Applicant Name:  The University of Texas at Austin

Public Notice Submissions

Service Area:  Brenham

Submitter:  AT&T

Comment:  AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

Submitter:  Internet America, Inc.

Comment:  Internet America currently provides access to high-speed Broadband Internet service to this Applicant's proposed service area. As detailed in this response the Company believes the area should be re-categorized as served.

Service Area:  Briggs

Submitter:  AT&T

Comment:  AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed
service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.

Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area:  Thrall

Submitter:  AT&T
Comment:  AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.

Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination. AT&T has attempted to use the available manual mapping tool, to the best of its ability, to approximately depict its combined wireline and 3G mobile broadband service area footprint overlaid on the Applicant's proposed funded service area.

-----Service Area:  Johnson City

Submitter:  AT&T
Comment:  AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed
service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.

Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination. AT&T has attempted to use the available manual mapping tool, to the best of its ability, to approximately depict its combined wireline and 3G mobile broadband service area footprint overlaid on the Applicant’s proposed funded service area.

-----Service Area:  Wimberley

Submitter:  AT&T

Comment:  AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination. AT&T has attempted to use the available manual mapping tool, to the best of its ability, to approximately depict its combined wireline and 3G mobile broadband service area footprint overlaid on the Applicant’s proposed funded service area.

-----Service Area:  Columbus

Submitter:  Texas Lone Star Network

Comment:  Comments: The University of Texas at Austin
Texas Lone Star Network, Inc. (TLSN) is middle mile provider that provides wholesale transport and Internet bandwidth in the state of Texas. TLSN appreciates the opportunity to provide comments to RUS and NTIA regarding the ARRA applications. TLSN was founded by 38 Texas telecommunications providers as an alternative middle mile market provider with the objective of providing higher capacity with lower operating costs and consequently lower rates to its members and other telecommunication providers. TLSN has been operating since ____ and has invested considerable capital in the state of Texas by providing solutions for private networks providers, local exchange carriers, long distance carriers, competitive providers, Internet providers, wireless providers, and cable providers.

TLSN has reviewed the middle mile ARRA application filed by The University of Texas at Austin (UT) which seeks loan and grant funds to provide middle mile connectivity to areas they say will “…create a useful broadband infrastructure for the people in Texas who need it.” UT furthers states: “…the problem of building adequate network connections between the Internet’s backbone pipes and the last mile local loops that go to end users with no means of connecting those local loops to the greater network.” TLSN takes exception to UT’s claim that middle mile facilities are not available and adequate today and are preventing end-users from obtaining high speed broadband services. This is simply not a correct statement. TLSN has in place sufficient middle mile capacity to provide to all last mile providers along the route described in UT’s application. TLSN is not aware of any last mile provider along UT’s proposed route that does not have sufficient capacity available. TLSN currently has bandwidth capacity of up to 10 GigE available. TLSN takes exception to the limited ARRA resources being used as proposed by UT. In addition, the loan/grant request of $99,825,256 seems excessive when compared to the capital investments made by TLSN for similarly placed facilities and capacity.

TLSN point outs that funding another middle mile provider in an area that is already saturated with multiple service providers is a poor use of limited ARRA funds and does not meet the goals of the ARRA. TLSN requests that the UT ARRA application which labels its proposed funded area as unserved or underserved be considered areas that do not qualify for ARRA grant or loan funding.

The TLSN members are: Alonso Cellular, Advanced Telecom, Big Bend Telephone, Border2Border, Brazoria Telephone, Cap Rock Telephone, Central Texas Communications, Century Telephone, Colorado Valley Telephone, Coleman County Telephone, Comanche County Telephone, Comcell, Cumby Telephone, Delcom, Etex Telephone, Eastex Telephone, Five Area Telephone, Guadalupe Valley Telephone, Hill Country Telecommunications, Industry Telephone Company, LaWard Communications, Lipan Cell-Tel, Norte Communications, Brazos Telephone, Peoples Telephone, Plateau Telecommunications, Poka Lambro Telephone, Riveria Telephone, Santa Rosa Telephone, South Plains
Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

Submitter: Internet America, Inc.

Comment: Internet America currently provides access to high-speed Broadband Internet service to this Applicant's proposed service area. As detailed in this response the Company believes the area should be re-categorized as served.

-----Service Area: Dale

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project,
satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.

Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area: Yoakum

Submitter: Time Warner Cable Inc

Comment: As shown in the attached map and exhibit, TWC currently provides broadband Internet access service in the Proposed Funded Service Area. In addition, we advertise service of speeds above 3 Mbps throughout this service area. We pass over 50% of households, and either (1) have actual broadband subscribership of over 40% of the households in these census blocks or (2) the combined broadband subscribership of the wireline broadband providers (including TWC) in these census blocks is reasonably likely to exceed 40%. Therefore, that portion of the proposed funded service area that overlaps Respondent’s service area reflected in this response is neither “unserved” or “underserved.”

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.

Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.
Texas Lone Star Network, Inc. (TLSN) is middle mile provider that provides wholesale transport and Internet bandwidth in the state of Texas. TLSN appreciates the opportunity to provide comments to RUS and NTIA regarding the ARRA applications. TLSN was founded by 38 Texas telecommunications providers as an alternative middle mile market provider with the objective of providing higher capacity with lower operating costs and consequently lower rates to its members and other telecommunication providers. TLSN has been operating since _____ and has invested considerable capital in the state of Texas by providing solutions for private networks providers, local exchange carriers, long distance carriers, competitive providers, Internet providers, wireless providers, and cable providers.

TLSN has reviewed the middle mile ARRA application filed by The University of Texas at Austin (UT) which seeks loan and grant funds to provide middle mile connectivity to areas they say will “...create a useful broadband infrastructure for the people in Texas who need it.” UT further states:“...the problem of building adequate network connections between the Internet’s backbone pipes and the last mile local loops that go to end users with no means of connecting those local loops to the greater network.” TLSN takes exception to UT’s claim that middle mile facilities are not available and adequate today and are preventing end-users from obtaining high speed broadband services. This is simply not a correct statement. TLSN has in place sufficient middle mile capacity to provide to all last mile providers along the route described in UT’s application.. TLSN is not aware of any last mile provider along UT’s proposed route that does not have sufficient capacity available. TLSN currently has bandwidth capacity of up to 10 GigE available. TLSN takes exception to the limited ARRA resources being used as proposed by UT. In addition, the loan/grant request of $99,825,256 seems excessive when compared to the capital investments made by TLSN for similarly placed facilities and capacity.

TLSN point outs that funding another middle mile provider in an area that is already saturated with multiple service providers is a poor use of limited ARRA funds and does not meet the goals of the ARRA..
TLSN requests that the UT ARRA application which labels its proposed funded area as unserved or underserved be considered areas that do not qualify for ARRA grant or loan funding.

The TLSN members are: Alonso Cellular, Advanced Telecom, Big Bend Telephone, Border2Border, Brazoria Telephone, Cap Rock Telephone, Central Texas Communications, Century Telephone, Colorado Valley Telephone, Coleman County Telephone, Comanche County Telephone, Comcell, Cumby Telephone, Delcom, Etex Telephone, Eastex Telephone, Five Area Telephone, Guadalupe Valley Telephone, Hill Country Telecommunications, Industry Telephone Company, LaWard Communications, Lipan Cell-Tel, Norte Communications, Brazos Telephone, Peoples Telephone, Plateau Telecommunications, Poka Lambro Telephone, Riveria Telephone, Santa Rosa Telephone, South Plains Telephone, Southwest Texas Telephone, Taylor Telephone, Townes Communications, W. T, Services, YK Communications and XIT Telecommunications

Please contact us if you have any questions.

Submitter:  Hill Country Telecommunications, LLC

Comment:  October 28, 2009

Broadband Initiatives Program
Rural Utilities Service
U. S. Department of Agriculture
1400 Independence Ave., SW, Stop 1599
Washington, DC  20250-1599

Broadband Technology Opportunities Program
National Telecommunications & Information Administration
U. S. Department of Commerce
HCHB, Room 4812
1401 Constitution Ave., NW
This letter is in response to The University of Texas (UT) BIP/BTOP middle mile grant request. In UT’s application they claim that “rural Americans end up being the victim of middle mile problems with no means of connecting those loops back to the greater network.”

As a segment provider of the Texas Lone Star Network (TLSN), Hill Country Telecommunications, LLC (HCT) has invested approximately $4.7 million in fiber infrastructure, which provides “middle mile” access. TLSN is composed of 38 independent telephone companies who have joined their fiber network to create a statewide fiber optic network throughout the state of Texas. Being a Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing (DWDM) transport provider for the Lonestar Education and Research Network (LEARN) Project and private industry, tremendous amounts of bandwidth exist on this network today.

UT’s claim of insufficient “middle mile” facilities is bogus and unqualified. TLSN stands ready to meet the middle mile requirements throughout rural Texas.

Therefore, Hill Country Telecommunications, LLC respectfully request that the University of Texas application for a middle mile grant be denied.

Sincerely,
Texas Lone Star Network, Inc. (TLSN) is middle mile provider that provides wholesale transport and Internet bandwidth in the state of Texas. TLSN appreciates the opportunity to provide comments to RUS and NTIA regarding the ARRA applications. TLSN was founded by 38 Texas telecommunications providers as an alternative middle mile market provider with the objective of providing higher capacity with lower operating costs and consequently lower rates to its members and other telecommunication providers. TLSN has been operating since ____ and has invested considerable capital in the state of Texas by providing solutions for private networks providers, local exchange carriers, long distance carriers, competitive providers, Internet providers, wireless providers, and cable providers.

TLSN has reviewed the middle mile ARRA application filed by The University of Texas at Austin (UT) which seeks loan and grant funds to provide middle mile connectivity to areas they say will “...create a useful broadband infrastructure for the people in Texas who need it.” UT furthers states:“...the problem of building adequate network connections between the Internet’s backbone pipes and the last mile local loops that go to end users with no means of connecting those local loops to the greater network.” TLSN takes exception to UT’s claim that middle mile facilities are not available and adequate today and are preventing end-users from obtaining high speed broadband services. This is simply not a correct statement. TLSN has in place sufficient middle mile capacity to provide to all last mile providers along the route described in UT’s application.. TLSN is not aware of any last mile provider along UT’s proposed route that does not have sufficient capacity available. TLSN currently has bandwidth capacity of up to 10 GigE available. TLSN takes exception to the limited ARRA resources being used as proposed by UT. In
addition, the loan/grant request of $99,825,256 seems excessive when compared to the capital investments made by TLSN for similarly placed facilities and capacity.

