CSMAC Bi-Directional Sharing Working Group Draft Report

Introduction: The Bi-Directional Sharing Working Group is providing responses to the questions raised by NTIA at the August 28, 2013 CSMAC meeting. NTIA had provided the following framework for the subcommittee's work: "Federal agencies and particularly the Department of Defense need significant amounts of spectrum for large training exercises; however, the United States cannot afford to obligate so much spectrum all the time for such exercises. What approaches to authorization, coordination would facilitate access to spectrum for training? Should DOD expect to pay license holders for that access? Should DOD expect to pay for access even where the license holder does not provide coverage?" This framework is reflected in the 4 questions set forth below, which deal uniquely with temporary access to spectrum; ¹ therefore, the recommendations contained in this report are also focused exclusively on temporary access. ²

The Working Group is co-chaired by Jennifer Warren and Janice Obuchowski and includes participation by CSMAC Members Tom Sugrue, Bryan Tramont, Michael Calabrese, and Carl Povelites, supported by Steve Sharkey, Adam Krinsky, and Mary Greczyn. The group initially met on Sept. 24, 2013 and has met at least ten times since that inaugural teleconference, and worked electronically. ³

One consideration that emerged across several of the questions was the viability and utility of using database functionality as a means to advance spectrum sharing in different scenarios. This continues to require additional consideration, and the applicability of it toward a solution may vary by scenarios.⁴

Specific NTIA Questions:

Question 1: What methods can be used to allow federal agency access of non-federal bands, particularly for large, intermittent exercises and emergency use?

Question 2: Would federal users be expected to pay for temporary spectrum access?

Question 3: Would such access only be available if the nonfederal licensee does not have an immediate, short-term or long-term need to operate in the spectrum and location in question?

Question 4: What band and location combinations can support large federal exercises or emergency use?

I. Question 1: What methods can be used to allow federal agency access to non-federal bands, particularly for large intermittent exercises and emergency use?

Potential Methods:

The Working Group is not recommending any single one of the options over the other in this response to Question 1, but rather identifying options along with various accompanying considerations/process points. Within the various options, we do make specific recommendations – see bolded text.

¹ Temporary Access is defined in "Considerations 1" of the response to Question 1.

² It is noted that bi-directional access to spectrum should be reflected in spectrum licensing databases.

³ Since the presentation of the draft report on July 10, the WG's new CSMAC members include – Charla Rath, Steve Sharkey (as a member), Dennis Roberson, Giulia McHenry, Kurt Schaubach and Harold Feld.

⁴ This may be further informed by the work in the CSMAC Subcommittee on Spectrum Management via Databases.

- Federal Agency access to non-federal communications network. A Federal Agency enters into a
 commercial services agreement for access to the commercial network utilizing the underlying
 commercial services of the licensee. May use devices available to the public but may also attach
 proprietary federal devices to the network as part of the commercial services agreement. There is
 no transfer of rights to the federal user. In addition, there may be different methods for different
 wireless and satellite services.
- 2. Secondary Access by Federal Agency for use of "unused commercially licensed spectrum" ⁵ --no interference protection. This means no interference protection from primary users and must not cause interference to primary users. The term "Unused spectrum" would need to be defined and may be defined differently for different commercial services. ⁶
 - a. As a secondary market agreement⁷ whereby the licensee leases specific frequencies, in a specific area, over a specific period of time where the licensee is not currently using the spectrum. Sec. 2.103 allows direct leasing of 700 MHz public safety spectrum for Federal use. We recommend that the NTIA review its own Manual to determine whether changes are required to enable federal users to participate in secondary market access to commercial spectrum, and to work with the FCC to determine how best to revise its FCC regulations to expand eligible bands for secondary market uses, to include federal users.
 - b. As a license condition on spectrum assigned by license. For an example, this may include geographic build-out requirements that exclude certain federal properties. This would be known to the commercial licensee prior to obtaining the spectrum.
 - c. As a regulatory allocation and rule change. For an example, the federal agency gains secondary access to the spectrum on a non-interfering basis in areas where the spectrum is unused. In addition, database query functionality could potentially be used in this case.
 - d. While distinct from a licensed situation, a federal unlicensed user into unlicensed "common bands". Annex K of the NTIA Manual sets out technical standards for Federal non-licensed devices, which agencies can generally operate without an NTIA frequency assignment under Sec. 7.9 of the Manual.
- 3. Secondary Access by Federal Agency Interference Protection.
 - a. Voluntary Lease/Short Term Access -- Federal Agency negotiates use with license holder via secondary market rules for discrete access time frame, location, what spectrum in the desired block in the desired area. This agreement could include a range of rights and may be service specific. Negotiations for access area not limited to monetary compensation, but could include a wide variety of arrangements, such as access to facilities, service agreements or other arrangements that the parties find mutually agreeable.
 - b. Voluntary Negotiated Long Term Access Arrangement Federal Agency negotiates with license holder via secondary markets for long term access in the desired block in the desired area. Negotiations for access area not limited to monetary compensation, but could include a wide variety of arrangements, such as access to facilities, service agreements or other arrangements that the parties find mutually agreeable.

