SLIGP 2.0 Grant Closeout Report				**2. Award or Grant Number:**	26-10-S18026
				4. EIN:	38-6000134
1. Recipient Name	Michigan Department of State Police			**6. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY)**	05/11/2021
3. Street Address	7150 Harris Drive			**7. Reporting Period End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)**	03/31/2021
5. City, State, Zip Code	Dimondale, MI 48821			**8. Final Report** Yes ☑ No ☐	

9. Project/Grant Period				**10. Reserved for Reviewer**	
9a. Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/01/2018	**9b. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)**	03/31/2021		

11. Program Activities

11a. Identify the activities you performed during SLIGP2.0 grant period of performance

	Activity Type (Planning, Governance Meetings, etc.)	Was this Activity Performed during the grant period? (Yes/No)	Total Project Deliverable Quantity (Number)	Description of Activity Deliverable Quantity
1	Governance Meetings	Yes	44	*Cumulative number of governance, subcommittee, or working group meetings related to the NPSBN held during the grant period*
2	Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences	Yes	16	*Cumulative number of individuals sent to national or regional third-party conferences with a focus or training track related to the NPSBN using SLIGP 2.0 grant funds during the grant period*
3	Convened Stakeholder Events	Yes	2	*Cumulative number of events coordinated or held using SLIGP 2.0 grant funds during the grant period, as requested by FirstNet.*
4	Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE)	No	0	*Cumulative number of state/territory personnel FTEs who began supporting SLIGP 2.0 activities during the grant period (may be a decimal).*
5	Contracts Executed	Yes	2	*Cumulative number of contracts executed during the grant period.*
6	Subrecipient Agreements Executed	Yes	1	*Cumulative number of agreements executed during the grant period.*
7	Data Sharing Policies/Agreements Developed	No		*Yes or No if data sharing policies and/or agreements were developed during the grant period.*
8	Further Identification of Potential Public Safety Users	No		*Yes or No if further identification of potential public safety users occurred during the grant period.*
9	Plans for Emergency Communications Technology Transitions	Yes		*Yes or No if plans for future emergency communications technology transitions occurred during the grant period.*
10	Identified and Planned to Transition PS Apps & Databases	No		*Yes or No if public safety applications or databases within the State or territory were identified and transition plans were developed during the grant period*
11	Identify Ongoing Coverage Gaps	Yes		*Yes or No if participated in identifying ongoing coverage gaps using SLIGP 2.0 funds during the grant period.*
12	Data Collection Activities	No		*Yes or No if participated in data collection activities as requested by FirstNet*

11b. Please provide a description of each activity reported in response to Question 11; any challenges or obstacles encountered and mitigation strategies you employed; and any additional project milestones or information.

11a (1) Conducted monthly Michigan Public Safety Broadband Workgroup meetings, quarterly FirstNet and State of Michigan leadership meetings, and participated in quarterly Michigan Public Safety Interoperability Board meetings

11a (2) Participated in various national public safety conferences and FirstNet SPOC meetings

11a (3) Co-hosted Michigan's Public Safety Interoperable Communications conference in 2018 and 2019, which included public safety broadband outreach tracts.

11a (5) BearCom - SME support for Communication Center Integration Planning report; NetMotion - software licenses and maintenance for the Identify and Document Coverage Gap initiative

11a (6) Dept of Technology, Managment, and Budget - personnel in support of grant related activities and server hosting fees for the Identify and Document Coverage Gap initiative

11a (9) Drafted "Planning Considerations for Interfacing Emergency Communication Centers with FirstNet" planning report.

11a (11) Working with partners, collected network coverage data to compare actual coverage against reported coverage and buildout as proposed in the FirstNet State Plan

11c. Did you perform activities during the last quarter of the grant that haven't been reported previously (i.e., new programmatic activities, staffing changes)? If so, please describe.
No, Q13 activities were dedicated to governance activities

11d. Please share any lessons learned or best practices that your organization implemented during your SLIGP 2.0 project.
We experienced a late start in our Identify and Doucment Coverage Gap initiative. We had planned to partner with two state agencies that trave; statewide to collect the data, however they decided against participating in the middle of the planning stage and we had to reach out to local agencies to assist us with the data gathering.   This put us behind schedule and we were not able to collect data across the entire state. The information we gathered was very valuable for the state in verifying actual coverage against FirstNet-reported coverage, and partner agencies were able to use the collected information in determining whether the coverage would support transitioning to FirstNet or to negotiate additional infrastructure. Lessons learned would be to start with as many partners as possible at the start of the project.

12. Personnel			

12a. Staffing Table - Please include all staff that contributed time to the project with utilization. Please only include government staff employed by the state/territory NOT contractors.

Job Title	FTE%	Project (s) Assigned
Department Analyst	5%	Participates in conference calls, completes reimbursements, complete and submit quarterly reports

13. Contractual (Contract and/or Subrecipients)

13a. Contractual Table – Include all contractors. The totals from this table should equal the "Contractual" in Question 14f.

