

SLIGP 2.0 Grant Closeout Report				2. Award or Grant Number:	56-10-S18056
1. Recipient Name				4. EIN:	83-0208667
				6. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	06/15/2020
3. Street Address				7. Reporting Period End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/30/2020
				8. Final Report	
5. City, State, Zip Code				Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
				No <input type="checkbox"/>	
9. Project/Grant Period				10. Reserved for Review	
9a. Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/01/2018	9b. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/30/2020		
11. Program Activities					
11a. Identify the activities you performed during SLIGP 2.0 grant period of performance:					
	Activity Type (Planning, Governance Meetings, etc.)	Was this Activity Performed during the grant period? (Yes/No)	Total Project Deliverable Quantity (Number)	Description of Activity Deliverable Quantity	
1	Governance Meetings	Yes	9	Cumulative number of governance, subcommittee, or working group meetings related to the NPSBN held during the grant period	
2	Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences	No		Cumulative number of individuals sent to national or regional third-party conferences with a focus or training track related to the NPSBN using SLIGP 2.0 grant funds during the grant period	
3	Convened Stakeholder Events	No		Cumulative number of events coordinated or held using SLIGP 2.0 grant funds during the grant period, as requested by FirstNet.	
4	Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE)	Yes	0.36	Cumulative number of state/territory personnel FTEs who began supporting SLIGP 2.0 activities during the grant period (may be a decimal).	
5	Contracts Executed	No		Cumulative number of contracts executed during the grant period.	
6	Subrecipient Agreements Executed	No		Cumulative number of agreements executed during the grant period.	
7	Data Sharing Policies/Agreements Developed	No		Yes or No if data sharing policies and/or agreements were developed during the grant period.	
8	Further Identification of Potential Public Safety Users	Yes		Yes or No if further identification of potential public safety users occurred during the grant period.	
9	Plans for Emergency Communications Technology Transitions	No		Yes or No if plans for future emergency communications technology transitions occurred during the grant period.	
10	Identified and Planned to Transition PS Apps & Databases	No		Yes or No if public safety applications or databases within the State or territory were identified and transition plans were developed during the grant period	
11	Identify Ongoing Coverage Gaps	No		Yes or No if participated in identifying ongoing coverage gaps using SLIGP 2.0 funds during the grant period.	
12	Data Collection Activities	No		Yes or No if participated in data collection activities as requested by FirstNet	

11b. Please provide a description of each activity reported in response to Question 11; any challenges or obstacles encountered and mitigation strategies you employed; and any additional project milestones or information.

11.1: Our State Public Safety Communication Commission (PSCC) includes 11 executive members representing: The Wyoming Police Chief's Association, The Wyoming Sheriff's Association, The Division of Criminal Investigation, Office of the Attorney General, The Wyoming Game and Fish Department, The Wyoming Department of Transportation, Wyoming Fire Chief's Association, The Public at Large, An Ambulance and Emergency Medical Services Organization, The Wyoming Association of Municipalities, The Wyoming County Commissions Association, Tribal Government or Tribal Government Association. The PSCC met 9 times during the SLIGP 2.0 grant period and open public meetings had representation from FirstNet/AT&T. The PSCC focused on statewide public safety issues and updates FirstNet buildout across Wyoming was always on the agenda. 11.4 Three Wyoming FTE individuals worked on FirstNet for Wyoming for the SLIGP 2.0 grant; equaled .36 of their time. SPOC/SWIC, WYOLINK radio network supervisor and ETS financial supervisor. Volunteer time match was initially accounted for, but stopped towards the end of the grant period. 11.8 Potential Public Safety users were discussed during this time frame. Grant funds were never expended for any of these items. No workshops were held specific to FirstNet.

11c. Did you perform activities during the last quarter of the grant that haven't been reported previously (i.e., new programmatic activities, staffing changes)? If so, please describe.

11.1: One PSCC meeting was held during the last quarter.

11d. Please share any lessons learned or best practices that your organization implemented during your SLIGP 2.0 project.

HIGH-LEVEL LESSON LEARNED: We learned that there is a need to continue to educate and discuss the NPSBN with our stakeholders, as their perspectives are imperative to the success of the NPSBN in Wyoming.

We learned that there are huge coverage gaps across the state that needs to be build out by AT&T. AT&T has negotiated contracts with in-state ISPs for infrastructure partnerships. We continue to educate and discuss the NPSBN with our stakeholders, locals and Legislators, as their perspectives are imperative to the success of the NPSBN in Wyoming.

We learned that lack of coverage across half of the state is a major barrier to subscriptions in the state. Casper PD was the first agency in the nation to purchase FirstNet by AT&T serives. We actively work with FirstNet and AT&T to report areas of coverage concern. After the grant program, we will continue to engage and collaborate with both organizations.

