OMB Control No. 0660-0038 Expiration Date: 8/31/2016

		U.S.	Department of Commerce			2. Award or Grant N	umber	
		0.01		53-10-S13053_0 4. EIN 91-6001095				
		Per	formance Progress Report					
1. Recipi	ent Name			- I - SI		6. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY)		
			State of Washington			,	01/30/2015	
3. Street	Address					7. Reporting Period	End Date:	
		Βι	ilding 20, Aviation Drive				12/31/2014	
5. City, S	itate, Zip Code					8. Final Report	9. Report Frequency	
		C	amp Murray, WA 98430			Yes X No	X Quarterly	
	ject/Grant Period	10b. E	nd Date:					
	Date: 09/01/2013	08/31						
11. List	the individual projects	in your	approved Project Plan					
	Project Type (Capac	ype (Capacity Project Deliverable Quantity Total Federal Total Fe		Total Feder	ederal Funding Amount Percent of Total Federal			
	Building, SCIP Update,		(Number & Indicator	Funding	expended at the end of this		Amount expended	
	Outreach, Training etc.)		Description)	Amount	reporting period			
1			420	- 1. St	1. 392.57			
2	2 Broadband Conferences		0	2 13 28 1 3 1				
3			0					
4	Contract Executions		2					
5	Governance Meetings		2					
6	Education and Outro	each	250					
	Materials							
7	7 Sub-recipient Agreements		0		The states			
	Executed							
8	Phase II activities		0					

11a. Describe your progress meeting each major activity/milestone approved in the Baseline Report for this project; any challenges or obstacles encountered and mitigation strategies you have employed; planned major activities for the next quarter; and any additional project milestones or information.

<u>Milestone Activities</u>: Washington OneNet (the name for our SLIGP efforts in Washington State) conducted initial consultation with FirstNet staff on October 16, 2014, and prepared after-action report which is public on our website here: <u>https://ocio-website-files.s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/Initial-Consult-Wash-AfterAction-V3a-11-06-14.pdf</u>; contracted with Washington State University Division of Governmental Studies and Services (WSU-DGSS) to continue outreach to emergency management and governments; contracted with Pacific Northwest Economic Region (PNWER) to continue outreach for utilities, transit and transportation; formed and recruited stakeholder, technical and operational committees and finalized committee descriptions.

Planned major activities next quarter: Finalize update of State Communications Interoperability Plan (SCIP) to include broadband.

<u>Other activities</u>: Through Washington State University, OneNet has engaged the services of retired Assistant Seattle Police Chief Jim Pryor and retired industry executive John DeFeo to work with our Operational Committee, which will be composed of first responders who have been incident commanders in public safety incidents and disasters.

11b. If the project team anticipates requesting any changes to the approved Baseline Report in the next quarter, describe those below. Note that any substantive changes to the Baseline Report must be approved by the Department of Commerce before implementation.

(Repeat from previous report): Washington will probably only need to create 3 or 4 subcontracts to conduct phase 1 outreach activities. This issue has been discussed and resolved with Michael Dame of NTIA.

11c. Provide any other information that would be useful to NTIA as it assesses this project's progress.

<u>Personnel</u>: Olivia Cookson, the program coordinator with EMD, resigned from state employment. Her SLIGP duties are transferred to Tabitha Laird, another program coordinator.

<u>Match Proportionality Waiver</u>: Due to increased activities in budget elements for which we have not previously provided matching funds, we are short .61% of the required 20% match for this reporting period. We are in the process of ensuring that indirect expenses that were not previously captured will now be charged to a dedicated SLIGP project code for match purposes. Also, our technical support staff – which are 50/50 federal and match – will not become fully engaged until Phase II, which will increase the percentage of match in the program overall. We will monitor more closely and will be in compliance in the next reporting period. A match proportionality waiver request will be sent.

11d. Describe any success stories or best practices you have identified. Please be as specific as possible.

- Engaged GovDelivery and Survey Monkey to improve continuous outreach, education and contact with stakeholders, as well as obtaining feedback from stakeholders.
- Attended Oregon initial consultation on October 8. We believe it is important for each state to attend consultation or conduct similar joint meetings with the governance organizations and responders of border states in order to improve coordination of activities and establish relationships.
- Attended and spoke at the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs, reaching about 125 chiefs and sheriffs or their deputies from across the state. Washington OneNet is purchasing booth space at such events to help better engage the stakeholders.
- Published recap newsletter for 2014 SLIGP and OneNet activities.
- In the previous quarter, we finalized a video showcasing members of our SIEC discussing FirstNet's potential for Washington State. Two versions of the video have been produced a shorter 2 minute 40 second version and a longer 6 minute version. Both can be found at <a href="http://onenet.wa.gov">http://onenet.wa.gov</a>. We have extensively used these videos with elected officials and stakeholders. Two more videos are in production: one is a set of interview questions posed to FirstNet Acting Executive Director T. J. Kennedy at our initial consultation on October 16. The other will specifically showcase and target tribal members and outreach.
- We have linked Washington OneNet and SLIGP activities with "Results Washington", Governor Inslee's performance and accountability efforts. Bill Schrier, FirstNet State Point of Contact, and two SIEC members, Fire Chief Jim Sharp and PSAP Director Stephanie Fritts, briefed the Governor and his senior staff on October 29. We believe it a best practice to involve and engage SIEC members and other senior leaders in speaking engagements and elected official briefings.