TLSN point outs that funding another middle mile provider in an area that is already saturated with multiple service providers is a poor use of limited ARRA funds and does not meet the goals of the ARRA. TLSN requests that the UT ARRA application which labels its proposed funded area as unserved or underserved be considered areas that do not qualify for ARRA grant or loan funding.

The TLSN members are: Alonso Cellular, Advanced Telecom, Big Bend Telephone, Border2Border, Brazoria Telephone, Cap Rock Telephone, Central Texas Communications, Century Telephone, Colorado Valley Telephone, Coleman County Telephone, Comanche County Telephone, Comcell, Cumby Telephone, Delcom, Etex Telephone, Eastex Telephone, Five Area Telephone, Guadalupe Valley Telephone, Hill Country Telecommunications, Industry Telephone Company, LaWard Communications, Lipan Cell-Tel, Norte Communications, Brazos Telephone, Peoples Telephone, Plateau Telecommunications, Poka Lambro Telephone, Riveria Telephone, Santa Rosa Telephone, South Plains Telephone, Southwest Texas Telephone, Taylor Telephone, Townes Communications, W. T, Services, YK Communications and XIT Telecommunications

Please contact us if you have any questions.

Submitter: CMA Communications

Comment: CMA Communications currently provides a robust 6 Mbps broadband service to Weimar, TX, and appreciates the opportunity to share a public response regarding the University of Texas at Austin's ambitious (BUILD) $21M application. CMA's comments are intended to provide factual knowledge and context that will assist in review of this application. Our Public Response includes an accurate rendering of our current service area, a summary of homes capable of receiving our broadband products and an overview of product features.

CMA Communications has made a significant private investment to deliver service to Weimar. The applicant’s Executive Summary contends that "Currently many of these areas have no broadband, and where it does exist is ad hoc expensive, and difficult/costly to install and maintain." We strongly disagree with this statement, and the applicant provides no other information to warrant this claim. The scarcity of federal broadband funding resources is such that a $21M proposal should be carefully scrutinized.
In addition to Weimar, TX, The University of Texas application would provide redundant service in the areas of Giddings, La Grange, Hallettsville and Schulenburg. We have filed similar public responses in each of these communities.

Submitter:  Internet America, Inc.

Comment:  Internet America currently provides access to high-speed Broadband Internet service to this Applicant’s proposed service area. As detailed in this response the Company believes the area should be re-categorized as served.

-----Service Area:  Hallettsville

Submitter:  AT&T

Comment:  AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area:  Bertram

Submitter:  Time Warner Cable Inc
Comment: As shown in the attached map and exhibit, TWC currently provides broadband Internet access service in the Proposed Funded Service Area. In addition, we advertise service of speeds above 3 Mbps throughout this service area. We pass over 50% of households, and either (1) have actual broadband subscribership of over 40% of the households in these census blocks or (2) the combined broadband subscribership of the wireline broadband providers (including TWC) in these census blocks is reasonably likely to exceed 40%. Therefore, that portion of the proposed funded service area that overlaps Respondent’s service area reflected in this response is neither “unserved” or “underserved.”

-----Service Area: Shiner

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area: Buda

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed
service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.

Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination. AT&T has attempted to use the available manual mapping tool, to the best of its ability, to approximately depict its combined wireline and 3G mobile broadband service area footprint overlaid on the Applicant’s proposed funded service area.

-----Service Area:  Yorktown

Submitter:  AT&T

Comment:  AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area:  Round Mountain

Submitter:  AT&T

Comment:  AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed
service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.

Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination. AT&T has attempted to use the available manual mapping tool, to the best of its ability, to approximately depict its combined wireline and 3G mobile broadband service area footprint overlaid on the Applicant’s proposed funded service area.

-----Service Area:  Crane

Submitter:  AT&T

Comment:  AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area:  Lexington

Submitter:  Reveille Broadband

Comment:  Reveille Broadband is a small company in the business of providing cable TV, HDTV, Broadband Internet and VoIP. Reveille applied for and received a State issued franchise last year when it's existing City of Lexington franchise expired. Reveille has been providing these services in the City of
Lexington for over three years and competes with Verizon for the triple play offerings and with incumbent wireless operator Cobalt, the recipient of a previous RUS Broadband grant. It seems that the Broadband grant program is designed more for small businesses like Reveille Broadband and not large entities like the University of Texas, who has no valid reason to come to Lexington and compete for market share.

-----Service Area:  Giddings

Submitter: Texas Lone Star Network

Comment: TLSN would like to retract the previous comment entered on 10/28/09. At this time TLSN does not have a middle mile presence in Giddings.

