	SL	IGP 2.0 Grant Closeout Repor	t		2. Award or		
					4. EIN:		
1. Recipient Name	Colorado Department of Public Safety						
3. Street Address	9195 East Mineral Avenue, Suite 200 (Main Office) 8000 South Chester Street, Suite 575 (Mailing Address-Office of Grants Management)						
5. City, State, Zip Code	Centennial, CO 80112				8. Final Rep Yes ☑ No □		
9. Project/Grant Period				10 Decembed for			
9a. Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/01/2018 9b. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/31/2021		10. Reserved for Reviewer			
11. Program Activities 11a. Identify the activities you performed	during SLIGP2.0 grant period of performance						
	Activity Type (Planning, Governance Meetings, etc.)	Was this Activity Performed during the grant period? (Yes/No)	Total Project Deliverable Quantity (Number)	Description of Activity Deliverable Qua			
1	Governance Meetings	Yes	19	Cumulative number of governance, subcommittee, or working group meeti grant period			
2	Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences	Yes	21	Cumulative number of individuals sent to national or regional third-party of related to the NPSBN using SLIGP 2.0 grant funds during the grant period			
3	Convened Stakeholder Events	No	0	Cumulative number of by FirstNet.	vents coordinated or held using SLIGP 2.0 grant fund		
4	Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE)	Yes	1.37	Cumulative number period (may be a dec	er of state/territory personnel FTEs who began supporting lecimal).		
5	Contracts Executed	Yes	3	Cumulative number of contracts executed during the grant period.			
6	Subrecipient Agreements Executed	No	0	Cumulative number of agreements executed during the grant period.			
7	Data Sharing Policies/Agreements Developed	Yes		Yes or No if data sharing policies and/or agreements were developed during			
8	Further Identification of Potential Public Safety Users	No		Yes or No if further io	dentification of potential public safety users occurred du		
0	Plans for Emergency Communications Technology Transitions	No		Yes or No if plans for	r future emergency communications technology transitio		
10	Identified and Planned to Transition PS Apps & Databases	No		Yes or No if public sa were developed duri	afety applications or databases within the State or territo ing the grant period		
11	Identify Ongoing Coverage Gaps	Yes		Yes or No if participa	ated in identifying ongoing coverage gaps using SLIGP 2.		
12	Data Collection Activities	No		Yes or No if participa	ated in data collection activities as requested by FirstNet		

OMB Control No. 0660-0044 Expiration Date: 10/31/2022

d or Grant Number:						
	84-0644739					
rt Date D/YYYY)	06/25/2021					
rting Period End /IM/DD/YYYY)	03/31/2021					
Report						
\checkmark						
Quantity						
	NPSBN held during the					
etings related to the	NPSBN held during the focus or training track					
etings related to the v conferences with a j						

ring the grant period.

d during the grant period.

sitions occurred during the grant period.

rritory were identified and transition plans

P 2.0 funds during the grant period.

Net

11b. Please provide a description of each activity reported in response to Question 11; any challenges or obstacles encountered and mitigation strategies you employed; and any additional project milestones or information.

1. Governance Meetings: The Colorado Public Safety Broadband Governing Body

May 2018 discuss the approach to the SLIGP 2.0 funded projects.

August 2018 update on the SLIGP 2.0 funded projects and the possibility of bringing public safety broadband governance under the purview of the Public Safety Communications Subcommittee eventually. October 2018 discuss with FirstNet and AT&T the concerns state in the FCC filing of July 2018 regarding interoperability between networks (attended by governing board members & local stakeholders). November 2018 update on the SLIGP 2.0 funded projects and the possibility of bringing public safety broadband governance under the purview of the Public Safety Communications Subcommittee eventually. February 2019 update on the SLIGP 2.0 funded projects and the possibility of bringing public safety broadband governance under the purview of the Public Safety Communications Subcommittee eventually. February 2019 update on the SLIGP 2.0 funded projects and the possibility of bringing public safety broadband governance under the purview of the Public Safety Communications Subcommittee eventually. The body put a motion to vote and has decided to sunset the burview of the Public Safety Communications Subcommittee eventually.

