Public Notice Submissions

-----Service Area: PA-Erie

Submitter: Windstream

Comment: Windstream’s data strongly suggest that the proposed funded service area likely does not qualify as an underserved area. Windstream offers facilities-based, terrestrial broadband service (meeting the definition set forth in the Notice of Funds Availability) to some of the households in the proposed funded service area, and such households can readily subscribe to Windstream’s broadband service upon request. Windstream also has reason to believe that competitive broadband offerings are available in exchanges overlapping the proposed funded service area. Given this information, agency officials should further investigate existing broadband offerings within the proposed funded service area, so that officials can be sure that the area, in fact, qualifies as underserved.

Windstream is a communications and entertainment company with more than 1 million broadband customers in 16 states. Investing more than $200 million in broadband deployment over the last several years, Windstream now offers approximately 89% of its 3 million voice customers access to quality and affordable broadband service.

The agencies define an “underserved area” as follows: “Underserved area means a proposed funded service area, composed of one or more contiguous census blocks meeting certain criteria that measure the availability of broadband service and the level of advertised broadband speeds. These criteria conform to the two distinct components of the Broadband Infrastructure category of eligible projects—Last Mile and Middle Mile. Specifically, a proposed funded service area may qualify as underserved for last mile projects if at least one of the following factors is met, though the presumption will be that more than one factor is present: 1. No more than 50 percent of the households in the proposed funded service area have access to facilities-based, terrestrial broadband service at greater than the minimum broadband transmission speed (set forth in the definition of broadband above); 2. No fixed or mobile broadband service provider advertises broadband transmission speeds of at least three megabits per second (“mbps”) downstream in the proposed funded service area; or 3. The rate of broadband subscribership for the proposed funded service area is 40 percent of households or less. A proposed funded service area may qualify as underserved for Middle Mile projects if one interconnection point
terminates in a proposed funded service area that qualifies as unserved or underserved for Last Mile projects.”

Submitter:  Time Warner Cable, Inc.

Comment:  As shown in the attached map and exhibit, TWC currently provides broadband Internet access service in the Proposed Funded Service Area. In addition, we advertise service of speeds above 3 Mbps throughout this service area. We pass over 50% of households, and either (1) have actual broadband subscribership of over 40% of the households in these census blocks or (2) the combined broadband subscribership of the wireline broadband providers (including TWC) in these census blocks is reasonably likely to exceed 40%. Therefore, that portion of the proposed funded service area that overlaps Respondent’s service area reflected in this response is neither “unserved” or “underserved.”

Submitter:  Armstrong Utilities Inc.

Comment:  Significant portions of the proposed funded service area (PFSA) in the above Application do not satisfy the BIP or BTOP definitions of "unserved" or "underserved," and thus, should not be eligible to receive BIP or BTOP funding. Armstrong Cable provides broadband service at speeds of 3MBPS or greater throughout the portions of Applicant's PFSA mapped in this response. (Advertisement uploaded). Armstrong’s high speed broadband network passes more than 50%, and high speed broadband exceeds 40%, of households within the areas of Applicant's PFSA mapped herein. Given the presence of other broadband service providers in neighboring towns, it is reasonable to conclude that Applicant has failed to meet the required showings for its entire PFSA, and its application should be denied. At a minimum, Applicant has failed to meet the required showing in the portions of its PFSA served by Armstrong, and therefore, its Application should be denied with respect to the areas mapped herein.

-----Service Area:  OR-Eugene

Submitter:  Charter Communications

Comment:  do not submit
-----Service Area: PA-StateCollege

Submitter: Tele-Media Company of Zion, L.L.C.

Comment: Tele-Media Company of Zion, LLC provides cable TV services including broadband and telephony in two areas in central Pennsylvania that are included within the applicant's service areas. We provide broadband service that meets or exceeds the definition of broadband service in 100% of these two service areas; accordingly, our areas are not unserved or underserved.