We would recommend that the NTIA and FCC explore regulatory or legislative options to facilitate federal users obtaining use rights co-extensive with the licensees (e.g., use for

2

⁵ May provide an incentive for the federal agency to deny placement of facilities on federal property, ensuring its availability for federal use.

⁶ The question of a definition of "unused" spectrum may be addressed by work ongoing in the CSMAC Subcommittee on Measurement/Quantification.

⁷ Commercial licensees may lack incentives to take this route.

period of license) if there are any prohibitions or constraints currently in place. Currently, Sec. 7.12 of the NTIA Manual addresses use of frequencies authorized to non-Federal stations under Part 90 but it seems to cast this in relatively narrow terms and does not anticipate leased access that doesn't involve intercommunication with non-federal users.

c. Involuntary Access for Federal Agencies to incumbent spectrum

Similar to 2(c) above, this would require the FCC to initiate a regulatory proceeding to determine if the spectrum is unused and available for use by federal agencies. For example, the federal agency identifies unused⁸ commercial spectrum and notifies license holder of need for discrete access – time frame, location, what spectrum – in the desired block in the desired area. If unable to reach a commercial arrangement, the federal agency can (through NTIA) petition the FCC to initiate a proceeding, during which the licensee should have the right to challenge the assertion that its spectrum is unused. The FCC would then determine whether it is reasonable for the federal agency to gain involuntary [secondary] access to the spectrum with interference protection in areas where the spectrum is unused. In addition, database query functionality potentially could be used in this case.⁹

Considerations:

As we set about addressing this question, we realized the need for a standard taxonomy to ensure common understanding of scenarios and recommendations. Below are several important considerations that impact the recommendations regarding federal government access to non-federal spectrum, including:

- Time period: Below are representative time periods, which are not reflective of the length of
 planning cycles for federal acquisition and do not match the STA process. At the same time,
 these time periods are reflective of time periods that commercial incumbents would take into
 consideration for any sharing of their spectrum
 - a. Short term a day or less
 - b. Mid-term a day to one week
 - c. Long-term more than a week
- 2) Size of area
 - a. Small localized within and close proximity to government facilities
 - b. Outside of government facilities
 - c. Regional
 - d. Nationwide
 - e. Distance off the coast
- 3) Demographics of area
 - a. Sparse population
 - b. Dense population
- 4) How much spectrum is needed
 - a. Small contiguous block
 - b. Large contiguous block
 - c. Unpaired/paired
- 5) What is the best spectrum band for the intended purpose

⁸ Ideally, this would only happen where the spectrum is deemed to be unused. It is unclear how the federal agency would be able to identify such unused spectrum. Also see footnote 4.

⁹ The work of the Subcommittee on Spectrum Management via Database may be relevant to the types of functionality necessary to determine whether the spectrum is "unused".

- 6) Nature of federal access
 - a. Needed to deploy federal networked solution
 - b. Needed for federal end-user devices that can operate with commercial networked services
- 7) Nature of use
 - a. Pre-planned, intermittent
 - b. Emergency basis
 - c. Constant

Given the above, certain assumptions need to be made to respond to the question. Without further, more specific instruction from NTIA, following assumptions that should be made:

- Time period: short to mid-term, not more than a week but generally less
- Size of area: localized within or near government facilities
- Demographics: fairly sparse
- Spectrum required: small contiguous block unpaired
- Nature of use: federal networked solution, pre-planned

II. Question 2. Would federal users be expected to pay for temporary spectrum access?

The answer is not a yes or no, but depends upon the nature of commercial spectrum, presence of incumbent operations, impact upon incumbent operations, and period of time (temporary or perhaps permanent). Below is an outline of an approach, based on the above, with recommendations embedded within each scenario description.

1. Access to Exclusive Licensed Spectrum

- a. Temporary, where there are no planned or existing incumbent operations and/or agreed that there would be no constraint or impact on incumbent operations or use of secondary markets(perhaps due to nature of temporary use or location/terrain, such as White Sands or the National Training Center location)
 - i. We recommend that no financial payments are necessary and no rights (NIB basis- STA Model) accrue.
- b. Temporary, having potential impact on timing of build out, use of secondary markets, or on incumbent operations.