Q7: participation in a bi-annual operational review from AT&T and FirstNet to the Public Safety Communications Subcommittee (PSCS). The presentation included stakeholders from all across the state of Colorado including Coverage Gap Analysis participants. The CBO also participated in two additional governance meetings with PSCS and several updates with the Consolidated Communications Network of Colorado (CCNC), and the Homeland Security Advisory Council (HSAC) per request. Q8: The Outreach and Education Manager working with Tracey Murdock at FirstNet to set up another bi-annual operational review for stakeholders and the PSCS in Q1 or Q2 2020. The Outreach and Education Manager is also working with Tracey Murdock and Kim Coleman-Madsen to schedule a couple of tours of the Innovation Center in Boulder for interested stakeholders. The Outreach and Education manager continues to attend and update the Public Safety Communications Subcommittee once a month The Public Safety Communications Subcommittee is the group that is acting as the governing body for the Colorado Broadband Office in regards to the National Public Safety Broadband Network.

Q9: The CBO held three governance stakeholder meetings with the Public Safety Communications Subcommittee in this quarter. All other activities and meetings planned for 2020 have been cancelled due to the COVID-19 pandemic crisis.

2. Conferences:

Mountain Connect Broadband Conference June 2018: Three CBO employees & 7 local stakeholders for various public safety broadband related sessions.

The Colorado Broadband Office (CBO) Chief Operating Officer (COO) and the Project Manager attended the APCO national conference in Orlando 2018.

The Outreach and Education Manager went to IWCE in Las Vegas 2019.

The Outreach and Education Manager and the Project Manager attended the Mountain Connect conference in June 2019.

Project Manager went to the Public Safety Communications Subcommittee Radio Summit in May 2019.

John Gavan (Commissioner from the Public Utilities Commission), Daryl Branson from the Public Utilities Commission, and Jeffrey Irvin from the Jefferson County Emergency Communication Authority, along with the Project Manager and Outreach and Education Manager attended the Mountain Connect Broadband Conference in June 2019. The CBO also sent the SPOC, and the Outreach and Education Manager to an additional class offered at the Mountain Connect for the newest trends in Broadband and how it will affect Public Safety in the future.

3. Stakeholder events:

None.

4. Staff Hired:

Third Quarter: Anthony Neal-Graves, the Executive Director of the Colorado Broadband Office will be designated as the SPOC.

Q4: DHSEM Grant Manager took a new position; DHSEM Grant Specialist made Acting-Manager and performing both roles of Manager & Specialist for the grant.

Q6: GOIT Broadband Implementation Mgr leaves GOIT for FirstNet (Kim Coleman-Madsen); Sarah Smith assuming responsibilities for the grant.

Q7: DHSEM Acting Manager & Specialist for the SLIGP 2.0 grant promoted to DHSEM Grants & Agreements Manager August 2019. Will not replace the Grant Specialist position due to the grant period of performance ending in the coming months, and staff shortage in the Division.

5. Contracts:

Second quarter: the team met with one vendor to understand their offering in support of coverage gaps assessment; no technology solution has been selected yet. Developing an approach to report during the third quarter. Wrote and published 3 documented quotes (DQ) to assist with coverage assessment. The first DQ was for a vendor to provide a handheld/smartphone Band 14 capable device(s) equipped with an application to assess the AT&T network in areas where gaps in coverage are a concern. The second DQ was for a vendor to provide an in-vehicle router Band 14 capable device(s) equipped with an application to assess the AT&T network in areas where gaps to assist the CBO team in aggregating and analyzing the previously mentioned data, as well as data on AT&T network performance which is gathered by other state agencies with their existing equipment. Q9: CBO has completed the work associated with the procurement process through GOIT for the crowd-sourced AT&T data.

Please see attached page for further detailed information under this section.

OMB Control No. 0660-0044 Expiration Date: 10/31/2022

11c. Did you perform activities during the last quarter of the grant that haven't been reported previously (i.e., new programmatic activities, staffing changes)? If so, please describe.

