11
19:13 29:20 31:19	80:17,21 81:7	40:15 42:13 44:11	traits 107:19
40:14 41:4 42:1	82:4,9 83:16	45:16 47:14 53:10	transacted 26:3
73:6 82:10 87:12	84:13,15,16,19	54:19 60:20 64:7	transactions 52:3
98:22	85:2,18,20 86:2,6	68:14 75:13 92:14	transcriber 112:1
things 10:7 11:7	86:8,10 87:6,12	96:19 100:1 103:8	transcript 112:3
11:10 15:8 16:14	88:8,14 89:2,3	times 7:3,20 46:16	transform 93:2
25:8 34:18 36:3	90:18 91:21,21	today 4:16,19 5:3	transformation
36:22 38:2 43:17	92:3 94:22 95:2	9:4,20 42:11 47:8	6:16
45:3 47:12 52:1,7	95:14,15,17 96:4	47:11 49:22 69:6	transforming 13:2
52:20 53:5,15,22	96:7,11,16,17,18	71:1 73:1,8 78:9	transition 14:18
54:18 55:8,22	97:1 98:6 100:15	79:3,10,14 80:2	16:6 31:8 43:1
56:18 59:2,14,16	101:3 102:16,18	80:18 81:6 82:2	91:5 104:21
59:18 60:14 61:7	102:20 103:1,3,7	100:14 102:21	translating 18:19
61:15,21 62:3	103:15 104:6,19	104:20 105:11	transparency 77:3
64:2 65:8 71:5,21	104:21 105:2	108:17	transparent 76:21
72:22 78:16,21	106:2 109:5	toledo 44:17	treated 75:22
82:1 83:13 84:13	thinkers 15:21	tools 15:20 30:3	treating 76:8
86:8 87:5 92:2	thinking 31:12	57:9,11 73:7	treatment 75:15
95:6,20 98:6	35:3 37:20	top 71:11 98:16	tremendous 5:1
100:5 106:22	third 13:22 49:19	107:4 108:13	6:16 36:13 93:22
107:4 108:5	52:9 55:4 69:11	topic 69:21 72:4	trend 26:22
think 9:21 10:9	78:14 80:5 82:7	74:21	trends 65:14 67:1
11:10,13 12:14	90:8	topics 69:10,20	67:14
13:1 16:22 17:7	thorough 97:3	96:10	tried 34:4 41:13
17:17,20 18:4,10	thought 25:6	totally 96:9	54:19
21:21 23:2,5 25:3	41:16 48:5 89:4	touches 20:12	true 33:3,3,3
25:8 30:7,14 31:6		42:18,18,19	38:17 73:6 85:19

[true - we've]

111:6	underneath 106:8	61:4 75:11,11,21	voice 10:4
try 11:4 51:12,16	underscore 62:3,6	universal 27:7	voluntary 91:3
51:19 52:7,10,14	underscored	30:5	volunteer 91:15
57:22 60:8 61:13	12:18	universities 33:7	W
61:17 64:10 85:4	understand 5:18	unlock 9:22	wages 46:20 52:22
85:6 87:22 95:13	9:1 35:12 45:5	unnerving 88:19	wait 72:8
100:4	48:3 50:13 51:12	88:22	wake 85:5
trying 10:15,15	51:16,19 52:7,10	untrue 75:20	wall 27:19
45:4 53:4,13	52:20 53:4 57:16	unusual 109:18	want 4:3,11,16,22
63:13 67:13 83:12	57:17,22 66:17	upended 6:14	5:14 9:5,12 14:16
turn 7:10 21:7	67:1 74:6 76:22	17:15	16:15 19:13 35:4
30:19 43:17 44:5	77:1,20 90:4	upscaling 42:22	40:11,13,15 41:8
68:22 78:5 86:22	100:20 101:7,18	uptake 88:4	43:20 44:21 46:21
102:7	understandable	urgent 84:20	65:18 83:17 85:17
tv 27:21	37:3	usage 60:2	
two 5:4 7:2,19	understanding	use 52:6 53:15	86:16,22 90:17 94:10 98:15
12:4 22:20 42:13	5:16 6:5 7:22	54:20,21 60:7,8	
58:15 68:10 69:7	10:21 11:5 35:15	60:20 65:5 70:3	105:12,20 108:16
69:8 70:22 88:12	57:10 62:11 67:7	72:16 73:13,16	108:19 109:11,17
type 26:5 72:8	70:4 75:2 76:9	77:15 88:2 97:7,8	wanted 22:16
80:6	100:6 103:13	useful 87:12	37:17 46:14 47:10
types 100:13	109:7	users 55:13 85:21	62:1,2,6 65:10
typewriting 111:5	understandings	uses 73:12	78:15 79:4 83:19 86:10
typically 23:13	10:14	v	wants 82:20
56:3	understood 10:12	valuable 101:10	
u	16:3 17:4 20:16	value 59:2,16 62:9	washington 1:13
u.s. 2:6,10,15	70:7 109:18	75:4 94:4	watch 27:9
16:18 45:15,20	undertaking	varies 73:18	
54:7 61:5 80:13	87:21	various 18:3,9	watching 4:17,21 98:18
uber 42:4	underway 78:18	25:13 35:11 39:22	waterfront 95:4
ubiquitous 79:12	78:21 79:14 80:2	39:22 73:6 75:14	way 9:17 11:4
uh 31:17	uneasy 6:8	86:4	25:3 32:11 33:1
ultimately 29:8	uneven 52:19	ventures 63:15	35:18 44:11 45:4
30:10 65:16	unevenly 10:11	vettures 03.13	49:10 57:11,13
	12:13 46:5	vets 30.22 vibrant 65:6	65:13,15 67:13
unable 64:7,9 unbridled 12:6	unfettered 49:17	video 22:18,20,21	75:15 77:14 90:16
	unfold 25:15		
uncertainty 8:4	unfortunately	23:2 27:9 29:14	95:10 101:8,9
underappreciated	43:2	36:12 38:3	108:4
30:7 31:14	unidentified	view 23:3 32:3	ways 22:9 23:10
underestimate	103:20,22	77:22 82:14 94:22	23:16,17 24:17
16:7	uniformly 74:6	98:18 100:11,12	25:13 63:2 81:1
underlies 107:4	united 10:17	vital 79:5 80:8	90:9 95:6 106:2
underlying 106:11	26:10 29:15 30:9	82:14 83:21 85:19	we've 6:9 8:16,21
107:2 108:6		91:13	14:13,16 15:5,19