Name	Subcontract Purpose	Type (Contract/Subrec.)	RFP/RFQ Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Total Federal Funds Allocated	Total Matching Funds Allocated
BearCom	SME support for Communication Center Technology Transition planning	Contract	n	y	03/01/2018	08/31/2019	$384,943.01	
Dept of Technology, Management, and Budget	Grant related activities and personnel support	Subrecipient	n	y	03/01/2018	03/31/2021	$516,025.48	$256,000.00
NetMotion	Software licenses and maintenance for Identify and Document Coverage Gaps	Contract	n	y	08/08/2018	09/30/2020	$17,872.51	
Dept of Technology, Management, and Budget	Server Hosting Fees	Contract	n	n			$62,072.00	
Total Funds Allocated to Contracts							**$980,913.00**	**$256,000.00**

14. Budget Worksheet

Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your project budget for the entire award and your final SF 424A. Columns 5, 6, and 7 should list your final budget figures, cumulative through the last quarter

Project Budget Element (1)	Federal Funds Awarded (2)	Approved Matching Funds (3)	Total Budget (4)	Final Federal Funds Expended (5)	Final Approved Matching Funds Expended (6)	Final Total Funds Expended (7)
a. Personnel Salaries	$42,694.00	$0.00	$42,694.00	$5,322.30	$0.00	$5,322.30
b. Personnel Fringe Benefits	$34,924.00	$0.00	$34,924.00	$4,665.17	$0.00	$4,665.17
c. Travel	$13,296.00	$0.00	$13,296.00	$3,797.13	$0.00	$3,797.13
d. Equipment	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
e. Materials/Supplies	$66.00	$0.00	$66.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
f. Contractual	$980,913.00	$256,000.00	$1,236,913.00	$915,952.60	$236,649.93	$1,152,602.53
g. Other	$43,940.00	$24,000.00	$67,940.00	$204.00	$0.00	$204.00
h. Indirect	$4,167.00	$0.00	$4,167.00	$679.88	$0.00	$679.88
i. Total Costs	$1,120,000.00	$280,000.00	$1,400,000.00	$930,621.08	$236,649.93	$1,167,271.01
j. Proportionality Percent	80%	20%	100%	80%	20%	100%

15. Additional Questions: Read each statement below. Rate your level of agreement or disagreement with each statement and answer follow-up questions to provide additional information.

Statement	Agree/Disagree	Additional Questions	Response

15a. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in planning for the integration with the NPSBN.	3-Neutral	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Michigan used SLIGP funds to create a planning document for Emergency Communications Centers interested in transitioning to FirstNet. This document would have been more valuable if the grant criteria supported implementation, technology guidance, and operational standards, but we were limited to planning information only.
15b. I plan to continue any SLIGP 2.0 program activities beyond the SLIGP 2.0 period of performance.	4-Agree	What do you plan to accomplish after the period of performance?	Michigan will continue all governance activities and hosting the Michigan Interoperable Communications Conference.
15c. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in informing my stakeholders about FirstNet.	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	SLIGP funds were used to conduct on-site education and outreach programs, webinars and other virtual learning opportunities. The grant criteria fully supported these activities.
Statement	**Agree/Disagree**	**Additional Questions**	*Response*
15d. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in maintaining a governance structure for broadband in my state/territory.	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Michigan established its Statewide Interoperable Governance Board (SIGB) in 2005 through the Michigan Public Safety Communications Interoperability Board (MPSCIB). The Michigan Public Safety Broadband (MiPSB) Workgroup was established in an advisory role under the MPSCIB to gather, analyze, and disseminate information relevant to the key aspects, processes, and activities of the First Responders Network Authority (FirstNet) and the Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network (NPSBN). The Workgroup is comprised of a geographically diverse and multi-discipline team appointed by their respective government entities and/or public safety fraternal organizations. SLIGP funding supported the activities of the MiPSB Workgroup.
15e. SLIGP 2.0 funds provided resources that were helpful in preparing for FirstNet planning activities in my state/territory (e.g. staffing, attending broadband conferences, participating in training, procuring contract support etc.).	5-Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	It is highly likely no FirstNet related activities would have been conducted without SLIGP funding support. This includes staffing, attending broadband conferences, participating in training, procuring contractual support, and establishment of the broadband workgroup under the MPSCIB.
15f. Overall, SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in preparing for FirstNet.	5-Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	It is highly likely no FirstNet related activities would have been conducted without SLIGP funding support. This includes staffing, attending broadband conferences, participating in training, procuring contractual support, and establishment of the broadband workgroup under the MPSCIB. SLIGP 2 activities were limited based on the enabling language and could have been more beneficial if the grant criteria were expanded beyond planning and governance.

16. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose(s) set forth in the award documents.

16a. Typed or printed name and title of Authorized Certifying Official: Lindsey Holden **16b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official:**	**16c. Telephone:**	517-282-6413
	16d. Email Address:	holdenl@michigan.gov
	16e. Date:	5/11/2021