No. All activities under this grant program were performed and reported in previously submitted reports. All activities for the grant ended on December 31, 2020 by GOIT. DHSEM conducted reporting coordination

11d. Please share any lessons learned or best practices that your organization implemented during your SLIGP 2.0 project.

There were none to report.

Both State Agencies worked collaboratively under this grant program, and fostered relationships that may not have connected us before. Both agencies followed up to the SLIGP 1.0 grant as best as possible, throu administrations, and learned that complex project programs need highly focused, detailed, and experienced individuals to not only handle the project stages, but also to relay information to those without the full protocol are grateful to the support and assistance provided by our Program Manager: Mike Dame.

There is still more ground to cover, and more improvements to make, but like all grant programs, the restrictions of allowable activities made finishing off this grant a little difficult. And the final year consuming th the process either.

12. Personnel					-			
12a. Staffing Table - Please include all sta	aff that contributed ti	me to the project with ut	ilization. Please only include	government staff em	ployed by the state/te	erritory NOT contracto	rs.	
Job Title	FTE%				Project (s	s) Assigned		
DHSEM Grant Manager	15%					es December 2018.		
DHSEM Grant Specialist	15%	Grant Specialist support	ing the responsibilities of grar	nt management and co	ompliance between Sta	ate Agencies and Progr	ram and Fiscal.	
GOIT Grant Accountant	20%	Grant Accounting responsibilities of the grant.						
GOIT Outreach & Education Mgr	30%	Grant support of allowable activities in daily interaction with stakeholders.						
GOIT Project Mgr	33%	Grant support of allowable activities of logistical efforts and data.						
GOIT Broadband Implementation Mgr	24%	Grant support of allowable activities with the SPOC and Statewide governing body						
13. Contractual (Contract and/or Subreci	nionta)							
13a. Contractual (Contract and/or Subreci 13a. Contractual Table – Include all contr		m this table should equa	the "Contractual" in Questic	on 14f				
Name		ract Purpose	Type (Contract/Subrec.)	RFP/RFQ Issued	Contract Executed	Start Date	End Date	Total F
	· · ·			(Y/N)	(Y/N)			A
Signals Analytics (Professional Services)	Coverage gap data collection		Contract	Y	Y	10/15/2018	3/31/2019	
Solutelia (Handheld Solution)	Coverage gap data collection		Contract	Y	Y	10/16/2018	12/31/2019	
Wiresless Advanced Communications	Coverage gap data collection		Contract	Y	Y	11/1/2018	12/31/2019	
(Mobile Solution)			contract	•	•	11/1/2010	12/51/2015	
DQ for third-party AT&T crowd-sourced	Coverage gap data collection		Contract	Y	Y	1/1/2020	12/31/2020	
data	coverage gap data et	Sheetion	contract			1/ 1/ 2020	12/ 51/ 2020	
Total Funds Allocated to Contracts								\$14
14. Budget Worksheet								
Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your proj	ect budget for the ent	tire award and your final	SF 424A. Columns 5, 6, and 7	should list your final	budget figures, cumu	lative through the last		
	Federal Funds Awarded (2)		Approved Matching Funds	Total Budget (4)		Final Federal Funds	Final Approved	
Project Budget Element (1)			(3)			Expended (5)	Matching Funds	
		.,					Expended (6)	
a. Personnel Salaries		\$72,802.00		\$206,452.00		\$71,312.40	\$61,334.54	
b. Personnel Fringe Benefits		\$24,024.00	. ,	\$65,374.00		\$21,664.15	\$20,240.30	
c. Travel		\$47,548.00		\$47,548.00		\$25,283.26	\$0.00	
d. Equipment		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	
e. Materials/Supplies		\$14,000.00		\$14,000.00		\$2,109.26	\$0.00	
f. Contractual		\$447,626.00		\$447,626.00		\$146,823.32	\$0.00	
g. Other		\$94,000.00		\$94,000.00		\$3,125.09	\$0.00	L
h. Indirect		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	
i. Total Costs		\$700,000.00		\$875,000.00		\$270,317.48	\$81,574.84	
j. Proportionality Percent		80%	20%	10	00%	77%	23%	

