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Applicant Name:  Whitespace, LLC dba Wavelinx

Public Notice Submissions

-----Service Area:  McAlester Cluster

Submitter:  AT&T

Comment:  AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

Submitter:  Cross Wireless L.L.C.

Comment:  Cross Wireless, LLC, has been offering broadband speed data service to its subscribers for over 5 years. The area applied for by the ARRA applicant, which falls within the wireless service area is served with high speed internet broadband service.

Submitter:  Allegiance Communications, LLC

Comment:  Allegiance Communications (Allegiance) currently provides a wide array of broadband offerings within the proposed funded service area. Allegiance has offered broadband services in excess of the 3 MB bandwidth requirement as set forth in the ARRA, NOFA and BIP/BTOP guidelines, all prior to the ARRA approval. Allegiance’s service area already provides for “sufficient access to broadband service to facilitate rural economic development”, as well as allows for choice of service providers through competing with Local Exchange Carriers, and allows for leasing of services through our own facilities. Allegiance’s opinion of the proposed funded service area is that of excess spending where funds could be better used in other portions of the country to deploy broadband service in accordance
with the ARRA, NOFA and BIP/BTOP programs. Through funding the proposed service area, excessive competition will be created for all, which may create a short term economic boost, but have severe long term ramifications which may force service providers out of business.

-----Service Area:  Northeast Oklahoma

Submitter:  AT&T

Comment:  AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.

Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

Submitter:  Windstream

Comment:  Windstream’s data strongly suggest that the proposed funded service area likely does not qualify as an underserved area. Windstream offers facilities-based, terrestrial broadband service (meeting the definition set forth in the Notice of Funds Availability) to some of the households in the proposed funded service area, and such households can readily subscribe to Windstream's broadband service upon request. Windstream also has reason to believe that competitive broadband offerings are available in exchanges overlapping the proposed funded service area. Given this information, agency officials should further investigate existing broadband offerings within the proposed funded service area, so that officials can be sure that the area, in fact, qualifies as underserved.

Windstream is a communications and entertainment company with more than 1 million broadband customers in 16 states. Investing more than $200 million in broadband deployment over the last several years, Windstream now offers approximately 89% of its 3 million voice customers access to quality and affordable broadband service.
The agencies define an “underserved area” as follows: “Underserved area means a proposed funded service area, composed of one or more contiguous census blocks meeting certain criteria that measure the availability of broadband service and the level of advertised broadband speeds. These criteria conform to the two distinct components of the Broadband Infrastructure category of eligible projects—Last Mile and Middle Mile. Specifically, a proposed funded service area may qualify as underserved for last mile projects if at least one of the following factors is met, though the presumption will be that more than one factor is present: 1. No more than 50 percent of the households in the proposed funded service area have access to facilities-based, terrestrial broadband service at greater than the minimum broadband transmission speed (set forth in the definition of broadband above); 2. No fixed or mobile broadband service provider advertises broadband transmission speeds of at least three megabits per second (“mbps”) downstream in the proposed funded service area; or 3. The rate of broadband subscribership for the proposed funded service area is 40 percent of households or less. A proposed funded service area may qualify as underserved for Middle Mile projects if one interconnection point terminates in a proposed funded service area that qualifies as unserved or underserved for Last Mile projects.”

Submitter:  Totah Communications, Inc.

Comment:  Totah Communications, Inc.

OMB Control Number: 0660-0031

OMB Control Number: 0572-0142

Whitespace, LLC – DBA Wavelinx (Wavelinx) a wireless service provider, with offices in Oklahoma City, in their Whitespace, LLC - DBA Wavelinx - NE OK filing has incorrectly filed for ARRA funding for areas that include a portion of Totah Communications, Inc.’s (Totah) rural exchange area in Oklahoma. The area Wavelinx proposes to serve that covers Totah territory in Oklahoma is Ochelata. Wavelinx has incorrectly identified the area as underserved. The exchange of Ochelata only has limited portions that are not already being served with broadband by Totah with speeds equal to or in excess of 768 bps. In the limited areas in which 768 bps are not currently provided, Totah is still providing internet access. Additionally, Totah has requested ARRA funds in order to upgrade those limited areas with speeds equal to or in excess of 3Mbps. We recommend that the Wavelinx application be rejected.