Submitter: CMA Communications

Comment: CMA Communications currently provides a robust 6 Mbps broadband service to Giddings, TX, and appreciates the opportunity to share a public response regarding the University of Texas at Austin’s ambitious (BUILD) $21M application. CMA’s comments are intended to provide factual knowledge and context that will assist in review of this application. Our Public Response includes an accurate rendering of our current service area, a summary of homes capable of receiving our broadband products and an overview of product features.

CMA Communications has made a significant private investment to deliver service to Giddings. The applicant’s Executive Summary contends that "Currently many of these areas have no broadband, and where it does exist is ad hoc expensive, and difficult/costly to install and maintain." We strongly disagree with this statement, and the applicant provides no other information to warrant this claim. The scarcity of federal broadband funding resources is such that a $21M proposal should be carefully scrutinized.

In addition to Giddings, TX, The University of Texas application would provide redundant service in the areas of Weimar, La Grange, Hallettsville and Schulenburg. We have filed similar public responses in each of these communities.
-----Service Area: Luling

Submitter: Time Warner Cable Inc

Comment: As shown in the attached map and exhibit, TWC currently provides broadband Internet access service in the Proposed Funded Service Area. In addition, we advertise service of speeds above 3 Mbps throughout this service area. We pass over 50% of households, and either (1) have actual broadband subscribership of over 40% of the households in these census blocks or (2) the combined broadband subscribership of the wireline broadband providers (including TWC) in these census blocks is reasonably likely to exceed 40%. Therefore, that portion of the proposed funded service area that overlaps Respondent’s service area reflected in this response is neither “unserved” or “underserved.”

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant’s proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area: Bastrop
Submitter: Texas Lone Star Network

Comment: TLSN would like to retract the previous comment from 10/28/09 for the town of Bastrop.

Submitter: Time Warner Cable Inc

Comment: As shown in the attached map and exhibit, TWC currently provides broadband Internet access service in the Proposed Funded Service Area. In addition, we advertise service of speeds above 3 Mbps throughout this service area. We pass over 50% of households, and either (1) have actual broadband subscribership of over 40% of the households in these census blocks or (2) the combined broadband subscribership of the wireline broadband providers (including TWC) in these census blocks is reasonably likely to exceed 40%. Therefore, that portion of the proposed funded service area that overlaps Respondent’s service area reflected in this response is neither “unserved” or “underserved.”

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.
Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area: Moulton

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed
service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.

Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

AT&T has attempted to use the available manual mapping tool, to the best of its ability, to approximately depict its combined wireline and 3G mobile broadband service area footprint overlaid on the Applicant’s proposed funded service area.

-----Service Area: Hempstead

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant’s proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

Submitter: Internet America, Inc.

Comment: Internet America currently provides access to high-speed Broadband Internet service to this Applicant’s proposed service area. As detailed in this response the Company believes the area should be re-categorized as served.
Submitter: Time Warner Cable Inc

Comment: As shown in the attached map and exhibit, TWC currently provides broadband Internet access service in the Proposed Funded Service Area. In addition, we advertise service of speeds above 3 Mbps throughout this service area. We pass over 50% of households, and either (1) have actual broadband subscribership of over 40% of the households in these census blocks or (2) the combined broadband subscribership of the wireline broadband providers (including TWC) in these census blocks is reasonably likely to exceed 40%. Therefore, that portion of the proposed funded service area that overlaps Respondent’s service area reflected in this response is neither “unserved” or “underserved.”

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant’s proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area: Martindale

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed
service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.

Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area: Fredericksburg

Submitter: Texas Lone Star Network

Comment: Comments: The University of Texas at Austin

Texas Lone Star Network, Inc. (TLSN) is middle mile provider that provides wholesale transport and Internet bandwidth in the state of Texas. TLSN appreciates the opportunity to provide comments to RUS and NTIA regarding the ARRA applications. TLSN was founded by 38 Texas telecommunications providers as an alternative middle mile market provider with the objective of providing higher capacity with lower operating costs and consequently lower rates to its members and other telecommunication providers. TLSN has been operating since _____ and has invested considerable capital in the state of Texas by providing solutions for private networks providers, local exchange carriers, long distance carriers, competitive providers, Internet providers, wireless providers, and cable providers.

TLSN has reviewed the middle mile ARRA application filed by The University of Texas at Austin (UT) which seeks loan and grant funds to provide middle mile connectivity to areas they say will “...create a useful broadband infrastructure for the people in Texas who need it.” UT furthers states:“...the problem of building adequate network connections between the Internet’s backbone pipes and the last mile local loops that go to end users with no means of connecting those local loops to the greater network.” TLSN takes exception to UT’s claim that middle mile facilities are not available and adequate today and are preventing end-users from obtaining high speed broadband services. This is simply not a correct statement. TLSN has in place sufficient middle mile capacity to provide to all last mile providers along the route described in UT’s application.. TLSN is not aware of any last mile provider along UT’s proposed route that does not have sufficient capacity available. TLSN currently has bandwidth capacity of up to
10 GigE available. TSLN takes exception to the limited ARRA resources being used as proposed by UT. In addition, the loan/grant request of $99,825,256 seems excessive when compared to the capital investments made by TLSN for similarly placed facilities and capacity.

