U.S. Department of Commerce State and Local Implementation Grant Program Close Out Report					2. Award or Grant Number:	09-10-S13009
					4. EIN:	66000798
1. Recipient Name	Connecticut Department of E	mergency Services and Pu	blic Protection		6. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY):	4/2/2018
3. Street Address	1111 Country Club Road		×		7. Reporting Period End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	3/31/2018
5. City, State, Zip Code	Middletown, CT 06457				2 2	
10a. Project/Grant Period						
Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	7/1/2013	10b. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	2/28/2018			
Part A: Metrics - Final PPR Mile	stone Data (cumulative throu	igh the last quarter)	·	2		
	Building SCIP Undate	Project Deliverable Quantity (Number & Indicator Description)		Description of Milestone Category		
1	Stakeholders Engaged	3045	Actual number of individuals reached via stakeho	older meetings during the period of performance		
2	Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences	45	Actual number of individuals who were sent to th	hird-party broadband conferences using SLIGP grant funds	during the period of p	performance
.3	Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE)	0.4	Actual number of state personnel FTEs who bega	in supporting SLIGP activities during the period of perform	ance (may be a decim	al)
4	Contracts Executed	1	Actual number of contracts executed during the	period of performance		
5	Governance Meetings	44		working group meetings held during the period of perform		
6	Education and Outreach Materials Distributed	5216	Actual volume of materials distributed (inclusive during the period of performance	of paper and electronic materials) plus hits to any website	e or social media acco	unt supported by SLIGP
7	Subrecipient Agreements Executed	0	Actual number of agreements executed during th	he period of performance		
8	Phase 2 - Coverage	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet				
9	Phase 2 – Users and Their Operational Areas	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet	Please choose the option that best describes the	data you provided to FirstNet in each category during the	period of performance	2:
10	Phase 2 – Capacity Planning	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet	 Not Complete Partial Dataset Submitted to FirstNet 			
11	Phase 2 – Current Providers/Procurement	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet	 Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet 			
12	Phase 2 – State Plan	Complete Dataset				
	Decision	Submitted to FirstNet				and the second second
Part B: Narrative						1
Milestone Data Narrative: Pleas	se Describe in detail the types	s of milestone activities yo	our SLIGP grant funded (Please reference each pro	ject type you engaged in. Example: Governance Meetings,	Stakeholders Engage	d)

The State and Local Implementation Grant Program (SLIGP) funding supported the following activities; vendor support for data collection and submission to FirstNet, meeting support, outreach coordination. Outreach occurred at various professional events including the Connecticut Chiefs of Police Association Annual Expo , The Connecticut Emergency Medical Services Expo, The New England Fire Chiefs Conference held in Connecticut, several Regional Emergency Planning Team (REPT) meetings, Connecticut Fire Chiefs Association meeting, and State of Connecticut Agencies including the Department of Transportation and the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection. The Statewide Interoperable Executive Committee (SIEC) was designated as the Governance Group for this project. The SEIC established the Public Safety Broadband Working Group with a diverse membership of subject matter experts including technical staff and members from the first responder community.

Please describe in detail any SLIGP program priority areas (education and outreach, governance, etc.) that you plan to continue beyond the SLIGP period of performance.

The State of Connecticut plans are to continue following the same governance structure as established under SLIGP 1.0 (SIEC/Public Safety Broadband Working Group), provide coverage gap information to A.T. & T./FirstNet, have A.T.&T./FirstNet services available on the State procurement contract for use by State agencies and local units of governments, and continue with data collection and outreach as requested by FirstNet.