If you have any questions, or need additional information please do not hesitate to contact us.
Sincerely,

Keith E. Watson

Totah Communications, Inc.

Submitter: Salina Spavinaw Telephone Company, Inc.

Comment: The area that Salina Spavinaw Telephone Company, Inc. serves is neither underserved or unserved. We have purchased new equipment since June 30, 2009 that will enable our company to serve at least 90% of households in this service area. The service will be downloads of at least 768K and up to 3.0Mbps.

Submitter: Grand Telephon Co. Inc.

Comment: The area in which the applicant Whitespace LLC dba Wavelinx has filed a stimulus application defining an underserved area, a portion of Wavelinx application area is currently served with Broadband by Grand Telephone. Over the last seven years Grand Telephone has made and maintained significant investments to provide 768 kbps broadband speeds to all establishments in its serving area. Grand Telephone advertises the availability of 3 Mb Broadband Speeds to all establishments within its Jay and Disney exchanges.

Submitter: Seneca Goodman and Ozark Telephone Companies

Comment: Seneca, Goodman, and Ozark Telephone Companies, an RUS/RDUP telecom loan program borrower, currently provides broadband internet service access to all establishments located within their certificated service areas. The lowest advertised broadband internet service package is 1 Mb downstream with 3 Mb internet service also being advertised. I.P. Video services are available throughout all exchange areas, further demonstrating and utilizing the capacity of the established broadband facilities. The Seneca Goodman and Ozark Telephone Companies have provided dedicated fiber access for broadband service transmission to schools located within their exchange areas, and in 2004 began providing broadband internet access in their certificated exchange areas of rural southwest Missouri, Northwest Arkansas, and Northeast Oklahoma. Currently several fixed broadband facility and nationwide wireless providers are competing for broadband services within the exchange areas of Seneca, Goodman, and Ozark Telephone Companies.
Submitter: Allegiance Communications, LLC

Comment: Allegiance Communications (Allegiance) currently provides a wide array of broadband offerings within the proposed funded service area. Allegiance has offered broadband services in excess of the 3 MB bandwidth requirement as set forth in the ARRA, NOFA and BIP/BTOP guidelines, all prior to the ARRA approval. Allegiance’s service area already provides for “sufficient access to broadband service to facilitate rural economic development”, as well as allows for choice of service providers through competing with Local Exchange Carriers, and allows for leasing of services through our own facilities. Allegiance’s opinion of the proposed funded service area is that of excess spending where funds could be better used in other portions of the country to deploy broadband service in accordance with the ARRA, NOFA and BIP/BTOP programs. Through funding the proposed service area, excessive competition will be created for all, which may create a short term economic boost, but have severe long term ramifications which may force service providers out of business.

-----Service Area: Addington Cluster

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant’s proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements. Additional information regarding other broadband service providers' currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

-----Service Area: Central Oklahoma
Submitter: Pioneer Telephone Cooperative

Comment: Pioneer Telephone Cooperative, Inc. through its subsidiary Pioneer Long Distance, Inc. (PLDI), has been offering broadband speed data service to subscribers for over 10 years. The area applied for by the ARRA applicant, which falls within the telephone exchange boundary is served with high speed internet broadband service. PLDI also offers IPTV service to its subscribers in the towns using the Pioneer’s broadband plant.

Submitter: Dobson Telephone Company

Comment: Dobson Telephone Company challenges the application of Whitespace, LLC dba WaveLinx based on their declaration of the serving area being underserved.

Submitter: Wichita Online Inc.