OMB Control No. 0660-0044 Expiration Date: 10/31/2022

on and final reimbu	rsement to GOIT only.					
ugh changing directions and initiatives, and picture. Both agencies in the State of						
he world in a pandemic crisis did not aid in						
Federal Funds	Total Matching Funds					
Allocated	Allocated					
22,200.00	-					
43,270.12	-					
31,353.20	-					
50,000.00						
50,000.00						
146,823.32	\$0.00					
Final Total Funds Expended (7)						
\$132,646.94						
\$41,904.45						
\$25,283.26						
\$0.00 \$2.100.26						
\$2,109.26 \$146,823.32						
\$146,823.32 \$3,125.09						
\$0.00						
\$351,892.32						
100%						

15. Additional Questions: Read each statement below. Rate yo	ur level of agreement or	disagreement with each statement and answer fo	llow-up questions to provide additional info	ormation.	
Statement	Agree/Disagree	Additional Questions		Response	
15a. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in planning for the integration with the NPSBN.	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	It was helpful to have funds to allow the public safety community to do some testing to find the areas they we concerned about.		
15b. I plan to continue any SLIGP 2.0 program activities beyond the SLIGP 2.0 period of performance.	2-Disagree	What do you plan to accomplish after the period of performance?	d The State of Colorado continues to work with public safety, First Responder Network Authority, and FirstNet by AT&T.		
15c. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in informing my stakeholders about FirstNet.	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Quarterly conference calls between all three agencies working this project. This alignment on a quarterly basi provided a collaboration platform with feedback and suggestions based on the content of the meeting. The st of those quarterly meetings remaining consistent meant less surprises, and more prepared information sharin Additionally helpful is the availability of the NTIA program manager to answer questions when we did encount issues or uncertainties during the project. Challenges: the constraints for which the program would reimburse limited the scope Colorado could execute; combination with a change in CO's Office of Information Technology (OIT) administration and Governor, and the focus of priorities for the OIT office changing just prior to the grant ending. Not to mention losing an OIT empl FirstNet directly, but we are relieved she is still involved in the project! DHSEM also experienced changes in F1 which delayed some responses or reporting accuracy prior to submission.		
Statement	Agree/Disagree	Additional Questions	Response		
15d. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in maintaining a governance structure for broadband in my state/territory.	2-Disagree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	We disbanded our governing body at the e	end of SLIGP 1.0	
15e. SLIGP 2.0 funds provided resources that were helpful in preparing for FirstNet planning activities in my state/territory (e.g. staffing, attending broadband conferences, participating in training, procuring contract support etc.).	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	The funds did allow for members of our team and of the public safety community to attend a few conferences the were useful.		
15f. Overall, SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in preparing for FirstNet.	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Overall, the SLIGP 2.0 funds followed up from SLIGP 1.0 efforts and further supported the understanding of the communications limitations throughout the State, and the topical challenges to coverage due to the vast differences of terrain in the State. We've learned how to better focus communicational efforts to support first responders during events, and work towards closing the capability gaps identified. Thank you for the opportunity to enhance the communication network, and the platform under which to build communications.		
16. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and beli		ect and complete for performance of activities for	the purpose(s) set forth in the award docur	ments.	
16a. Typed or printed name and title of Authorized Certifying C				16c. Telephone:	(303) 239-4183
Larisa Cannon, DHSEM Grants & Agreements Manager 16b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official:			16d. Email Address:	Larisa.Cannon@state.co.us	
Jan Jan Can				16e. Date:	07.30.2021

Public Burden Statement: According to the Paperwork Reduction Act, as amended, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 25 hours per response. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Natalie Romanoff, Program Director, State and Local Implementation Grant Program, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 4078, Washington, DC 20230.

OMB Control No. 0660-0044 Expiration Date: 10/31/2022