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**Applicant Name:** Plainsnet, LLC

---

**Submitter:** @Link Services LLC

**Comment:** @Link Services LLC is an Oklahoma LLC that has been providing broadband services in Central Oklahoma since 2005. @Link provides high speed internet service with speeds of up to 6Mbs by utilizing fixed wireless technology in both licensed and unlicensed frequencies. @Link provides service in forty four rural, Oklahoma communities and four urban areas in Central Oklahoma through a network of more than 60 tower sites.

The proposed funded service areas overlaps the @Link service area. The overlapping service area has been documented with the use of the mapping tool.

@Link has included copies of advertisements for service within the proposed funded service area.

@Link has determined, at the census block level, the number of residential households currently capable of receiving @Link service. @Link has determined the total number of business establishments within the zip codes included in the polygon. Totals have been provided as requested and supporting documents are available if requested.

@Link has determined, at the census block level, the number of residences and businesses currently subscribing to @Link services as well as the advertised download and upload speeds. Totals have been provided as requested and supporting documentation is available as is necessary.
Submitter: Cherokee Telephone Company

Comment: Cherokee Telephone Company (CTC) currently provides a wide array of broadband offerings within the proposed funded service area. CTC has been offering broadband services in excess of the bandwidth requirement as set forth in the ARRA, NOFA and BIP/BTOP guidelines, all prior to the ARRA approval. CTC’s service area already provides for “sufficient access to broadband service to facilitate rural economic development”, as well as allows for choice of service providers through competing with Competitive Local Exchange Carriers, and CTC’s network allows for leasing of services through our own facilities. The proposed funded service area already provides for not only broadband service through CTC, but several CLEC’s, Cable companies, and numerous mobile broadband service providers offer several choices to the subscriber. CTC’s opinion of the proposed funded service area is that of unnecessary spending within areas where sufficient broadband offerings exist to meet current demands. Whereas the requested funding could be better utilized in other portions of the country to deploy, map, or support other broadband services in accordance with the ARRA, NOFA and BIP/BTOP programs. Through funding the proposed service area, excessive competition will be created for all, which may create a short term economic boost, but have severe long term ramifications which may force current stable and thriving service providers to close or consolidate business, leading to layoffs and resulting in another economic downturn.

Submitter: Wichita Online Inc.

Comment: Wichita Online (WOI) currently provides a wide array of broadband offerings within the proposed funded service area. WOI has offered broadband services in excess of the 3 MB bandwidth requirement as set forth in the ARRA, NOFA and BIP/BTOP guidelines, all prior to the ARRA approval. WOI’s service area already provides for “sufficient access to broadband service to facilitate rural economic development”, as well as allows for choice of service providers through competing with Local Exchange Carriers, and allows for leasing of services through our own facilities. The proposed funded service area already provides for not only broadband service through WOI, but several ILEC’s, Cable companies, and numerous mobile broadband service providers offer several choice to the subscriber. WOI’s opinion of the proposed funded service area is that of excess spending where funds could be better used in other portions of the country to deploy broadband service in accordance with the ARRA, NOFA and BIP/BTOP programs. Through funding the proposed service area, excessive competition will be created for all, which may create a short term economic boost, but have severe long term ramifications which may force service providers out of business.
Submitter: Suddenlink Communications

Comment: This response conclusively demonstrates that Suddenlink and its competitors already offer robust broadband service within the mapped area of the applicant’s proposed funded service area and that this mapped area is neither unserved nor underserved. Additional information is provided in the uploaded document, including (1) sample marketing material; (2) a guide on how to read the data we entered in the “Existing Broadband Subscribers” section of this response; (3) a summary of the vendor and methodology used for estimating competitive-service subscribers in the mapped area; (4) Suddenlink contact information, should one or more federal agencies have questions or require additional information; and (5) a summary of concerns with the response process and the limitations that process has placed on our ability to provide NTIA/RUS with relevant, timely data.