We recommend consideration of the following options, but recognize that there may be others that we have not yet considered as viable for this list.

- Secondary Market Arrangement provides flexibility to negotiate unique temporary constraints and temporary operational changes, which are tailored to both parties and may provide incentives for incumbents to agree to use or temporary constraint.
- ii. Regulatory Framework
- iii. Other options to still explore.

2. Access to Shared Licensed Spectrum/Existing Shared Spectrum.

a. Temporary, where there is no impact on sharing regime (FSS/FS) or incumbent operations

- i. We recommend that no financial payments are necessary and that a Special Temporary Authority (STA) model would be appropriate
- b. Temporary, where there could be impact on secondary market opportunities
 - i. We recommend engagement in a Negotiated Secondary Market Arrangement
 but challenges in the valuation for federal access.

3. Access to "Unlicensed" Bands

- a. Temporary Basis Consistent with Technical Rules for Band
 - i. We recommend that no financial payments be required—and that there are no rights for any user to expect to operate without interference.
- III. Question 3. Would such access only be available if the non-federal licensee does not have an immediate, short-term or long-term need to operate on the spectrum and location in question?

Sharing arrangements should be constructed in a way that meets the needs of both the incumbent and the new entrant. New entrant operations should not negatively impact the ability of the incumbent to meet its requirements. Likewise, sharing arrangements that do not provide meaningful access for the new entrant are of little value. Based on this, federal operations that conflict with the service needs of the incumbent non-federal licensee should generally not be permitted.

However, there are a number of considerations and approaches that should be evaluated in determining whether a conflict exists or whether options are available that could lead to mutually beneficial sharing/use arrangements, including the type and location of the competing spectrum uses and the potential for commercial agreements between the parties.

Geographically restricted operations — In many cases the federal use may be restricted to geographically remote locations, such as certain military bases. These are areas where the desire for non-federal use may be significantly lower than in areas with a greater population density, and, in some cases, where non-federal licenses remain unused. This can present opportunities for federal agencies to access this spectrum without negatively impacting the ability of non-federal entities to meet coverage/capacity requirements. In such cases the proposed federal use needs to be carefully evaluated to determine whether it would interfere with the non-federal use — existing or planned. This is particularly true in instances where the proposed federal operations are relatively close to more populated areas or where the federal operations may present an interference risk at significant distances from the federal location, as is generally true with airborne operations.

Temporal sharing — There may be instances where temporal sharing arrangements are feasible. In cases where either the federal operations or the non-federal operations are limited by time, it may be feasible for the entities to coordinate for shared use via, for example, scheduling, use of an interactive database, or sensing capabilities. Such arrangements may present challenges in ensuring that the spectrum is available to a user when it is needed. Developing equipment that can operate in multiple bands can help ease such concerns by allowing a variety of options for scheduling around various competing uses. Federal agencies are already sharing many bands with other Federal users through coordination

methods that include scheduling, so there are likely "lessons learned" from these scenarios that could be applied to temporal sharing with commercial users. Scheduling is, however, a relatively static approach that may not be the most efficient mechanism since actual use may be less than scheduled use. Mechanisms that allow identification of when spectrum is actual being used will allow the most efficient temporal sharing. In addition, a Section of the NTIA Manual (7.17) addresses military communications at test ranges in certain non-federal bands and this may also lay down some markers for reference in terms of the conditions of use.

<u>Secondary Market Mechanisms</u> – FCC rules include provisions for secondary market transactions where a license or a portion of a license (divided by either spectrum or geography) can be transferred or leased to another entity. Secondary markets can provide financial or other incentives to non-federal entities to make spectrum available for federal use and will allow a greater range of sharing agreements and arrangements that are protected by contract agreements. Such an approach may be preferable in many instances than rigid regulatory approaches because they allow the nonfederal entity to more accurately determine what spectrum resources can be made available based on actual needs. Changes may be necessary to FCC and NTIA regulations to allow secondary market transactions between federal and non-federal entities.

<u>Service Provider Relationships</u> – As federal agencies look to modernize systems they are, in some cases, considering the same LTE technology that is being deployed by commercial service providers. In certain cases there may be opportunities for federal users to contract for service from a commercial provider. Such an approach could be attractive for services like video surveillance that may be necessary in more densely populated areas. In more rural areas, federal use could provide a base of users that facilitates the economic deployment of services in areas that would otherwise not be economically viable and would have the dual benefit of meeting federal needs while extending broadband to underserved areas. LTE and IP-based network deployments have a variety of features that could address security, control and priority concerns and allow a market driven approach to implementing customized solutions.

IV. Question 4. What band and location combinations can support large federal exercises or emergency use? Based on discussions with NTIA, we are not responding to this question, as there need be too many uninformed assumptions at this point regarding both federal and non-federal operations to contribute.

