II C Department of Commerce			2. Award or Grant Number:	46-10-S13046	
		State and Local Implei	nentation Grant Program Close Out Report	4. EIN:	46-6000364
1. Recipient Name	South Dakota Bureau of Infor	mation and Telecommunic		6. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY):	05/29/2018
3. Street Address	700 Governor's Drive			7. Reporting Period End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	02/28/2018
5. City, State, Zip Code	Pierre, SD 57501				
10a. Project/Grant Period			Serverske and Se Serverske and Serverske and S		
Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	08/01/2013	10b. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	02/28/2018		
Part A: Metrics - Final PPR Miles	stone Data (cumulative throu	gh the last quarter)	Build of small in the standard standard standard standards and standard	A	
	Project Type (Capacity Building SCIP Undate	Project Deliverable Quantity (Number & Indicator Description)	Description of Milestone Category		
1	Stakeholders Engaged	4995	Actual number of individuals reached via stakeholder meetings during the period of performance	i ta di second	
2	Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences	29	Actual number of individuals who were sent to third-party broadband conferences using SLIGP grant funds	during the period of p	performance
3	Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE)	1.2	Actual number of state personnel FTEs who began supporting SLIGP activities during the period of perform	ance (may be a decim	al)
4	Contracts Executed	1	Actual number of contracts executed during the period of performance	N	
5	Governance Meetings	17	Actual number of governance, subcommittee, or working group meetings held during the period of perform		
6	Education and Outreach Materials Distributed	181240	Actual volume of materials distributed (inclusive of paper and electronic materials) plus hits to any website during the period of performance	e or social media acco	unt supported by SLIGP
7	Subrecipient Agreements Executed	0	Actual number of agreements executed during the period of performance		
8	Phase 2 - Coverage	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet			
9	Phase 2 – Users and Their Operational Areas	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet	Please choose the option that best describes the data you provided to FirstNet in each category during the	period of performance	e:
10	Phase 2 – Capacity Planning	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet	Not Complete Partial Dataset Submitted to FirstNet		· · ·
11	Phase 2 – Current Providers/Procurement	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet	• Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet		n An an
12	Phase 2 – State Plan Decision	Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet		n de la companya de La companya de la comp	landa a constanta Alternativa
Part B: Narrative					

# Milestone Data Narrative: Please Describe in detail the types of milestone activities your SLIGP grant funded (Please reference each project type you engaged in. Example: Governance Meetings, Stakeholders Engaged)

The first milestone was the Initial Consultation Meeting held in April 2015. We were able to discuss how FirstNet got started and the promise of a National Public Safety Broadband Network. We had our governance council in attendance, including federal, tribal, local, state officials along with congressional representation. We had 17 governance meetings that guided the FirstNet work within our state. Trying to learn all we can about the technical aspects of FirstNet we had a tour of PSCR (Public Safety Communications Research) offices early in the project. We received between 8-10% responses from the Mobile Data Survey Tool for our FirstNet data collection. This information and coverage buildout was submitted to FirstNet based on recommendations of our governance council. Monitored the FirstNet RFP/Bid process. Attended multiple consultation SPOC (Single Point of Contact) meetings to learn current activities and stay active to learn all we could about the next steps FirstNet is taking. Presented the latest FirstNet mews throughout the grant timeframe to various stakeholders, association meetings, and as requested. Our twitter account (https://twitter.com/SDPSN ) was a good engagement means ending the grant with almost 600 followers and 112,000 impressions. We were able to engage multiple times with all the tribes in South Dakota. In March of 2017 FirstNet selected AT&T to build, deploy and maintain the first-ever nationwide public safety broadband network for America's first responders. Review of the FirstNet State Plan from AT&T was through a very representative stakeholder's team and done through Skype meetings, emails, phone calls, etc. During the September 2017 governance council meeting an opt-in recommendation was voted on and approved. From the letter to the Governor: "The full SDPSCC membership has been provided an overview of the state plan and has introduced and approved a motion to recommend tha South Dakota opt in to the FirstNet plan at this time." On December 13th, 2017 Governor Daugaad sent a lett

Note: We initially were going to utilize more personnel so reported 2.0 FTE early in the project but utilized 1.2 FTE throughout the grant period.

# Please describe in detail any SLIGP program priority areas (education and outreach, governance, etc.) that you plan to continue beyond the SLIGP period of performance.

