SLIGP 2.0 Grant Closeout Report				2. Award or Grant Number: 31-10-S18031N	
				4. EIN: 47-0491233	
1. Recipient Name	Nebraska Emergency Management Agency			6/22/2021	
3. Street Address	2433 N.W. 24th Street			3/31/2021	
5. City, State, Zip Code	Lincoln, Nebraska 68524			8. Final Report	
				Yes ☑	
				No ☐	
9. Project/Grant Period				10. Reserved for Reviewer	
9a. Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	07/01/2019	9b. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/31/2021		

11. Program Activities

11a. Identify the activities you performed during SLIGP2.0 grant period of performance

	Activity Type (Planning, Governance Meetings, etc.)	Was this Activity Performed during the grant period? (Yes/No)	Total Project Deliverable Quantity (Number)	Description of Activity Deliverable Quantity
1	Governance Meetings	Yes	3	Cumulative number of governance, subcommittee, or working group meetings related to the NPSBN held during the grant period
2	Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences	No		Cumulative number of individuals sent to national or regional third-party conferences with a focus or training track related to the NPSBN using SLIGP 2.0 grant funds during the grant period
3	Convened Stakeholder Events	Yes	2	Cumulative number of events coordinated or held using SLIGP 2.0 grant funds during the grant period, as requested by FirstNet.
4	Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE)	No		Cumulative number of state/territory personnel FTEs who began supporting SLIGP 2.0 activities during the grant period (may be a decimal).
5	Contracts Executed	Yes	1	Cumulative number of contracts executed during the grant period.
6	Subrecipient Agreements Executed			Cumulative number of agreements executed during the grant period.
7	Data Sharing Policies/Agreements Developed			Yes or No if data sharing policies and/or agreements were developed during the grant period.
8	Further Identification of Potential Public Safety Users	Yes		Yes or No if further identification of potential public safety users occurred during the grant period.
9	Plans for Emergency Communications Technology Transitions	No		Yes or No if plans for future emergency communications technology transitions occurred during the grant period.
10	Identified and Planned to Transition PS Apps & Databases			Yes or No if public safety applications or databases within the State or territory were identified and transition plans were developed during the grant period
11	Identify Ongoing Coverage Gaps	Yes		Yes or No if participated in identifying ongoing coverage gaps using SLIGP 2.0 funds during the grant period.
12	Data Collection Activities			Yes or No if participated in data collection activities as requested by FirstNet

11b. Please provide a description of each activity reported in response to Question 11; any challenges or obstacles encountered and mitigation strategies you employed; and any additional project milestones or information.

11a.1 - Nebraska was able to hold 3 Governance Meetings virtually. Discussion surrounded around coverage, capabilities and users. 11a.3 - Nebraska held 2 stakeholder events. These events were virtual and hosted over 150 individuals at each event. The event included demonstrations of applications being used, discussion with other states about FirstNet, presentations from State of Nebraska users as well as a volunteer user program. 11a.8 - Nebraska identified potential public safety users during our governance meetings and our forums. 11a.11 - Nebraska assisted AT&T with coverage issues and problems. Users of the system took notes when they travelled and notified the SPOC when there were issues. The SPOC, in turn, notified AT&T of areas where there were coverage problems.

11c. Did you perform activities during the last quarter of the grant that haven't been reported previously (i.e., new programmatic activities, staffing changes)? If so, please describe.

Nebraska was able to start using FirstNet for practical purposes. These included using FN phones for dispatching in an area where dispatchers where unable to get to work. Another area used this capability to dispatch for them. We have also been testing it for its mobile capabilities in our Patrol Cars.

11d. Please share any lessons learned or best practices that your organization implemented during your SLIGP 2.0 project.

Some of the best practices for us was listening and talking with other states. It would have been nice if there could have been "SPOC" conventions where states could share information with each other. Even if it would have been virtual. Nebraska is learning to utilize the capabilities that this network has to offer and more and more public safety entities are recognizing its capabilities.