Page 24

[we've - zoe's]

16:12 20:22 25:22	32:3 33:5 34:21	workmen 42:5
27:10 34:4 36:3	36:14,16,21 37:3	works 42:14
41:13,19,21 42:1	38:5 39:16,21	workshop 48:22
42:15 43:14 45:10	41:20 42:3,4,6,8	world 13:10 16:7
45:12 48:6,13	42:13 44:10,14,20	16:19 27:8 45:16
49:11,13,22 50:3	45:8 46:2 50:2	52:18 61:6 78:16
50:8,16,20 51:8	51:8 53:1 54:5,10	88:1
56:7 68:14 85:2	55:16 57:5,10,14	worse 58:13 61:10
96:1 98:5	68:20 71:17 77:5	61:10
webcast 4:18	78:11 82:10 83:22	worth 55:19 64:19
98:18 99:1	87:8 89:19 90:6	70:9 72:3
website 4:18,20	91:11 93:13 95:1	written 24:9 98:17
98:19	95:5 96:22 102:14	102:5
week 33:9 42:7,7	102:22 103:8	wrote 12:12
42:13 48:22	104:7,9,12,17	y
weekends 109:20	105:11 109:1,16	yawning 6:4
weeks 22:17 88:12	109:21	yeah 31:18 32:1
welcome 4:8 11:17	worked 34:8,10	35:1 37:16 40:9
84:20 92:19 102:4	44:19 47:13	65:20 83:8,10
wellbeing 15:16	108:17 109:2	year 20:20 22:1
white 16:10 40:8	workers 20:1 22:9	28:16 30:18 50:5
54:14 90:1,6	31:2 46:19 57:8	64:10,11 84:4
104:16	workforce 14:1	102:15,18 105:17
whoever's 97:10	20:3 24:12 29:4	years 5:20 26:2
wide 46:11 71:11	30:15 31:7 35:21	29:6 31:2 41:13
71:12	36:6 37:10 57:10	41:19 78:22
wild 71:13	57:18 103:14	107:21,22 108:19
willing 22:12	working 5:12 6:13	yesterday 104:21
willman 112:2,13	9:11 10:5 17:18	yesterday's 84:5
wind 58:12	21:1,2,6,11,13	young 24:2
wonderful 42:21	23:10,13,15 33:5	younger 31:22
44:18 78:10	33:13 36:2,4,17	37:21
wondering 100:10	43:22 44:14,16	
word 70:3 90:4	45:3 46:13 47:4	Z
97:7	47:11 50:16 51:1	zoe 2:4 3:4,14 5:5
words 12:12 22:13	53:1 54:2 58:3,19	5:22 10:4 11:4,20
72:16	64:2 67:6 69:2,5	12:3,22 18:15
work 5:1,13 7:6	69:11 70:7 73:17	21:13 35:4 44:8
9:6,9 13:11 17:16	75:19 82:6,12	46:4 62:1 71:13
18:6 19:3,14,15	84:17 86:22 90:10	72:13 102:11
20:3,7,12,17,22	92:18,22 95:18	103:3 110:5
21:12 23:6 24:21	101:6 105:6,9	zoe's 22:17
25:11,12,15,17,20	106:7 107:15	
26:1,21 27:8 31:2	109:22	
	C : ID	rtingCompany.com