TLSN points out that funding another middle mile provider in an area that is already saturated with multiple service providers is a poor use of limited ARRA funds and does not meet the goals of the ARRA. TLSN requests that the UT ARRA application which labels its proposed funded area as unserved or underserved be considered areas that do not qualify for ARRA grant or loan funding.

The TLSN members are: Alonso Cellular, Advanced Telecom, Big Bend Telephone, Border2Border, Brazoria Telephone, Cap Rock Telephone, Central Texas Communications, Century Telephone, Colorado Valley Telephone, Coleman County Telephone, Comanche County Telephone, Comcell, Cumby Telephone, Delcom, Etex Telephone, Eastex Telephone, Five Area Telephone, Guadalupe Valley Telephone, Hill Country Telecommunications, Industry Telephone Company, LaWard Communications, Lipan Cell-Tel, Norte Communications, Brazos Telephone, Peoples Telephone, Plateau Telecommunications, Poka Lambro Telephone, Riveria Telephone, Santa Rosa Telephone, South Plains Telephone, Southwest Texas Telephone, Taylor Telephone, Townes Communications, W. T. Services, YK Communications and XIT Telecommunications

Please contact us if you have any questions.
SUBJECT: Applicant Name: The University of Texas at Austin (Austin, TX)

Project Title: Texas Open Pop Project (TOPP)

Program: BIP/BTOP

Gentlemen:

This letter is in response to The University of Texas (UT) BIP/BTOP middle mile grant request. In UT’s application they claim that “rural Americans end up being the victim of middle mile problems with no means of connecting those loops back to the greater network.”

As a segment provider of the Texas Lone Star Network (TLSN), Hill Country Telecommunications, LLC (HCT) has invested approximately $4.7 million in fiber infrastructure, which provides “middle mile” access. TLSN is composed of 38 independent telephone companies who have joined their fiber network to create a statewide fiber optic network throughout the state of Texas. Being a Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing (DWDM) transport provider for the Lonestar Education and Research Network (LEARN) Project and private industry, tremendous amounts of bandwidth exist on this network today.

UT’s claim of insufficient “middle mile” facilities is bogus and unqualified. TLSN stands ready to meet the middle mile requirements throughout rural Texas.

Therefore, Hill Country Telecommunications, LLC respectfully request that the University of Texas application for a middle mile grant be denied.
As shown in the attached map and exhibit, TWC currently provides broadband Internet access service in the Proposed Funded Service Area. In addition, we advertise service of speeds above 3 Mbps throughout this service area. We pass over 50% of households, and either (1) have actual broadband subscribership of over 40% of the households in these census blocks or (2) the combined broadband subscribership of the wireline broadband providers (including TWC) in these census blocks is reasonably likely to exceed 40%. Therefore, that portion of the proposed funded service area that overlaps Respondent’s service area reflected in this response is neither “unserved” or “underserved.”

-----Service Area: Somerville

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers'
currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make
a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

Submitter: Reveille Broadband

Comment: Reveille Broadband has a franchise with the City of Somerville to provide cable TV services
which in this market includes cable TV, Digital cable, Broadband Internet and will soon include VoIP.
Reveille Broadband also has a State of Texas issued franchise for the town of Lyons, which is served by
the same network as Somerville. Reveille is a small company with four employees currently. It seems
that this grant program was intended for small companies like Reveille Broadband. Reveille is already
competing with Verizon in Somerville for its triple play customers, (video, voice and data) as well as a
local wireless operator. If this applicant had done any field research at all it would know that almost
every community in its applications proposed service area is already being served by at least two other
Broadband providers.

-----Service Area: Burnet

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband
footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed
service area, which may be part of a larger application and project,
satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.
Additional information regarding other broadband service providers'
currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make
a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area: Smithville
Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area: Bellville

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

Submitter: Internet America, Inc.
Comment: Internet America currently provides access to high-speed Broadband Internet service to this Applicant’s proposed service area. As detailed in this response the Company believes the area should be re-categorized as served.

-----Service Area:  Burton

Submitter:  AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.

Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination. AT&T has attempted to use the available manual mapping tool, to the best of its ability, to approximately depict its combined wireline and 3G mobile broadband service area footprint overlaid on the Applicant’s proposed funded service area.

-----Service Area:  La Grange

Submitter:  Texas Lone Star Network

Comment: Comments: The University of Texas at Austin

Texas Lone Star Network, Inc. (TLSN) is middle mile provider that provides wholesale transport and Internet bandwidth in the state of Texas. TLSN appreciates the opportunity to provide comments to RUS and NTIA regarding the ARRA applications. TSLN was founded by 38 Texas telecommunications
providers as an alternative middle mile market provider with the objective of providing higher capacity with lower operating costs and consequently lower rates to its members and other telecommunication providers. TLSN has been operating since ____ and has invested considerable capital in the state of Texas by providing solutions for private networks providers, local exchange carriers, long distance carriers, competitive providers, Internet providers, wireless providers, and cable providers.

