

Broadband USA Applications Database

Applicant Name: Airband Communications, Inc.

Public Notice Submissions

-----**Service Area:** El Paso

Submitter: Time Warner Cable Inc

Comment: As shown in the attached map and exhibit, TWC currently provides broadband Internet access service in the Proposed Funded Service Area. In addition, we advertise service of speeds above 3 Mbps throughout this service area. We pass over 50% of households, and either (1) have actual broadband subscribership of over 40% of the households in these census blocks or (2) the combined broadband subscribership of the wireline broadband providers (including TWC) in these census blocks is reasonably likely to exceed 40%. Therefore, that portion of the proposed funded service area that overlaps Respondent's service area reflected in this response is neither "unserved" or "underserved."

-----**Service Area:** St. Louis

Submitter: Cable America Missouri LLC

Comment: CableAmerica currently offers Internet speeds of 5.2Mbps downstream and 512Mbps upstream in this service area.

Submitter: Charter Communications

Comment: Charter Communications ('Charter'), a broadband service provider, has invested capital to provide a full range of Broadband services, including High Speed Internet services, in this service area. The applicant's request in the proposed under-served and un-served service area would create an overlap in Charter's service area. Charter's response includes the necessary information to validate the overlapping condition as a result of this applicant's request.

-----**Service Area:** Northern California

Submitter: Interwest Management Services Inc. dba Fire2Wire

Comment: Fire2Wire has been servicing rural areas within the San Joaquin Valley since 2002. The market price of residential broadband Internet service in this area is at a current low, due to wireline service promotions and other competing rural providers. The heavy use of license-exempt equipment for broadband Internet service, as well as for consumer devices, makes it unlikely that an additional operator could successfully deploy a wide-scale network using license-exempt equipment. In addition, the San Joaquin Valley's proximity to grandfathered fixed satellite stations makes deploying 3.65GHz equipment under FCC part 90 difficult in most areas. It is our belief that the proposed service area could not sustain additional competition without causing a notable loss of jobs within the various smaller rural providers.