Data collection represives Blance describe in datallithe state	of your CLICD fund-d day	a collection activities						
Data collection narrative: Please describe in detail the status	s of your SLIGP funded dat	a collection activities						
The State of Connecticut completed and submitted data whic	h included potential users	public venues, popula	ation density, calls for servic	e (Fire/EMS-L	aw Enforcement DI	EP Spill response data) ma	ior roadwavs and wate	wavs, and critical
The State of Connecticut completed and submitted data which included potential users, public venues, population density, calls for service (Fire/EMS-Law Enforcement DEEP Spill response data) major roadways and waterways, and critical infrastructure locations (hospitals, public safety buildings). All tasks completed.								
Please describe in detail any data collection activities you pl	an to continue beyond the	SLIGP period of perfe	ormance.					
		1						
Data collection will continue as requested by FirstNet and we	will also track connecticut	user adoption.						
Lessons Learned: Please share any lessons learned or best p	ractices that your organiza	tion implemented du	ring your SLIGP project					
Lessons Learned. Hease share any ressons rearned or best p	ractices that your organize	and implemented do	ang you shot project.					
Part C: Staffing								
rat c. stannig								
Staffing Table - Please provide a summary of all positions fu	nded by SLIGP.							
Name		FTE%	Project(s) Assigned					Change
SWIC	×	25	25 Coordinate activities, administrative Management, attend conferences, PSBN meetings					
Director of Unified Communications		10	10 Lead PSBB Working Group activities					
Telecommunications Engineer 3	5	Participate in PSBB workgr	oup meetings	s and planning activi	ities			
Part D: Contracts and Funding								
Subcontracts Table – Include all subcontractors engaged dur	ing the period of perform	ance. The totals from	this table must equal the "	Subcontracts	Total" in your Bud	get Worksheet	1	
Name	Subcontract Purpose			Type (Vendor/Subrec.)	RFP/RFQ Issued (Y/N)	Total Federal Funds Allocated	Total Matching Funds Allocated	
Applied Geographics (APPGEO)	Meeting/Outreach Support - data collection			Vendor	No- State Contract Holder	\$377,550.12	\$0.00	
Budget Worksheet		CE 4244 Column 5 C	and 7 should list up	hudent fi		unde the last successor		
Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your project budget for the e	ntire award and your final	SF 424A. Columns 5, 6	, and 7 should list your final	budget tigur	es, cumulative throu	ign the last quarter	Final Approved	
Project Budget Element (1)	Federal Funds A	Awarded (2)	Approved Matching Funds (3) Total		l Budget (4)	Final Federal Funds Expended (5)	Matching Funds Expended (6)	Final Total funds Expended (7)
a. Personnel Salaries	\$0.00		\$94,705.00	\$94,705.00		\$0.00	\$99,117.62	\$99,117.62
b. Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0.00		\$68,683.00	\$68,683.00		\$0.00	\$79,057.07	\$79,057.07
c. Travel	\$59,200.00			\$59,200.00		\$23,014.75		\$23,014.75
d. Equipment	\$0.00			\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
e. Materials/Supplies	\$7,300.00			\$7,300.00		\$3,821.94		\$3,821.94
f. Subcontracts Total	\$645,000.00			\$645,000.00		\$377,550.12		\$377,550.12
g. Other	\$3,600.00		\$15,387.00	\$18,987.00		\$3,107.00		\$3,107.00
Indirect	\$0.00			\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
h. Total Costs	\$715,100.00		\$178,775.00	\$893,875.00		\$407,493.81	\$178,174.69	\$585,668.50
i. % of Total	80%		20%	100%		70%	30%	100%

Part E: Additional Questions: E	lease select the option (Strop	ngly Disagree, Disagree, Neutral, Agree, Somewhat Agree, Strongly Agree)	that hast suits your answer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Overall, were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing for FirstNet?	Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Narrative. The funding for outs	ur answer. unding for outside vendor support for data collection and outreach. State staffing was ısing the vendors to fill the gaps was very helpful.		
Were SLIGP funds helpful in planning for your FirstNet consultation?	Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?		The funding opportunity enticed the State to build a structure to support the data collection, and collaboration at a higher level than would have been possible without it.		
Were SLIGP funds helpful in informing your stakeholders about FirstNet?	Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?		rrative. The funding help support outreach by allowing the State to obtain booth space at several firs ponder trade shows, which reached a large amount of stakeholders all at one time.		
Were SLIGP funds helpful in developing a governance structure for broadband in your state?	Neutral	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Contra de la contr	Governance Structure (SIEC) was already in place, adding the Public Safety Broadband consisting of various technical subject matter experts and first responders was very		
Were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing your staff for FirstNet activities in your state (e.g. attending broadband conferences, participating in training, purchasing software, procuring contract support etc.)?	Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Narrative. The SLIGP funded tro Public Safety Communications		nembers to attend SPOC meetings and the	
Were SLIGP funds helpful in updating your Statewide Communications Interoperability Plan?	Neutral	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Narrative. SLIGP funds did not support the SCIP plan revision. This was completed using an Office of Emergency Communications Technical Assistance and work from our public safety staff members.			
Were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing for your review of the FirstNet developed State Plan?	Neutral	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Narrative. No costs were incurred regarding the State Plan review.			
Were SLIGP funds helpful in conducting FirstNet determined data collection?	Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Narrative. The use of an outsid helpful.	rative. The use of an outside vendor and data collection website funded by SLIGP funds was most ful.		
Dout F. Contifications Locatif.	the best of my knowledges	ad ballef that this second is complete for parformers of a stud	tion for the numerals) act forth	in the owend decomposite		
Part F: Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose(s) set forth Typed or printed name and title of Authorized Certifying Official:					860-685-8541	
William J. Hackett, Deputy Commisoner, Connecticut Division of Emergency Management and Homeland Security- CT SPOC, CT SWIC					William.J.Hackett@ct.gov	
	Men DAn	æ.	Date: 3/28/18			
00	0.					