Submitter: Windstream

Comment: Windstream's data strongly suggest that the proposed funded service area likely does not qualify as an underserved area. Windstream offers facilities-based, terrestrial broadband service (meeting the definition set forth in the Notice of Funds Availability) to some of the households in the proposed funded service area, and such households can readily subscribe to Windstream's broadband service upon request. Windstream also has reason to believe that competitive broadband offerings are available in exchanges overlapping the proposed funded service area. Given this information, agency officials should further investigate existing broadband offerings within the proposed funded service area, so that officials can be sure that the area, in fact, qualifies as underserved.

Windstream is a communications and entertainment company with more than 1 million broadband customers in 16 states. Investing more than $200 million in broadband deployment over the last several years, Windstream now offers approximately 89% of its 3 million voice customers access to quality and affordable broadband service.

The agencies define an “underserved area” as follows: “Underserved area means a proposed funded service area, composed of one or more contiguous census blocks meeting certain criteria that measure the availability of broadband service and the level of advertised broadband speeds. These criteria conform to the two distinct components of the Broadband Infrastructure category of eligible projects—Last Mile and Middle Mile. Specifically, a proposed funded service area may qualify as underserved for last mile projects if at least one of the following factors is met, though the presumption will be that more than one factor is present: 1. No more than 50 percent of the households in the proposed funded service area have access to facilities-based, terrestrial broadband service at greater than the minimum broadband transmission speed (set forth in the definition of broadband above); 2. No fixed or mobile broadband service provider advertises broadband transmission speeds of at least three megabits per
second ("mbps") downstream in the proposed funded service area; or 3. The rate of broadband subscribership for the proposed funded service area is 40 percent of households or less. A proposed funded service area may qualify as underserved for Middle Mile projects if one interconnection point terminates in a proposed funded service area that qualifies as unserved or underserved for Last Mile projects.”

-----Service Area: PA-Lebanon

Submitter: Windstream

Comment: Windstream’s data strongly suggest that the proposed funded service area likely does not qualify as an underserved area. Windstream offers facilities-based, terrestrial broadband service (meeting the definition set forth in the Notice of Funds Availability) to some of the households in the proposed funded service area, and such households can readily subscribe to Windstream’s broadband service upon request. Windstream also has reason to believe that competitive broadband offerings are available in exchanges overlapping the proposed funded service area. Given this information, agency officials should further investigate existing broadband offerings within the proposed funded service area, so that officials can be sure that the area, in fact, qualifies as underserved.

Windstream is a communications and entertainment company with more than 1 million broadband customers in 16 states. Investing more than $200 million in broadband deployment over the last several years, Windstream now offers approximately 89% of its 3 million voice customers access to quality and affordable broadband service.

The agencies define an “underserved area” as follows: “Underserved area means a proposed funded service area, composed of one or more contiguous census blocks meeting certain criteria that measure the availability of broadband service and the level of advertised broadband speeds. These criteria conform to the two distinct components of the Broadband Infrastructure category of eligible projects—Last Mile and Middle Mile. Specifically, a proposed funded service area may qualify as underserved for last mile projects if at least one of the following factors is met, though the presumption will be that more than one factor is present: 1. No more than 50 percent of the households in the proposed funded service area have access to facilities-based, terrestrial broadband service at greater than the minimum broadband transmission speed (set forth in the definition of broadband above); 2. No fixed or mobile
broadband service provider advertises broadband transmission speeds of at least three megabits per second (“mbps”) downstream in the proposed funded service area; or 3. The rate of broadband subscribership for the proposed funded service area is 40 percent of households or less. A proposed funded service area may qualify as underserved for Middle Mile projects if one interconnection point terminates in a proposed funded service area that qualifies as unserved or underserved for Last Mile projects.”

-----Service Area:  CA-Fresno

Submitter:  Ponderosa Cablevision

Comment:  Ponderosa has demonstrated that the service area submitted has sufficient subscribership, better than federally mandated broadband speeds and pricing equivalent to less rural areas.