Comment: Wichita Online (WOI) currently provides a wide array of broadband offerings within the proposed funded service area. WOI has offered broadband services in excess of the 3 MB bandwidth requirement as set forth in the ARRA, NOFA and BIP/BTOP guidelines, all prior to the ARRA approval. WOI’s service area already provides for “sufficient access to broadband service to facilitate rural economic development”, as well as allows for choice of service providers through competing with Local Exchange Carriers, and allows for leasing of services through our own facilities. The proposed funded service area already provides for not only broadband service through WOI, but several ILEC’s, Cable companies, and numerous mobile broadband service providers offer several choice to the subscriber. WOI’s opinion of the proposed funded service area is that of excess spending where funds could be better used in other portions of the country to deploy broadband service in accordance with the ARRA, NOFA and BIP/BTOP programs. Through funding the proposed service area, excessive competition will be created for all, which may create a short term economic boost, but have severe long term ramifications which may force service providers out of business.

Submitter: AT&T

Comment: AT&T is providing data about its wireline and mobile broadband footprint to assist in determining whether the applicant's proposed service area, which may be part of a larger application and project, satisfies the unserved and underserved eligibility requirements.

Additional information regarding other broadband service providers'
currently operating in the service area may be needed in order to make a reasonable analysis or a conclusive determination.

Submitter: Central Oklahoma Telephone Co.

Comment: Central Oklahoma Telephone Company has been offering broadband speed data service to subscribers for 10 years. The area applied for by the ARRA applicant, which falls within the telephone exchange boundary is served with high speed internet broadband service.

Submitter: KanOkla Networks

Comment: KanOkla Networks has been providing our customers the best in telecommunications/data technology for almost 60 years. We are the leader in our service areas for rolling out new and innovative services to our subscribers. We currently offer broadband service, at speeds up to 6 Mbps, to 100% of our current and potential customers. KanOkla is in the midst of installing Fiber To The Home (FTTH) to all of our exchange areas, bringing the capability of 100 Mbps to all homes and businesses throughout our areas. This project, with its wealth of bandwidth for our subscribers, will position our communities for the future.

Submitter: Windstream

Comment: Windstream’s data strongly suggest that the proposed funded service area likely does not qualify as an underserved area. Windstream offers facilities-based, terrestrial broadband service (meeting the definition set forth in the Notice of Funds Availability) to some of the households in the proposed funded service area, and such households can readily subscribe to Windstream’s broadband service upon request. Windstream also has reason to believe that competitive broadband offerings are available in exchanges overlapping the proposed funded service area. Given this information, agency officials should further investigate existing broadband offerings within the proposed funded service area, so that officials can be sure that the area, in fact, qualifies as underserved.

Windstream is a communications and entertainment company with more than 1 million broadband customers in 16 states. Investing more than $200 million in broadband deployment over the last several years, Windstream now offers approximately 89% of its 3 million voice customers access to quality and affordable broadband service.
The agencies define an “underserved area” as follows: “Underserved area means a proposed funded service area, composed of one or more contiguous census blocks meeting certain criteria that measure the availability of broadband service and the level of advertised broadband speeds. These criteria conform to the two distinct components of the Broadband Infrastructure category of eligible projects-Last Mile and Middle Mile. Specifically, a proposed funded service area may qualify as underserved for last mile projects if at least one of the following factors is met, though the presumption will be that more than one factor is present: 1. No more than 50 percent of the households in the proposed funded service area have access to facilities-based, terrestrial broadband service at greater than the minimum broadband transmission speed (set forth in the definition of broadband above); 2. No fixed or mobile broadband service provider advertises broadband transmission speeds of at least three megabits per second (“mbps”) downstream in the proposed funded service area; or 3. The rate of broadband subscribership for the proposed funded service area is 40 percent of households or less. A proposed funded service area may qualify as underserved for Middle Mile projects if one interconnection point terminates in a proposed funded service area that qualifies as unserved or underserved for Last Mile projects.”