TLSN has reviewed the middle mile ARRA application filed by The University of Texas at Austin (UT) which seeks loan and grant funds to provide middle mile connectivity to areas they say will “…create a useful broadband infrastructure for the people in Texas who need it.” UT furthers states: “…the problem of building adequate network connections between the Internet’s backbone pipes and the last mile local loops that go to end users with no means of connecting those local loops to the greater network.” TLSN takes exception to UT’s claim that middle mile facilities are not available and adequate today and are preventing end-users from obtaining high speed broadband services. This is simply not a correct statement. TLSN has in place sufficient middle mile capacity to provide to all last mile providers along the route described in UT’s application. TLSN is not aware of any last mile provider along UT’s proposed route that does not have sufficient capacity available. TLSN currently has bandwidth capacity of up to 10 GigE available. TLSN takes exception to the limited ARRA resources being used as proposed by UT. In addition, the loan/grant request of $99,825,256 seems excessive when compared to the capital investments made by TLSN for similarly placed facilities and capacity.

TLSN points out that funding another middle mile provider in an area that is already saturated with multiple service providers is a poor use of limited ARRA funds and does not meet the goals of the ARRA. TLSN requests that the UT ARRA application which labels its proposed funded area as unserved or underserved be considered areas that do not qualify for ARRA grant or loan funding.

The TLSN members are: Alonso Cellular, Advanced Telecom, Big Bend Telephone, Border2Border, Brazoria Telephone, Cap Rock Telephone, Central Texas Communications, Century Telephone, Colorado Valley Telephone, Coleman County Telephone, Comanche County Telephone, Cumby Telephone, Delcom, Etex Telephone, Eastex Telephone, Five Area Telephone, Guadalupe Valley Telephone, Hill Country Telecommunications, Industry Telephone Company, LaWard Communications, Lipan Cell-Tel, Norte Communications, Brazos Telephone, Peoples Telephone, Plateau Telecommunications, Poka Lambro Telephone, Riveria Telephone, Santa Rosa Telephone, South Plains Telephone, Southwest Texas Telephone, Taylor Telephone, Townes Communications, W. T, Services, YK Communications and XIT Telecommunications.

Please contact us if you have any questions.
Submitter: CMA Communications

Comment: CMA Communications currently provides a robust 6 Mbps broadband service to La Grange, TX, and appreciates the opportunity to share a public response regarding the University of Texas at Austin's ambitious (BUILD) $21M application. CMA's comments are intended to provide factual knowledge and context that will assist in review of this application. Our Public Response includes an accurate rendering of our current service area, a summary of homes capable of receiving our broadband products and an overview of product features.

CMA Communications has made a significant private investment to deliver service to La Grange. The applicant's Executive Summary contends that "Currently many of these areas have no broadband, and where it does exist is ad hoc expensive, and difficult/costly to install and maintain." We strongly disagree with this statement, and the applicant provides no other information to warrant this claim. The scarcity of federal broadband funding resources is such that a $21M proposal should be carefully scrutinized.

In addition to La Grange, TX, The University of Texas application would provide redundant service in the areas of Weimar, Schulenburg, Hallettsville and Giddings. We have filed similar public responses in each of these communities.

-----Service Area: Gonzales

Submitter: Time Warner Cable Inc

Comment: As shown in the attached map and exhibit, TWC currently provides broadband Internet access service in the Proposed Funded Service Area. In addition, we advertise service of speeds above 3 Mbps throughout this service area. We pass over 50% of households, and either (1) have actual broadband subsribership of over 40% of the households in these census blocks or (2) the combined broadband subscribership of the wireline broadband providers (including TWC) in these census blocks is reasonably likely to exceed 40%. Therefore, that portion of the proposed funded service area that overlaps Respondent's service area reflected in this response is neither "unserved" or "underserved."
Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area: Jarrell

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination. AT&T has attempted to use the available manual mapping tool, to the best of its ability, to approximately depict its combined wireline and 3G mobile broadband service area footprint overlaid on the Applicant’s proposed funded service area.

-----Service Area: Blanco
Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area: Schulenburg

Submitter: Texas Lone Star Network

Comment: Comments: The University of Texas at Austin

Texas Lone Star Network, Inc. (TLSN) is middle mile provider that provides wholesale transport and Internet bandwidth in the state of Texas. TLSN appreciates the opportunity to provide comments to RUS and NTIA regarding the ARRA applications. TLSN was founded by 38 Texas telecommunications providers as an alternative middle mile market provider with the objective of providing higher capacity with lower operating costs and consequently lower rates to its members and other telecommunication providers. TLSN has been operating since ____ and has invested considerable capital in the state of Texas by providing solutions for private networks providers, local exchange carriers, long distance carriers, competitive providers, Internet providers, wireless providers, and cable providers

TLSN has reviewed the middle mile ARRA application filed by The University of Texas at Austin (UT) which seeks loan and grant funds to provide middle mile connectivity to areas they say will “…create a useful broadband infrastructure for the people in Texas who need it.” UT furthers states:“...the problem
of building adequate network connections between the Internet’s backbone pipes and the last mile local loops that go to end users with no means of connecting those local loops to the greater network.” TLSN takes exception to UT’s claim that middle mile facilities are not available and adequate today and are preventing end-users from obtaining high speed broadband services. This is simply not a correct statement. TLSN has in place sufficient middle mile capacity to provide to all last mile providers along the route described in UT’s application. TLSN is not aware of any last mile provider along UT’s proposed route that does not have sufficient capacity available. TLSN currently has bandwidth capacity of up to 10 GigE available. TLSN takes exception to the limited ARRA resources being used as proposed by UT. In addition, the loan/grant request of $99,825,256 seems excessive when compared to the capital investments made by TLSN for similarly placed facilities and capacity.