We are not actively planning any more education or outreach events. Our only outreach will be through association meetings or as requested for assistance by the FirstNet Authority. We believe that is the task for the marketing team of AT&T. Our governance council will continue to meet and with FirstNet being a public safety communication opportunity it will be a part of the quarterly agenda. With the help of SLIGP 2.0 grant program we plan to continue to research interoperability capabilities with FirstNet through Apps, situational awareness software, sharing of databases, and etc. We also plan to work towards any centralized databases, software, applications that can be utilized now that we have a network like FirstNet. The hope is that this will create ease of use of new technologies and take advantage of any synergies we can create across our state and across public safety disciplines.

12.2

1

#### Data collection narrative: Please describe in detail the status of your SUGP funded data collection activities.

We were able to have staff work with the MDST (Mobile Data Survey Tool) and collect as much data as possible from our local first responders and provide it to FirstNet. We created materials to explain now to take the survey. During all of our outreach activities we informed the stakeholders of this survey and asked for participation. We received between 8-10% response from the Mobile Data Survey Tool for our FirstNet data collection. It is always challenging to get people to fill out surveys. These stakeholders are busy, and the information was not easy to find and required some time and effort to research locally to get accurate data.

### Please describe in detail any data collection activities you plan to continue beyond the SLIGP period of performance.

We do not have any funding to continue any data collection activities. If FirstNet requests data collection or we collect information via working groups and we are approved to utilize SLIGP 2.0 grant funds then we will collect the data as requested.

## Lessons Learned: Please share any lessons learned or best practices that your organization implemented during your SLIGP project.

We have built great relationships with our neighbor states over the years. We were able to build on those relationships to constantly be improving public safety communications and interoperability. No matter the technology (Ham radio, LMR, LTE, FirstNet, Commercial POTS, etc.) it still comes down to people working with people with a good plan to start with. Then as incidents occur you improve your plans for the next event based on what was learned.

The South Dakota Public Safety Communication council (Also our governance council) is a needed entity in South Dakota to continue informing all public safety stakeholders about communication interoperability across our state.

The folks in the public safety industry are some of the most dedicated to their profession. They are willing to help anyone, anywhere, who is in need of their expertise. As a result it is our responsibility to provide them with the tools (whatever that may be) to perform at peak performance no matter the circumstances.

### Part C: Staffing

Name	FTE%	Project(s) Assigned	Change	
Statewide Project Coordinator	20	Provide oversight of all SLIGP project activities		
Project Manager	100	Provide daily support for the SLIGP process		
Administrative Staffing Assistance	10	Provide adminstrative support for grant management		
Radio System Chief Engineer		Provide engineering expertise and staffing for project		

#### Part D: Contracts and Funding

Subcontracts Table - Include all subcontractors engaged during the period of performance. The totals from this table must equal the "Subcontracts Total" in your Budget Worksheet

Name	Subcontract Purpose	Type (Vendor/Subrec.)	RFP/RFQ Issued (Y/N)	Total Federal Funds Allocated	Total Matching Funds Allocated	
Bureau of Information and Telecommunications (Development team)	Prepare/build maintain our website			\$1,236.00	\$0.00	
······································						

Budget Worksheet

Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your project budget for the entire award and your final SF 424A. Columns 5, 6, and 7 should list your final budget figures, cumulative through the last quarter

Project Budget Element (1)	Federal Funds Awarded (2)	Approved Matching Funds (3)	Total Budget (4)	Final Federal Funds Expended (5)	Final Approved Matching Funds Expended (6)	Final Total funds Expended (7)
a. Personnel Salaries	\$336,788.00	\$86,186.00	\$422,974.00	\$301,103.29	\$84,385.15	\$385,488.44
b. Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$67,356.00	\$17,237.00	\$84,593.00	\$59,394.14	\$12,465.34	\$71,859.48
c. Trave	\$50,000.00	\$37,335.00	\$87,335.00	\$41,333.06	\$12,273.00	\$\$3,606.06
d. Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
e. Materials/Supplies	\$30,040.00	\$356.00	\$30,396.00	\$5,272.87	\$355.79	\$5,628.66
f. Subcontracts Total	\$50,000.00	\$0.00	\$50,000.00	\$1,235.00	\$0.00	\$1,236.00
g. Other	\$29,932.00	\$0.00	\$29,932.00	\$28,412.80	\$0.00	\$28,412.80
Indirect	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
h. Total Costs	\$564,116.00	\$141,114.00	\$705,230.00	\$436,752.16	\$109,479.28	\$546,231.44

.