## 12. Personnel

12a. If the project is not fully staffed, describe how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed.

Page 2 of 4

## Project is fully staffed.

## 12b. Staffing Table

Job Title			FTE %	Project(s) Assigned						Change
Senior Program Manager			50		Provides oversight and management of all SLIGP project activities. Keynotes at events.					
Program Manager			50	Convenes meetings of advisory work groups and technical workgroups, Speaks at stakeholder meetings. Writes reports.						
Program Manager/Outreach Consultant			100	Prepares outreach materials, subcontracts with local and non-profit organization to do both phase 1 and 2 activities, speaks at stakeholder meetings.						
Program Administrator			100	Scheduling, preparation of outreach materials, meeting logistics, listserv management – position retitled from "Program Assistant" in previous report						
SWIC			15	Coordinates updates to SCIP and outreach to existing LMR network managers						Existing, not started
Grants Program Specialist (SAA)			25	Project accounting and reporting						New program coord.
Consulting Engineer			50	Phase II only						Phase II only
13. Subcontrac	cts (Vendors and/or S	ub recipients)								
13a. Subcontra	acts Table – Include a	ll subcontracto	rs. The t	totals fr	om this table	e must equ	al the "Sub	contracts Total" i	n Question 14f.	
Name	Subcontract	Туре		FP/RFQ	Contract	Start	End	Total Federal	Total Matching	Project and %
	Purpose	1		ssued (Y/N)	Executed (Y/N)	Date	Date	Funds Allocated	Funds Allocated	Assigned
WSU- DGSS	Outreach and Education	Vendor		N	Y	12-20- 14	12-13- 15	\$388,936	0	N/A
PNWER	Outreach and Education	Vendor		N	Y	12-20- 14	12-31- 15	\$175,000	0	N/A
TBD	Outreach and Education	Vendor		TBD	N	TBD	TBD	\$ 14,864	\$ 33,000	N/A
	Phase II Data Collection	Subrecipient		N	N	TBD	TBD	\$875,000	0	N/A

13b. Describe any challenges encountered with vendors and/or sub recipients.

None.

Note: the vendors/subcontractors are Washington State University Division of Governmental Studies and Services (WSU-DGSS) to continue outreach to emergency management and governments and Pacific Northwest Economic Region (PNWER) to continue outreach for utilities, transit and transportation. Both entities are divisions of state agencies or governmental entities. The contract vehicles are interlocal agreements.

14. Budget Worksheet								
Columns 2, 3 and 4 must n	natch your current	project budget for the	entire award, w	hich is the SF-424A	on file.			
Only list matching funds th	nat the Department	of Commerce has alre	eady approved.					
Project Budget Element	Federal Funds	Approved	Total Budget	Federal Funds	Approved Matching Funds	Total Funds Expended (7)		
(1)	Awarded (2)	Matching Funds (3)	(4)	Expended (5)	Expended (6)			
a. Personnel Salaries	\$736,125	\$221,150	\$957,275	\$229,636	\$78,809	\$308,445		
b. Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$147,225	\$44,230	\$191,455	\$66,883	\$19,314	\$86,197		
c. Travel	\$72,988	\$0	\$72,988	\$45,137	\$0	\$45,137		
d. Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
e. Materials/Supplies	\$52,464	\$1,200	\$53,664	\$8,839	\$0	\$8,839		
f. Subcontracts Total	\$1,453,800	\$33,000	\$1,486,800	\$25,367	\$0	\$25,367		
Indirect	\$78,629	\$298,273	\$376,902	\$1,449	\$0	\$1,449		
g. Other	\$101,360	\$71,260	\$172,620	\$30,598	\$0	\$30,598		
h. Total Costs	\$ 2,642,591	\$ 669,113	\$ 3,311,704	\$407,909	\$98,123	\$506,032		
i. % of Total	80%	20%	100%	80.61%	19.39%	100%		
15. Certification: I certify t forth in the award docum		nowledge and belief t			lete for performance of activiti			
16a. Typed or printed nan	ne and title of Auth	orized Certifying Offic	<b>16c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)</b> 253-512-7041					
Kurt Hardin								
Interim Preparedness Unit	t Manager, Emerge	ency Management Div	16d. Email Address					
Washington Military Depa			Kurt.hardin@mil.wa.gov 16e. Date Report Submitted (month, day, year)					
16b. Signature of Authoriz	ed Certifying Offic	ial						
GH				2/19/15				

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act, as amended, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 hours per response for the application process, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Michael Dame, Director, State and Local Implementation Grant Program, Office of Public Safety Communications, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC), 1401 Constitution Avenue, N.W., HCHB, Room 7324, Washington, D.C. 20230.