

**U.S. Department of Commerce
SLIGP 2.0 Performance Progress Report**

2. Award or Grant Number:	OMB Control No. 0660-0048 46-10-S18048
4. EIN:	46-6000364
6. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	04/30/2018
7. Reporting Period End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/31/2018
8. Final Report <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	9. Report Frequency Quarterly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

1. Recipient Name	South Dakota Bureau of Information and Telecommunications		
3. Street Address	700 Governor's Drive		
5. City, State, Zip Code	Pierre, SD 57501		

10a. Project/Grant Period			
Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/01/2018	10b. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	02/29/2020

11. List the individual projects in your approved Project Plan				
	Activity Type (Planning, Governance Meetings, etc.)	Was this Activity Performed during the Reporting Quarter? (Yes/No)	Project Deliverable Quantity (Number & Indicator Description)	Description of Milestone Category

Activities/Metrics for All Recipients during the Reporting Quarter				
1	Governance Meetings	Yes	1	Actual number of governance, subcommittee, or working group meetings related to the NPSBN held during the quarter
2	Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences	Yes	1	Actual number of individuals who were sent to national or regional third-party conferences with a focus area or training track related to the NPSBN using SLIGP grant funds during the quarter
3	Convened Stakeholder Events	No	0	Actual number of events coordinated - or held using SLIGP grant funds during the quarter, as requested by FirstNet.
4	Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE)	Yes	1.20	Actual number of state personnel FTEs who began supporting SLIGP activities during the quarter (may be a decimal).
5	Contracts Executed	No	0	Actual number of contracts executed during the quarter.
6	Subrecipient Agreements Executed	No	0	Actual number of agreements executed during the quarter.
7	Data Sharing Policies/Agreements Developed	No		Yes or No if data sharing policies and/or agreements were developed during this reporting quarter.
8	Further Identification of Potential Public Safety Users	Yes		Yes or No if further identification of potential public safety users occurred during this reporting quarter.
9	Plans for Emergency Communications Technology Transitions	No		Yes or No if plans for future emergency communications technology transitions occurred during this reporting quarter.
10	Identified and Planned to Transition PS Apps & Databases	No		Yes or No if public safety applications or databases within the State or territory were identified and transition plans were developed this reporting quarter
11	Identify Ongoing Coverage Gaps	No		Yes or No if participated in identifying ongoing coverage gaps using SLIGP funds during this reporting quarter.
12	Data Collection Activities	No		(Opt-In and Opt-Out Post-SMLA Phase Only) Yes or No if participated in data collection activities as requested by FirstNet or following a documented data collection determination by Opt-Out (Post-SMLA) grantees.

11a. Narrative description for each activity reported in Question 11 for this quarter; any challenges or obstacles encountered and mitigation strategies you have employed; planned major activities for the next quarter; and any additional states. This quarter we closed down SLIGP 1.0 and started SLIGP 2.0. On 3/09/18 – our Bureau of Information and Telecommunications (BIT) CIO signed the SLIGP 2.0 Grant #46-10-S18046 to allow us to continue to work on the FirstNet project in our state. Utilizing the same personnel makes the transition smooth. We are migrating some state accounts to be FirstNet accounts/devices to test the billing and process. We will wait another month for most agencies as billing process is not correct yet (not all services migrated, additional fees, taxes etc.). Our governance council (SD Public Safety Communications Council) met and we had good discussion on FirstNet with AT&T helping to answer questions. The council is considering to bring in political candidates to discuss public safety communications at their next meeting. Set up a meeting with Zuercher Technologies (www.zuerchertech.com) in April to discuss how they fit in with FirstNet, interoperability between systems (departments) within South Dakota and any possibility of centralizing their CAD system in/for SD etc. Their CAD system is used in the majority of agencies in South Dakota. Our project manager attended the International Wireless Communications Expo (IWCE 2018) to attend the FirstNet tracks, and meet with VP's of AT&T/FirstNet. He was invited to be a part of a VIP focus group at the IWCE conference. It was setup as a roundtable discussion to improve FirstNet's understanding of the needs and expectations of FirstNet. AT&T and we presented to Brown County & City of Aberdeen for discussion on FirstNet and how it relates to state radio, and state public safety communications. They use AT&T, Verizon, and Valley Telco LTE (A local Telco in the county) – which is a good example of what is out there today and the need for interoperability between carriers (national and local). Shared time with Department of Public Safety deputy director and presented to the County Commissioners Association to answer many questions about both FirstNet and Statewide Radio system. We were contacted by AT&T marketing team looking to utilize an incident that underscores the importance of priority and preemption in rural communities to enhance public safety. We continue to inform our stakeholders through social media as appropriate. Still waiting for AT&T to get us a userid/pw to the portal to track the FirstNet accounts, as now all responsibility for this work lands on a BIT employee. We have a challenge understanding where the FirstNet Authority fits in the picture with the states. We've been working directly with AT&T for the past year and now FirstNet Authority wants to re-engage and we are confused. We'd like to have more information on the interoperability plans of FirstNet. Today the only interoperability on this LTE network is if we know everyone's cell phone number. There is no single app (mobile application) that pulls in all the FirstNet users in an interoperable fashion. If we don't solve this sooner rather than later we will have fragmented pockets of all kinds of disparate applications and none will be interoperable and it will set the public safety industry back 20 years. In the next quarter we plan to attend the 2018 Public Safety Communications Research Stakeholders annual meeting in San Diego. We will attend and present at the SD Police Chiefs & Sheriffs annual joint meeting. We will continue to attend/convene FirstNet-requested public safety stakeholder outreach meetings focused on various topics. Any additional project milestones or information: We have tested moving a few state agency accounts to migrate those on AT&T commercial network over to the FirstNet system. At this point it is simply a rate plan change over, and AT&T is trying to get all the billing correct. These devices will need to get the FirstNet Sim cards when the core is built and AT&T provides them. Other allowable activities performed this quarter include: Further Identification of Potential Public Safety Users