TLSN points out that funding another middle mile provider in an area that is already saturated with multiple service providers is a poor use of limited ARRA funds and does not meet the goals of the ARRA. TLSN requests that the UT ARRA application which labels its proposed funded area as unserved or underserved be considered areas that do not qualify for ARRA grant or loan funding.

The TLSN members are: Alonso Cellular, Advanced Telecom, Big Bend Telephone, Border2Border, Brazoria Telephone, Cap Rock Telephone, Central Texas Communications, Century Telephone, Colorado Valley Telephone, Coleman County Telephone, Comanche County Telephone, Comcell, Cumby Telephone, Delcom, Etex Telephone, Eastex Telephone, Five Area Telephone, Guadalupe Valley Telephone, Hill Country Telecommunications, Industry Telephone Company, LaWard Communications, Lipan Cell-Tel, Norte Communications, Brazos Telephone, Peoples Telephone, Plateau Telecommunications, Poka Lambro Telephone, Riveria Telephone, Santa Rosa Telephone, South Plains Telephone, Southwest Texas Telephone, Taylor Telephone, Townes Communications, W. T. Services, YK Communications and XIT Telecommunications.

Please contact us if you have any questions.

Submitter: CMA Communications

Comment: CMA Communications currently provides a robust 6 Mbps broadband service to Schulenburg, TX, and appreciates the opportunity to share a public response regarding the University of Texas at Austin’s ambitious (BUILD) $21M application. CMA’s comments are intended to provide factual knowledge and context that will assist in review of this application. Our Public Response includes an accurate rendering of our current service area, a summary of homes capable of receiving our broadband products and an overview of product features.
CMA Communications has made a significant private investment to deliver service to Schulenburg. The applicant’s Executive Summary contends that "Currently many of these areas have no broadband, and where it does exist is ad hoc expensive, and difficult/costly to install and maintain." We strongly disagree with this statement, and the applicant provides no other information to warrant this claim. The scarcity of federal broadband funding resources is such that a $21M proposal should be carefully scrutinized.

In addition to Schulenburg, TX, The University of Texas application would provide redundant service in the areas of Weimar, La Grange, Hallettsville and Giddings. We have filed similar public responses in each of these communities.

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.

Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

Submitter: Internet America, Inc.

Comment: Internet America currently provides access to high-speed Broadband Internet service to this Applicant’s proposed service area. As detailed in this response the Company believes the area should be re-categorized as served.
-----Service Area: Flatonia

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

Submitter: Internet America, Inc.

Comment: Internet America currently provides access to high-speed Broadband Internet service to this Applicant's proposed service area. As detailed in this response the Company believes the area should be re-categorized as served.

-----Service Area: Paint Rock

Submitter: Texas Lone Star Network

Comment: Comments: The University of Texas at Austin

Texas Lone Star Network, Inc. (TLSN) is middle mile provider that provides wholesale transport and Internet bandwidth in the state of Texas. TLSN appreciates the opportunity to provide comments to RUS and NTIA regarding the ARRA applications. TSLN was founded by 38 Texas telecommunications providers as an alternative middle mile market provider with the objective of providing higher capacity with lower operating costs and consequently lower rates to its members and other telecommunication
providers. TLSN has been operating since ____ and has invested considerable capital in the state of Texas by providing solutions for private networks providers, local exchange carriers, long distance carriers, competitive providers, Internet providers, wireless providers, and cable providers.

TLSN has reviewed the middle mile ARRA application filed by The University of Texas at Austin (UT) which seeks loan and grant funds to provide middle mile connectivity to areas they say will “...create a useful broadband infrastructure for the people in Texas who need it.” UT furthers states: “...the problem of building adequate network connections between the Internet’s backbone pipes and the last mile local loops that go to end users with no means of connecting those local loops to the greater network.” TLSN takes exception to UT’s claim that middle mile facilities are not available and adequate today and are preventing end-users from obtaining high speed broadband services. This is simply not a correct statement. TLSN has in place sufficient middle mile capacity to provide to all last mile providers along the route described in UT’s application. TLSN is not aware of any last mile provider along UT’s proposed route that does not have sufficient capacity available. TLSN currently has bandwidth capacity of up to 10 GigE available. TLSN takes exception to the limited ARRA resources being used as proposed by UT. In addition, the loan/grant request of $99,825,256 seems excessive when compared to the capital investments made by TLSN for similarly placed facilities and capacity.

TLSN point outs that funding another middle mile provider in an area that is already saturated with multiple service providers is a poor use of limited ARRA funds and does not meet the goals of the ARRA. TLSN requests that the UT ARRA application which labels its proposed funded area as unserved or underserved be considered areas that do not qualify for ARRA grant or loan funding.