Submitter:  Kerman Telephone Company, dba Sebastian

Comment:  Kerman Telephone, dba, Sebastian, is challenging the applications for ARRA funding for the Kerman Telephone exchange. The Kerman telephone exchange exceeds the federal NTIA/RUS unserved and underserved definition. Audeamus, a non-regulated affiliate of Sebastian launched high-speed Internet service back in 2000 and has availability to 100% of the customers in our service territory. Sebastian is currently offering the choice of 1.5Mbps, 4 Mbps, and 6Mbps to all our customers. The Kerman Telephone exchange has a broadband penetration rate of 41% and growing. As stated in the NOFA ARRA grants are designed to fund areas where no broadband connectivity exists (i.e. are either unserved or underserved). This is not the case in the Kerman exchange. Applicants also fail in the requirement to demonstrate that service would not exist absent support from the grant funds – services already exist in this area. As the area served by Sebastian does not meet the required criteria, the request for funding in our service territory for this application should be denied.

Submitter:  Comcast Cable

Comment:  Attached is a summary of the Comcast Cable homes passed, subscriber and advertising information related to the service areas encompassed by this application.
Sierra Telephone Company, Inc. and its affiliates (collectively "Sierra Telephone") hereby challenges this application for American Recovery and Reinvestment Act ("ARRA") funding in Sierra Telephone’s service territory. Sierra Telephone provides Broadband services over a Digital Subscriber Line platform in its service territory, in a manner that exceeds the federal National Telecommunications and Information Administration ("NTIA") and Rural Utilities Service ("RUS") unserved and underserved definitions. The area for which ARRA funding is sought in this application overlaps with the area that Sierra Telephone serves with broadband, and to the extent of such overlap, the application must be denied.

Sierra Telephone's service territory is neither "unserved" nor "underserved." As defined in the Notice of Funds Availability ("NOFA"), an area is classified as unserved if 90% of households lack access to broadband services. The percentage of households that lack access to broadband services at the minimum broadband transmission speeds in Sierra Telephone’s service territory that overlap the applicant’s proposed unserved area is well below the 90% threshold.

As defined in the NOFA, an area is classified as underserved if one of the following criteria are met: (1) no more than 50 percent of the households in the proposed funded service area have access to facilities-based, terrestrial broadband service at greater than the minimum broadband transmission speed of 768 kbps downstream and 200 kbps upstream; (2) no fixed or mobile broadband service provider advertises broadband transmission speeds of at least three megabits per second downstream in the proposed funded service area; or (3) the rate of broadband subscribership in the proposed funded service area is 40% or less. None of these standards for defining an underserved area can be met as to the portion of the proposed funded area that overlaps with Sierra Telephone’s service territory. The rate of broadband subscribership for households in Sierra Telephone’s service territory that overlap the applicant’s proposed underserved area is well above the 40% threshold. Further, Sierra Telephone provides facilities-based, terrestrial broadband service to more than 50% of the households in its service territory. Sierra Telephone does not have information in its possession regarding the advertised speeds for any fixed or mobile broadband offerings in the proposed funded service area. However, Sierra Telephone understands that a satellite-based Internet Service Provider, HughesNet, offers broadband speeds of 3mbps or greater.

As stated in the NOFA, ARRA grants are designed to fund areas where insufficient broadband connectivity exists (i.e. it is designed to target areas that are either unserved or underserved). This is not the case in Sierra Telephone’s service territory. In addition to the applicant's failure to meet the proper definitions of unserved and underserved relative to the proposed funded service area, the applicant also has demonstrated that service would not exist absent support from the grant funds. As described herein, services already exist in this area, including those provided by Sierra Telephone. Broadband is widely available in the area, and subscribership exceeds the 40% threshold. Since the portion of the proposed funding area that overlaps Sierra Telephone’s service territory does not meet the required criteria, the request for funding in Sierra Telephone’s service territory for this applicant must be denied.