Submitter: Suddenlink Communications

Comment: This response conclusively demonstrates that Suddenlink and its competitors already offer robust broadband service within the mapped area of the applicant’s proposed funded service area and that this mapped area is neither unserved nor underserved. Additional information is provided in the uploaded document, including (1) sample marketing material; (2) a guide on how to read the data we entered in the “Existing Broadband Subscribers” section of this response; (3) a summary of the vendor and methodology used for estimating competitive-service subscribers in the mapped area; (4) Suddenlink contact information, should one or more federal agencies have questions or require additional information; and (5) a summary of concerns with the response process and the limitations that process has placed on our ability to provide NTIA/RUS with relevant, timely data.

Submitter: Pottawatomie Telephone Company

Comment: Pottawatomie Telephone Company through its subsidiary, Cim-Tel Cable, LLC, has been offering broadband speed data service to subscribers for over 5 years. The area applied for by the ARRA applicant, which falls within the telephone exchange boundary is served with high speed internet broadband service. Cim-Tel Cable, LLC also offers IPTV service to its subscribers within the communities Pottawatomie serves.

Submitter: @Link Services LLC
Comment: @Link Services LLC is an Oklahoma LLC that has been providing broadband services in Central Oklahoma since 2005. @Link provides high speed internet service with speeds of up to 6Mbs by utilizing fixed wireless technology in both licensed and unlicensed frequencies. @Link provides service in forty four rural, Oklahoma communities and four urban areas in Central Oklahoma through a network of more than 60 tower sites.

The proposed funded service areas overlaps the @Link service area. The overlapping service area has been documented with the use of the mapping tool.

@Link has included copies of advertisements for service within the proposed funded service area.

@Link has determined, at the census block level, the number of residential households currently capable of receiving @Link service. @Link has determined the total number of business establishments within the zip codes included in the polygon. Totals have been provided as requested and supporting documents are available if requested.

@Link has determined, at the census block level, the number of residences and businesses currently subscribing to @Link services as well as the advertised download and upload speeds. Totals have been provided as requested and supporting documentation is available as is necessary.

Submitter: Get LLC Real Cable

Comment: Funds are being provided to three other proviers in the same area. Also, this area is already served and other providers exist. This summary response has been provided by Get LLC Real Cable that services the community of Blackwell OK. The electric cooperative Kay electric provides service in the surrounding area of Blackwell.
Four applicants are seeking money for an area allready served, this is a waate of money and is injurious to existing providers.

-----Service Area: Hominy

Submitter: Windstream

Comment: Windstream’s data strongly suggest that the proposed funded service area likely does not qualify as an underserved area. Windstream offers facilities-based, terrestrial broadband service (meeting the definition set forth in the Notice of Funds Availability) to some of the households in the proposed funded service area, and such households can readily subscribe to Windstream’s broadband service upon request. Windstream also has reason to believe that competitive broadband offerings are available in exchanges overlapping the proposed funded service area. Given this information, agency officials should further investigate existing broadband offerings within the proposed funded service area, so that officials can be sure that the area, in fact, qualifies as underserved.

Windstream is a communications and entertainment company with more than 1 million broadband customers in 16 states. Investing more than $200 million in broadband deployment over the last several years, Windstream now offers approximately 89% of its 3 million voice customers access to quality and affordable broadband service.

The agencies define an “underserved area” as follows: “Underserved area means a proposed funded service area, composed of one or more contiguous census blocks meeting certain criteria that measure the availability of broadband service and the level of advertised broadband speeds. These criteria conform to the two distinct components of the Broadband Infrastructure category of eligible projects-Last Mile and Middle Mile. Specifically, a proposed funded service area may qualify as underserved for last mile projects if at least one of the following factors is met, though the presumption will be that more than one factor is present: 1. No more than 50 percent of the households in the proposed funded service area have access to facilities-based, terrestrial broadband service at greater than the minimum broadband transmission speed (set forth in the definition of broadband above); 2. No fixed or mobile broadband service provider advertises broadband transmission speeds of at least three megabits per second (“mbps”) downstream in the proposed funded service area; or 3. The rate of broadband subscribership for the proposed funded service area is 40 percent of households or less. A proposed funded service area may qualify as underserved for Middle Mile projects if one interconnection point
terminates in a proposed funded service area that qualifies as unserved or underserved for Last Mile projects.”