Dverall, were SLIGP funds relpful in preparing for FirstNet? Were SLIGP funds helpful in planning for your FirstNet consultation? Were SLIGP funds helpful in		gly Disagree, Disagree, Neutral, Agree, Somewh What was most helpful? What challenges did yo What was most helpful? What challenges did yo What was most helpful? What challenges did yo	ou encounter?	that best suits your answer. Yes. We believe the funds have funding. We were able to get o to be and finally what it is. The the conversations shaping what ideas from these national event The SLIGP funds provided us the meeting. It was well attended (I awareness to the FirstNet proqu	ut and talk with our first ra funds helped to send our i we need/want from a net s and incorporate them in opportunity to bring toge	esponders and info FirstNet staff to na twork like FirstNet to our messaging i ther many stakeh	orm them tional eve . We were to our stak	of what FirstNet is nts to be a part of able to bring back scholders.
Dverall, were SLIGP funds relpful in preparing for S FirstNet? Were SLIGP funds helpful in planning for your FirstNet S consultation? Were SLIGP funds helpful in pforming your stakeholders S	Strongly Agree Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did yo What was most helpful? What challenges did yo	ou encounter?	Yes. We believe the funds have funding. We were able to get o to be and finally what it is. The the conversations shaping what ideas from these national event The SLIGP funds provided us the meeting. It was well attended (I	ut and talk with our first ra funds helped to send our i we need/want from a net s and incorporate them in opportunity to bring toge	esponders and info FirstNet staff to na twork like FirstNet to our messaging i ther many stakeh	orm them tional eve . We were to our stak	of what FirstNet is nts to be a part of able to bring back scholders.
olanning for your FirstNet 5 consultation? Were SLIGP funds helpful in nforming your stakeholders 5			ou encounter?	meeting. It was well attended (i			olders for	
nforming your stakeholders S	Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did y		uwareness to the rustivet progr		, congressional) ar	-	
			ou encounter?	Indeed. With the SLIGP funds wi By direction of our governance challenge with informing our st all vapor, and a concept. This g know what will help them todag years before any of this is realit	council the majority of the akeholders about FirstNet roup does not have time fu y. So, it was OK to provide	events were asso was that for the n or concepts, and v	ciation me Najority of Naporware.	etings. The the program it was They need to
Were SLIGP funds helpful in developing a governance structure for broadband in your state?	Neutral	What was most helpful? What challenges did yo	ou encounter?	In South Dakota we already had amongst Public Safety discipling purposes of FirstNet as well.				
Were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing your staff for FirstNet activities in your state (e.g. attending broadband conferences, participating in training, purchasing software, procuring contract support etc.)?	Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did yo	ou encounter?	Without SLIGP funds we would as we were able to. Funding foi engagement work would not ho	these activities does not e			
Were SLIGP funds helpful in updating your Statewide Communications Interoperability Plan?	Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did ye	ou encounter?	The SPOC and our project mana NSBN was included where nece:		CIP planning/work	ing day. T	he promise of a
Were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing for your review of the FirstNet developed State Plan?	Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did y	ou encounter?	The funds were most helpful to allow our project manager to organize the review team, ensure they is the access they needed and produce consistent documentation the team could work with. The review team along with staff worked on reading and reviewing the state plan. Much time was specified our state plan review team. The review team was made up of technical expertise, FirstNet projestaff, State of SD CIO, and stakeholders from our governance council.				
Were SLIGP funds helpful in conducting FirstNet determined data collection?	Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did y	ou encounter?	Without SLIGP funds data collection would not have happened. We were able to have staff work with the MDST (Mobile Data Survey Tool) and collect as much data as possible from our lacal first responders and provide it to FirstNet. We created materials to explain now to take the survey. During all of our outreach activities we informed the stakeholders of this survey and asked for participation. It is always challenging to get people to fill out surveys. These stakeholders are busy, and the information was not easy to find and required some time and effort to research locally to get accurate data.				
art F: Certification: I certify to I	the best of my knowledge ar	nd belief that this report is correct and complete	for performance of activi	ties for the purpose(s) set forth i	n the award documents.			
Typed or printed name and title					Telephone (area code, number, and extension)	605-773-4347		<u> </u>
leff Pierce, Program Adminstrator	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u>                                      </u>		
Signature of Authorized Certifyin	ng Official:	·			Email Address:	Jeff.Pierce@state.s	d.us	
sign here to h		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Date:	05/25/2018		