12. Personnel

12a. Staffing Table - Please include all staff that contributed time to the project with utilization. Please only include government staff employed by the state/territory NOT contractors.

Job Title	FTE%	Project (s) Assigned

13. Contractual (Contract and/or Subrecipients)

13a. Contractual Table – Include all contractors. The totals from this table should equal the "Contractual" in Question 14f.

Name	Subcontract Purpose	Type (Contract/Subrec.)	RFP/RFQ Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Total Federal Funds Allocated	Total Matching Funds Allocated
UNL Public Policy Center	Assist with surveys, minutes & agenda's	Contract	N	Y	9/1/2019	3/31/2021	$30,790.39	$6,989.23

Total Funds Allocated to Contracts		$30,790.39	$6,989.23

14. Budget Worksheet

Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your project budget for the entire award and your final SF 424A. Columns 5, 6, and 7 should list your final budget figures, cumulative through the last quarter

Project Budget Element (1)	Federal Funds Awarded (2)	Approved Matching Funds (3)	Total Budget (4)	Final Federal Funds Expended (5)	Final Approved Matching Funds Expended (6)	Final Total Funds Expended (7)
a. Personnel Salaries			$0.00			$0.00
b. Personnel Fringe Benefits			$0.00			$0.00
c. Travel	$98,254.00		$98,254.00			$0.00
d. Equipment			$0.00			$0.00
e. Materials/Supplies	$1,800.00		$1,800.00			$0.00
f. Contractual	$481,572.47	$108,427.53	$590,000.00	$30,790.39	$6,989.23	$37,779.62
g. Other	$6,549.45	$25,000.00	$31,549.45			$0.00
h. Indirect			$0.00			$0.00
i. Total Costs	$588,175.92	$133,427.53	$721,603.45	$30,790.39	$6,989.23	$37,779.62
j. Proportionality Percent	82%	18%	100%	81%	19%	100%

15. Additional Questions: Read each statement below. Rate your level of agreement or disagreement with each statement and answer follow-up questions to provide additional information.

Statement	Agree/Disagree	Additional Questions	Response
15a. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in planning for the integration with the NPSBN.	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Being able to utilize the grant funds for awareness and visability. Challenges were, obviously, COVID. Other challenges are spotty coverage in some areas.

Statement	Agree/Disagree	Additional Questions	Response
15b. I plan to continue any SLIGP 2.0 program activities beyond the SLIGP 2.0 period of performance.	5-Strongly Agree	What do you plan to accomplish after the period of performance?	Continue efforts to incorporate FirstNet technology into our PSAP's/911 centers. Utilize FN equipment and capability in patrol cars with mobile units. We will continue the effort to educate our public safety community on all of the capabilities, cost and deployment of FN equipment as well as the savings it will provide for future endeavors.
15c. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in informing my stakeholders about FirstNet.	5-Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	We held mulitiple vitual meetings as well as forums on-line. These worked perfectly and we were able to share information from across the nation as well as presenters. Most obvious challenge, again, was COVID. It kept us from meeting in-person and doing hands-on demo's.

			We were able to utilize the funds for our virtual governance meetings. We also used the virtual capabilities to enhance the FN forums that we held.
15d. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in maintaining a governance structure for broadband in my state/territory.	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	
			Resources could have been wonderful during this time if we were given the capability to meet in person. - we did everything virtually, so, the resources were limited.
15e. SLIGP 2.0 funds provided resources that were helpful in preparing for FirstNet planning activities in my state/territory (e.g. staffing, attending broadband conferences, participating in training, procuring contract support etc.).	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	

			Most challenging was COVID. I believe the funds would have been very helpful in enhancing the development as well as training and education across our state.
15f. Overall, SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in preparing for FirstNet.	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	

16. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose(s) set forth in the award documents.

16a. Typed or printed name and title of Authorized Certifying Official: Bryan Tuma, Assistant Director	16c. Telephone:	402-471-7401
16b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official:	16d. Email Address:	bryan.tuma@nebraska.gov
	16e. Date:	6/22/2021