Total Funds Allocated to Contracts	\$0.00	\$0.00
---	---------------	---------------

14. Budget Worksheet

Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your project budget for the entire award and your final SF 424A. Columns 5, 6, and 7 should list your final budget figures, cumulative through the last quarter


Project Budget Element (1)	Federal Funds Awarded (2)	Approved Matching Funds (3)	Total Budget (4)	Final Federal Funds Expended (5)	Final Approved Matching Funds Expended (6)	Final Total Funds Expended (7)
a. Personnel Salaries		\$95,801.00	\$95,801.00	\$0.00	\$9,292.61	\$9,292.61
b. Personnel Fringe Benefits		\$28,739.00	\$28,739.00	\$0.00	\$2,788.25	\$2,788.25
c. Travel	\$50,000.00		\$50,000.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
d. Equipment			\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
e. Materials/Supplies	\$12,492.00		\$12,492.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
f. Contractual	\$472,508.00		\$472,508.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
g. Other		\$9,210.00	\$9,210.00	\$0.00	\$4,518.27	\$4,518.27
h. Indirect			\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
i. Total Costs	\$535,000.00	\$133,750.00	\$668,750.00	\$0.00	\$16,599.13	\$16,599.13
j. Proportionality Percent	80%	20%	100%	0%	100%	100%

15. Additional Questions: Read each statement below. Rate your level of agreement or disagreement with each statement and answer follow-up questions to provide additional information.

Statement	Agree/Disagree	Additional Questions	Response
15a. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in planning for the integration with the NPSBN.	2-Disagree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	The SLIGP 2.0 grant was premature for the integration with the NPSBN in Wyoming. Public Safety stakeholders were engaged and educated, but highly cautious about promises of statewide coverage for (frontier-rural) Wyoming. Subscribers have only been in the urban cites where coverage is stronger.

<p>15b. I plan to continue any SLIGP 2.0 program activities beyond the SLIGP 2.0 period of performance.</p>	<p>3-Neutral</p>	<p>What do you plan to accomplish after the period of performance?</p>	<p>No program activities were utilized with grant funds. FirstNet will continue to be updated and discussed at P5CC meetings.</p>
<p>15c. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in informing my stakeholders about FirstNet.</p>	<p>2-Disagree</p>	<p>What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?</p>	<p>The SLIGP 2.0 funds were not used in informing stakeholders about FirstNet. Existing state meetings were utilized to discuss and update stakeholders on the progress of buildout in Wyoming. Grant was premature for holding meaningful public safety meetings, because there is no AT&T coverage in over half the state.</p>
<p>Statement</p>	<p>Agree/Disagree</p>	<p>Additional Questions</p>	<p>Response</p>

<p>15d. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in maintaining a governance structure for broadband in my state/territory.</p>	<p>2-Disagree</p>	<p>What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?</p>	<p>No SLIGP 2.0 funds were used in maintaining a governance structure. The PSCC is the existing governing body in Wyoming. FirstNet is always a line item on the agenda.</p>
<p>15e. SLIGP 2.0 funds provided resources that were helpful in preparing for FirstNet planning activities in my state/territory (e.g. staffing, attending broadband conferences, participating in training, procuring contract support etc.).</p>	<p>3-Neutral</p>	<p>What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?</p>	<p>No broadband conferences were attended.</p>

<p>15f. Overall, SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in preparing for FirstNet.</p>	<p>1-Strongly Disagree</p>	<p>What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?</p>	<p>Overall, challenges we encountered during the overall SLIGP 2.0 grant period of performance included; the scope of allowable activities were narrow, stakeholders were not interested in planning allowable activities, our governing body only identified unallowable activities, working within allowable activities was difficult to navigate from veering into unallowable. Wyoming struggled to spend the SLIGP 2.0 funds. Our stakeholders were ready to work on the operations of the NPSBN, which was not allowable.</p>
<p>16. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose(s) set forth in the award documents.</p>			
<p>16a. Typed or printed name and title of Authorized Certifying Official:</p> <p>Troy Babbitt - WYDOT CTO</p>		<p>16c. Telephone:</p>	<p>307-777-4484</p>
<p>16b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official:</p> 		<p>16d. Email Address:</p>	<p>Troy.Babbitt@wyo.gov</p>
<p><i>CLOSE OUT</i></p>		<p>16e. Date:</p>	<p>6/15/2020 <i>6/15/2020</i></p>

Public Burden Statement: According to the Paperwork Reduction Act, as amended, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 25 hours per response. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Natalie Romanoff, Program Director, State and Local Implementation Grant Program, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 4078, Washington, DC 20230.