Conclusion: In response to the NTIA questions, the Subcommittee has outlined sharing scenarios to potentially enable Federal use of non-Federal spectrum, including options for determining whether a conflict exists or whether alternatives are available (i.e., geographically restricted operations, temporal sharing, secondary market mechanisms and service provider relationships.)

The following are three baskets of regulatory issues to be addressed in considering the options and recommendations contained in this report.

- (1) NTIA & FCC Joint Statement of Principles to Encourage Sharing between Federal and non-Federal Users;
- (2) Modifications to Existing NTIA or FCC Rules to Facilitate Sharing;
- (3) Implementation of Sharing Principles in Open Proceedings

Each of the approaches to the three issues above may not have full consensus among Subcommittee members when applied to specific bands and situations. However, it is necessary to assess these challenging regulatory issues to allow bi-directional sharing to occur. In particular, the approaches to addressing the three issues identify opportunities in spectrum transitioning from Federal to non-Federal uses, as well as related paired bands, to ensure that the service rules reflect a common understanding of permissible sharing, established in a way that is minimally disruptive to commercial users but provides Federal users access in certain areas when needed.

Current status: NTIA has pointed out that the NTIA Manual of Regulations and Procedures for Federal Radio Frequency Management already authorizes access to a wide range of non-federal bands for military tactical and training operations, including the 2155-2180 MHz band. ¹⁰

NTIA Manual: The NTIA Manual outlines circumstances in which military services may "employ frequencies in certain non-Federal bands above 25 MHz," after coordination between FCC field personnel and military field personnel for tactical and training operations in the U.S. and Possessions under the arrangement between the FCC and the Military entitled "Field Coordination of Military Tactical and Training Assignments 25-2400 MHz." ¹¹

According to the NTIA Manual, the agreement stipulates, "military field representatives will first establish that proposed assignments have a good chance of being compatible with non-Federal assignments." The Manual states, "Tactical and training assignments shall be temporary for a period of no longer than one year and the military representatives shall recoordinate if continued use is desired."

FCC Rules/Emergency Use: Section 2.103 of the FCC's rules covers Federal use of non-Federal frequencies, primarily geared toward public safety applications. This section states that Federal stations may be authorized to use non-Federal frequencies above 25 MHz (except the 763-775 MHz and 793-805 MHz public safety bands) if the FCC "finds that such use is necessary for coordination of Federal and non-Federal activities." Caveats contained in this section include that Federal operation on non-Federal frequencies conforms with the conditions agreed upon by the Commission and NTIA; operations are in accordance with FCC rules governing the service to which the frequencies involved are allocated and shall not cause harmful interference to non-Federal stations "and, should harmful interference result, that the interfering Federal operation shall immediately terminate." This section also stipulates that a Federal operation has been certified as necessary by the non-Federal licensees and this certification has been furnished, in writing, to the Federal agency with which communication is required.

In addition, Federal stations can be authorized to use channels in the 769-775 MHz, 799-805 MHz and 4940-4990 MHz public safety bands with non-Federal entities "if the Commission finds such use necessary," where the stations are used for interoperability or part of a Federal/non-Federal shared or joint-use system; the Federal entity obtains the approval of the non-Federal (State/local government) licensee(s) or applicant(s) involved; the Federal operation is in accordance with Commission's Rules

1

¹⁰ See Letter to OET Chief Julius Knapp from NTIA Associate Administrator Karl Nebbia, Nov. 25, 2013, fn 13.

¹¹ See, e.g., NTIA Manual at§ 7.15.3.5.d.

governing operation of this band and conforms with any conditions agreed upon by the Commission and NTIA; and interoperability, shared or joint-use systems are the subject of a mutual agreement between the Federal and non-Federal entities. This language was updated in 2007 to allow Federal entities to share the 700 MHz public safety bands with non-Federal entities under certain conditions (i.e., the Federal entity obtains the prior approval of the Public Safety Broadband Licensee.)

At the same time, the 2013 Presidential Memorandum on sharing includes goals for facilitating sharing of non-Federal spectrum by Federal operations. ¹² As a result, the challenge is how to update current rules and regulations, or remove regulatory obstacles, that discourage or prevent broader forms of sharing that are now under consideration from occurring.

.

¹² See Presidential Memorandum, "Expanding America's Leadership in Wireless Innovation," June 14, 2013. Sec. 7, (b) "identifying spectrum allocated for nonfederal uses that can be made available to agencies, on a shared or exclusive basis, particularly where necessary to accommodate agencies seeking to relocate systems out of bands that could be made available for licensed services or unlicensed devices."