The TLSN members are: Alonso Cellular, Advanced Telecom, Big Bend Telephone, Border2Border, Brazoria Telephone, Cap Rock Telephone, Central Texas Communications, Century Telephone, Colorado Valley Telephone, Coleman County Telephone, Comanche County Telephone, Comcell, Cumby Telephone, Delcom, Etx Telephone, Eastex Telephone, Five Area Telephone, Guadalupe Valley Telephone, Hill Country Telecommunications, Industry Telephone Company, LaWard Communications, Lipan Cell-Tel, Norte Communications, Brazos Telephone, Peoples Telephone, Plateau Telecommunications, Poka Lambro Telephone, Riveria Telephone, Santa Rosa Telephone, South Plains Telephone, Southwest Texas Telephone, Taylor Telephone, Townes Communications, W. T, Services, YK Communications and XIT Telecommunications.

Please contact us if you have any questions.
-----Service Area: Marfa

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area: Goldthwaite

Submitter: Texas Lone Star Network

Comment: Comments: The University of Texas at Austin

Texas Lone Star Network, Inc. (TSLN) is a middle mile provider that provides wholesale transport and Internet bandwidth in the state of Texas. TSLN appreciates the opportunity to provide comments to RUS and NTIA regarding the ARRA applications. TSLN was founded by 38 Texas telecommunications providers as an alternative middle mile market provider with the objective of providing higher capacity with lower operating costs and consequently lower rates to its members and other telecommunication providers. TSLN has been operating since ____ and has invested considerable capital in the state of Texas by providing solutions for private networks providers, local exchange carriers, long distance carriers, competitive providers, Internet providers, wireless providers, and cable providers.
TLSN has reviewed the middle mile ARRA application filed by The University of Texas at Austin (UT) which seeks loan and grant funds to provide middle mile connectivity to areas they say will “...create a useful broadband infrastructure for the people in Texas who need it.” UT furthers states: “...the problem of building adequate network connections between the Internet’s backbone pipes and the last mile local loops that go to end users with no means of connecting those local loops to the greater network.” TLSN takes exception to UT’s claim that middle mile facilities are not available and adequate today and are preventing end-users from obtaining high speed broadband services. This is simply not a correct statement. TLSN has in place sufficient middle mile capacity to provide to all last mile providers along the route described in UT’s application. TLSN is not aware of any last mile provider along UT’s proposed route that does not have sufficient capacity available. TLSN currently has bandwidth capacity of up to 10 GigE available. TLSN takes exception to the limited ARRA resources being used as proposed by UT. In addition, the loan/grant request of $99,825,256 seems excessive when compared to the capital investments made by TLSN for similarly placed facilities and capacity.

TLSN points out that funding another middle mile provider in an area that is already saturated with multiple service providers is a poor use of limited ARRA funds and does not meet the goals of the ARRA. TLSN requests that the UT ARRA application which labels its proposed funded area as unserved or underserved be considered areas that do not qualify for ARRA grant or loan funding.

The TLSN members are: Alonso Cellular, Advanced Telecom, Big Bend Telephone, Border2Border, Brazoria Telephone, Cap Rock Telephone, Central Texas Communications, Century Telephone, Colorado Valley Telephone, Coleman County Telephone, Comanche County Telephone, Comcell, Cumby Telephone, Delcom, Etex Telephone, Eastex Telephone, Five Area Telephone, Guadalupe Valley Telephone, Hill Country Telecommunications, Industry Telephone Company, LaWard Communications, Lipan Cell-Tel, Norte Communications, Brazos Telephone, Peoples Telephone, Plateau Telecommunications, Poka Lambro Telephone, Riveria Telephone, Santa Rosa Telephone, South Plains Telephone, Southwest Texas Telephone, Taylor Telephone, Townes Communications, W. T, Services, YK Communications and XIT Telecommunications

Please contact us if you have any questions.

Submitter: Central Texas Telephone Cooperative, Inc.

Comment: Central Texas Telephone Cooperative, Inc. (Central Texas) is an incumbent broadband provider in Mills County, TX, an area covered by the University of Texas’ Goldthwaite TOPP Middle Mile
broadband stimulus application. The applicant’s Proposed Service Area is served by Central Texas and other broadband service providers. Central Texas provides universal broadband coverage to 100% of its potential subscribers in the applicant’s Proposed Service Area and provides broadband service to 44% of those potential subscribers. Central Texas has consistently and effectively advertised the availability of broadband Internet access at speeds of 3 Mbs and higher.

-----Service Area:  Eagle Lake

Submitter:  AT&T

Comment:  AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area:  Lampasas

Submitter:  AT&T

Comment:  AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers'
currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make
a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area: Bartlett

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband
footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed
service area, which may be part of a larger application and project,
satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.
Additional information regarding other broadband service providers'
currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make
a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area: Elgin

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband
footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed
service area, which may be part of a larger application and project,
satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.
Additional information regarding other broadband service providers'
currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area:  Fort Davis

Submitter:  AT&T

Comment:  AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.

Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.