12. Personnel

12a. Staffing Table - Please include all staff that have contributed time to the project with current quarter's utilization. Please only include FTE staff employed by the state not contractors. Please do not remove individuals from this table.

Job Title	FTE%	Project (s) Assigned	Change
Grant Manager (SWIC/SPOC)	20%	Overall administration of the grant. Provide oversight of all SLIGP project activities	New Position
Project Manager	100%	Provide daily support for the SLIGP grant activities.	New Position
Program Specialist (2)	0%	Provide subject matter expertise.	

12b. Narrative description of any staffing challenges, vacancies, or changes.

First month of the grant will start the FTE of the Grant Manager and the Project Manager. We will include the Program Specialists as needed throughout the grant.

13. Contractual (Contract and/or Subrecipients)

13a. Contractual Table – Include all contractors. The totals from this table should equal the "Contractual" in Question 14f.

Name	Subcontract Purpose	Type (Contract/Subrec.)	RFP/RFQ Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Total Federal Funds Allocated	Total Matching Funds Allocated
Contractor/Consultant	Subject matter experts, assist with activities	Contract	N	N	TBD	TBD	\$60,000.00	\$0.00

13b. Narrative description any challenges, updates, or changes related to contracts and/or subrecipients.

Possible uses: Subject matter experts, build data bases, assist with events to convene stakeholders, focus groups, etc.

14. Budget Worksheet

OMB Control No. 0660-002

Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your current project budget for the entire award, which is the SF-424A on file.

Expiration Date: 01/31/2011

Only list matching funds that the Department of Commerce has already approved.

Project Budget Element (1)	NTE Total Federal Funds Approved (2)	NTE Total Matching Funds Approved (3)	NTE Total Budget (4)	Federal Funds Obligated to Date (5)	Matching Funds Approved to Date (6)	Total Budget to Date (7)	Federal Funds Expended (8)	Approved Matching Funds Expended (9)	Total funds Expended (10)
a. Personnel Salaries	\$250,000.00	\$40,000.00	\$290,000.00	\$93,750.00	\$15,000.00	\$108,750.00	\$12,012.30	\$3,066.46	\$15,078.76
b. Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$50,000.00	\$8,000.00	\$58,000.00	\$18,750.00	\$3,000.00	\$21,750.00	\$2,932.83	\$421.95	\$3,354.78
c. Travel	\$77,760.00	\$24,000.00	\$101,760.00	\$29,160.00	\$9,000.00	\$38,160.00	\$2,408.82	\$0.00	\$2,408.82
d. Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,381.70	\$0.00	\$1,381.70
e. Materials/Supplies	\$0.00	\$25,800.00	\$25,800.00	\$0.00	\$18,300.00	\$18,300.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
f. Contractual	\$60,000.00	\$0.00	\$60,000.00	\$55,000.00	\$0.00	\$55,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
g. Other	\$0.00	\$14,110.00	\$14,110.00	\$0.00	\$5,291.00	\$5,291.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
h. Indirect	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
i. Total Costs	\$437,760.00	\$111,910.00	\$549,670.00	\$196,660.00	\$50,591.00	\$247,251.00	\$18,735.65	\$3,488.41	\$22,224.06
j. Proportionality Percent	79.64%	20.36%	100.00%	79.54%	20.46%	100.00%	84.30%	15.70%	100.00%

15. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose(s) set forth in the award documents.

16a. Typed or printed name and title of Authorized Certifying Official:

Jeff Pierce, Project Manager

16c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)

605-773-3741

16b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official:



16d. Email Address:

Jeff.Pierce@state.sd.us

Date:

05/04/2018

Public Burden Statement: According to the Paperwork Reduction Act, as amended, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 12.5 hours per response. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Michael Dame, Program Director, State and Local Implementation Grant Program, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 4078, Washington, DC 20230.